

Women Empowerment Through Five-Year Plans in India (1951–2017): A Study in Policy Evolution and Social Development

Kumari Shivali¹, L. C. Verma²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Geography, Bhagwant University, Ajmer

²Research Supervisor, Department of Geography, Bhagwant University, Ajmer

ABSTRACT

Women's empowerment is widely regarded as a prerequisite for equitable and sustainable national development, yet its operationalization within India's development-planning architecture has evolved considerably since independence. This paper traces the trajectory of women-centered policy within India's Five-Year Plans (1951–2017), examining how the guiding philosophy progressed from a “welfare” orientation (First to Fourth Plans) to a “development” orientation (Fifth to Seventh Plans) and, subsequently, to an “empowerment” orientation (Eighth Plan onward). Adopting a descriptive-analytical research design grounded in documentary and content analyses of the twelve Plan documents issued by the erstwhile Planning Commission of India, and supplemented by Census and labor force data, the study maps the major institutional and programmatic milestones of each Plan period. It evaluates the extent to which stated policy intent translates into measurable gains in literacy, workforce participation, and gender parity. The findings indicate a consistent rhetorical progression toward empowerment-oriented planning, but also a persistent implementation gap: quantitative indicators such as female labor-force participation have not improved in step with policy ambition and, in some periods, have declined even as the policy language grew more empowerment-centric. The paper concludes that translating planning-document commitments into ground-level empowerment requires stronger use of funds, gender-responsive budgeting, and closer convergence between welfare schemes and structures of economic opportunity..

Keywords: Women empowerment; Five-Year Plans; gender disparity; policy evolution; sex ratio; socio-economic development; India

1. INTRODUCTION

Women's empowerment is fundamentally concerned with enabling women to recognize their own worth, to exercise agency over the choices that shape their lives, and to participate as equal stakeholders in the social, economic, and political life of their community. It is commonly understood through the language of rights the right to vote, to hold and inherit property, to move freely, and to seek legal redress. However, its substantive core lies in the redistribution of decision-making power between women and the institutions, including the family, that have historically constrained women's decision-making power (Kabeer, 1999).

Women constitute close to half of the global population. However, India's sex ratio has historically remained skewed against women, and gender-based disadvantage continues to shape outcomes in literacy, health, employment, and political representation. While the western industrialized economies moved comparatively early toward institutionalizing gender equality, women's empowerment in India has had to contend with deep-rooted patriarchal norms that, for centuries, denied women property rights, political voice, and autonomy over their own lives. Because planning has been the principal instrument of the Indian state's development

strategy since independence, the Five-Year Plans (FYPs) formulated by the Planning Commission of India offer a uniquely continuous documentary record of how the state's conception of “women's development” has evolved from an object of welfare, to a target of development interventions, to a recognized agent of empowerment and social change.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Kabeer (1999). Kabeer's influential conceptual framework defines empowerment as the expansion of people's ability to make strategic life choices in contexts where that ability was previously denied to them. It disaggregates it into resources, agency, and achievements. This framework underlies much of the subsequent Indian planning literature's move away from a narrow, welfare-based reading of women's development toward one centered on agency and choice. It provides the conceptual anchor for interpreting the “welfare-to-empowerment” shift traced in this paper.

Malhotra and Schuler (2005). In their review of empowerment as a variable in international development research, Malhotra and Schuler identify economic, socio-cultural, familial, legal, political, and psychological dimensions of women's empowerment, and caution that

empowerment is frequently measured through proxy indicators (literacy, employment, political representation) that capture only partial dimensions of the underlying construct. This caution is directly relevant to the present study's use of literacy, workforce participation, and sex ratio as outcome proxies and is addressed in the limitations of the adopted methodology.

Konwar (2019). Examining the provisions for women's empowerment across India's Five-Year Plans, Konwar traces the shift from the “welfare” framing of the First Plan to the “development” and eventually “empowerment” framing of later Plans, and highlights the recurring gap between the stated intent of successive Plans and the realities of implementation on the ground, particularly for rural and economically disadvantaged women.

Pal (2013). Focusing specifically on the Twelfth Five-Year Plan, Pal situates the “empowerment” approach of the Tenth Plan onward within a broader inclusive-growth framework, and argues that any credible assessment of women's economic status under India's plans must simultaneously account for intersecting disadvantages of caste and region, since aggregate national indicators can mask sharply uneven progress across sub-groups of women.

De (2021). De's critical study of the Five Year Plans documents the specific institutional milestones of each Plan period in detail from the Central Social Welfare Board (First Plan) to the Women's Component Plan (Ninth Plan) and the National Policy for Empowerment of Women, 2001 (Tenth Plan) and concludes that while the constitutional and planning architecture for gender equality in India is comparatively well developed, its translation into de facto outcomes remains constrained by inadequate budgetary allocation and weak convergence across ministries (Misra, 2006, as cited in De, 2021).

Taken together, this literature establishes two consistent themes that this study builds upon: first, a well-documented three-stage evolution of planning philosophy from welfare to development to empowerment; and second, a recurring scholarly concern that a commensurate improvement has not matched this evolution in policy language in measurable outcomes for women a gap this paper investigates directly using post-2011 labour-force and gender-parity data that the earlier literature, published before or shortly after the Twelfth Plan, could not fully capture.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design. The study adopts a descriptive-analytical research design combining historical trend analysis with qualitative documentary/content analysis. This design was selected because the research question how the policy framing of women's development evolved across twelve Plan periods spanning 66 years is a question about the content and chronology of official policy text, not about primary field behavior, and is therefore appropriately answered through systematic analysis of the planning documents themselves rather than through a sample survey.

Sample of the Study. A purposive (total-population) sampling technique was used: the corpus comprises all twelve Five Year Plan documents issued by the Planning Commission, Government of India, from the First Plan (1951–56) through the Twelfth Plan (2012–2017) (N = 12 planning documents), supplemented by Census of India reports (1951–2011), Periodic Labour Force Survey data, and the peer-reviewed secondary literature reviewed in Section 2. Because every publicly available Plan document during the study period was included, the corpus represents the full population of relevant primary sources rather than a probabilistic sample of that population.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.

- To trace the evolution of the policy approach to women's development across India's Five-Year Plans, 1951–2017.
- To document the major institutional and programmatic milestones for women introduced under each Plan period.
- To assess the extent to which stated planning objectives are reflected in measurable outcome indicators such as female literacy, labor-force participation, and sex ratio.
- To identify gaps between policy intent and implementation and to draw implications for future planning.

Research Propositions. As a qualitative documentary study, the paper examines two directional propositions through document and trend analysis rather than inferential statistical testing:

H1: The dominant policy approach to women's development in India's Five-Year Plans has shifted progressively from a “welfare” orientation toward “development” and subsequently “empowerment” orientations.

H2: Despite this progressive shift in stated policy orientation, quantifiable outcome indicators for women (notably female labor-force participation) have not shown a matching, consistent improvement across Plan periods.

Method of Analysis. Each Plan document was for (a) the terminology used to frame women's issues (welfare/development/empowerment), (b) the specific institutions, schemes, and budgetary instruments introduced, and (c) stated targets. These qualitative codes were then organized chronologically (Table 1) and triangulated against independently sourced quantitative indicators, Census sex-ratio data, labor-force participation rates, and the World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Index to test Proposition H2.

Scope and Limitations. The study is confined to the Central Government Five-Year Plans and does not separately analyze State-level plans, NITI Aayog's post-2017 policy documents, or primary field/survey data. Because the design is document-based rather than survey-based, no sample size in the primary-research sense (respondents, margin of error) applies; the “sample” here is the total population of Plan documents (N = 12) supplemented by secondary statistical series, and the

propositions above are evaluated qualitatively rather than through statistical hypothesis testing. Readers seeking primary survey-based evidence on women's lived experience of these schemes are directed to the field-based studies cited in Section 2.

RESULTS, ANALYSIS, AND DISCUSSION

Plan-wise Evolution of Approach. Table 1 summarises the dominant policy approach and key institutional milestones identified in each of the twelve Plan documents.

Plan Period	Dominant Approach	Key Institutional / Programmatic Milestones
First FYP (1951–56).	Welfare	The Central Social Welfare Board was established; women's development clubs were integrated with welfare efforts for disadvantaged groups; Mahila Mandals were promoted.
Second & Third FYP (1956–66)	Welfare	Social Welfare Services chapter retained; emphasis on maternal-child health, family planning, and women's education.
Fourth FYP (1969–74)	Welfare	The welfare of women within the family remained the guiding framework; outlays for family planning and immunization increased.
Fifth FYP (1974–78).	Welfare → Development	Women's Welfare and Development Bureau established (1976); National Plan of Action for Women (1976); shift begins toward integrating welfare and development services.
Sixth FYP (1980–85)	Development	First dedicated chapter, "Women and Development"; three-pronged thrust on health, education, and employment; DWCRA launched (1982).
Seventh FYP (1985–90)	Development	The Department of Women and Child Development was created in 1985; 27 Beneficiary-Oriented Schemes were identified; and the National Perspective Plan for Women, 1988–2000, was launched.
Eighth FYP (1992–97).	Development → Empowerment	National Commission for Women (1992); Rashtriya Mahila Kosh (1993); Mahila Samridhi Yojana (1993); explicit policy shift toward "empowerment".
Ninth FYP (1997–2002).	Empowerment	Empowerment of women adopted as a primary plan objective; Women's Component Plan mandating ≥30% of funds earmarked for women-related sectors.
Tenth FYP (2002–07)	Empowerment	The National Policy for Empowerment of Women (2001) operationalized a three-fold strategy of social empowerment, economic empowerment, and gender justice.
Eleventh FYP (2007–12)	Empowerment / Agency	Women were recognized as agents of economic and social growth; the Gender Budgeting Cell was established, and a fivefold agenda for gender equity was set.
Twelfth FYP (2012–17)	Empowerment / Inclusiveness	Focus on economic empowerment, enabling legislation, women's participation in governance, and engendering national programs.

Thematic Analysis: Welfare → Development → Empowerment. The document analysis confirms Proposition H1. The First to Fourth Plans (1951–74) treated women's issues as a subset of “Social Welfare,” clubbed with the welfare of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled

Tribes, and other disadvantaged groups (Konwar, 2019). The Fifth Plan (1974–78) marks an inflection point, coinciding with the International Women's Decade and the establishment of the Women's Welfare and Development Bureau, after which the Sixth and Seventh

Plans (1980–90) introduced a dedicated “Women and Development” framing built around a three-pronged focus on health, education, and employment. From the Eighth Plan (1992–97) onward, the language shifts decisively to “empowerment,” formalised through the Ninth Plan's Women's Component Plan which mandated that at least 30 percent of funds in women-related sectors be earmarked specifically for women (De, 2021) and consolidated in the Tenth and Eleventh Plans through explicit social, economic and legal-empowerment strategies and the recognition of women as “agents” rather than “beneficiaries” of development.

OUTCOME INDICATORS VERSUS POLICY INTENT

Testing Proposition H2 requires comparing this progressively empowerment-oriented policy language against independently measured outcomes. Three indicators are instructive. First, India's overall sex ratio improved only marginally across six decades of planning, from 933 females per 1,000 males in the 2001 Census to 940 in the 2011 Census (Registrar General & Census Commissioner of India, 2011), a modest gain relative to the scale of institutional effort documented in Table 1. Second, and more strikingly, female labor-force participation, arguably the single most direct proxy for economic empowerment, fell sharply during precisely the period in which planning language was most explicitly empowerment-oriented: from approximately 42.7 percent in 2004–05 to about 23.3 percent in 2017–18 (Kapsos et al., 2014). This decline occurred even as the Ninth through Twelfth Plans mandated gender budgeting and earmarking of component-plan funds, indicating that budgetary allocation alone did not translate into labor-market outcomes. Third, more recent cross-country benchmarking placed India 127th of 146 countries on the World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Index, with only about 37 percent parity on the economic-participation sub-index, underscoring that the implementation gap identified in the planning-era literature (De, 2021; Konwar, 2019) has persisted into the post-Plan, NITI Aayog era.

Discussion. The pattern that emerges is one of consistent rhetorical progress accompanied by inconsistent material progress. Several explanations recur across the reviewed literature and the Plan documents themselves: persistent deviation between budgeted and actually disbursed funds for women-specific schemes (De, 2021); the disproportionate “care economy” burden that limits women's ability to take up paid employment even where opportunities exist; occupational segregation of women into low-paid, informal work; and weak convergence between the social-welfare architecture (health, nutrition, education) and the economic-opportunity architecture (skilling, credit, land rights) that later Plans, from the Eleventh onward, explicitly sought to bridge. This suggests that Proposition H2 is supported: the shift in policy orientation identified under H1 was necessary but not sufficient to produce a corresponding shift in measurable empowerment outcomes.

MAJOR FINDINGS

India's Five-Year Plans exhibit a clear, three-stage evolution in the framing of women's development welfare (First–Fourth Plans), development (Fifth–Seventh Plans), and empowerment (Eighth Plan onward), confirming Proposition H1.

Concrete institutional milestones accompanied each transition: the Central Social Welfare Board (First Plan), the Women's Welfare and Development Bureau (Fifth Plan), the National Commission for Women and Rashtriya Mahila Kosh (Eighth Plan), the Women's Component Plan (Ninth Plan), and the Gender Budgeting Cell (Eleventh Plan).

Despite this institutional progression, quantifiable outcome indicators did not improve proportionately; female labor-force participation fell by roughly nineteen percentage points between 2004–05 and 2017–18, even as planning language became more explicitly empowerment-centric, confirming Proposition H2.

The sex ratio improved only marginally (933 to 940) between the 2001 and 2011 Censuses, indicating that deep-rooted gender preference in Indian society has proven more resistant to planning interventions than program-level indicators such as scheme enrolment.

The persistent gap between policy intent and outcome is best explained not by an absence of institutional architecture but by inconsistent funding and weak convergence between welfare-oriented and economic-opportunity-oriented schemes.

CONCLUSION

This study set out to trace how India's Five-Year Plans have conceptualized and operationalized women's empowerment between 1951 and 2017, and to examine whether measurable gains for women have matched this evolving policy architecture. The documentary and content analysis confirm a well-defined progression from welfare to development to empowerment framing, supported by an expanding set of institutions, schemes, and budgetary instruments across successive Plans. However, the same analysis, triangulated against Census and labor-force data, shows that a commensurate improvement has not matched this progression in policy language in outcome indicators, most notably female labor-force participation. The ultimate goal of development planning is human development through the expansion of people's capabilities and choices; therefore, it remains only partially realized for Indian women. Bridging this implementation gap will require governments and voluntary-sector partners to move beyond fund allocation toward accountable, convergent delivery: aligning welfare provisioning with genuine economic-opportunity structures, strengthening gender-responsive budget monitoring, and building institutional mechanisms as envisaged from the Eleventh Plan onward that translate stated rights, resources, and political voice into de facto empowerment on the ground.

SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future studies could extend this documentary analysis to NITI Aayog's post-2017 policy instruments, incorporate State-level plan documents, or complement the present secondary-data approach with primary survey evidence to

REFERENCES

1. De, U. K. (2021). Critical study of five-year plans and women's empowerment. *Ilkogretim Online – Elementary Education Online*, 20(6), 3302–3306. <https://doi.org/10.17051/ilkonline.2021.06.310>
2. Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, agency, achievements: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. *Development and Change*, 30(3), 435–464. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00125>
3. Kapsos, S., Bourmpoula, E., & Silberman, A. (2014). Why is female labor force participation declining so sharply in India? (ILO Research Paper No. 10). International Labor Organization.
4. Konwar, P. (2019). Women's empowerment in India's five-year plans. *International Journal of Research Culture Society*, 3(4), 64–69.
5. Malhotra, A., & Schuler, S. R. (2005). Women's

test whether the implementation gap identified here varies systematically by region, caste, or rural–urban location, as suggested by Pal (2013).

empowerment as a variable in international development. In D. Narayan (Ed.), *Measuring empowerment: Cross-disciplinary perspectives* (pp. 71–88). World Bank.

6. Misra, J. K. (2006). Empowerment of women in India. *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, 67(4), 867–878. (As cited in De, 2021.)
7. Narayan, D. (2002). *Empowerment and poverty reduction: A sourcebook*. World Bank.
8. Pal, S. (2013). Women in the Twelfth Five-Year Plan in India: An Analysis. *Journal of Humanities & Social Science*, 2(1), 1–6.
9. Planning Commission. (1952–2013). *First to Twelfth Five-Year Plans*. Government of India.
10. Registrar General & Census Commissioner of India. (2011). *Census of India 2011: Provisional population totals* Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.

◀ Click or tap here to enter text. ▶