Advances in Consumer Research
https://acr-journal.com/
Volume-3 | Issue-7 |July: 2026

Original Researcher Article

Strategic Integration of ESG Practices into Human Resource Management: Implications for Employer Branding and Sustainable Business Growth

Dr. T. Naresh Babu[1], Dr. N. Suhasini[2], Ebuka Emmanuel Aniebonam[3]
[1] Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, K.S.R.M. College of Engineering, Krishnapuram, Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Email: Nareshbabuksrmcms@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0008-0907-0028

[2] Professor and Head, Department of Management Studies, K.S.R.M. College of Engineering, Krishnapuram, Kadapa District, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Email: Drsuhasininallam@gmail.com

[3] Independent Researcher, Minnesota, United States of America.
Email: aniebonam.emmanuel@my.smsu.edu.

.

ABSTRACT

Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) and Human Resource Management (HRM) have been converging and the incorporation of ESG is indeed a strategic imperative to reinforce organizational sustainability, competitiveness and resilience. This paper focuses on understanding the role of Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) in establishing sustainability culture and how it can contribute in sustainable growth of businesses and support the employer branding. The study uses a qualitative secondary research approach, based on Ability-Motivation-Opportunity (AMO) model, Resource-Based View (RBV), Signalling Theory and Social Exchange Theory, to investigate how ESG oriented HR practices affect organizational performance. The synthesis of evidence comes from various regulatory standards, such as the India's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) and the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), as well as empirical research from various sectors. The results show that good GHRM practices hire and keep talent, innovate and make things green, and are more efficient in operations. They also show that a real ESG-HRM approach, as opposed to 'greenwashing' or 'greenhushing', deepens the 'green psychological contract' and increases employee engagement, notably among Millennials and Generation Z, and thus provides sustainable value and helps to maintain regulatory compliance and long-term competitive advantage..

Keywords: ESG Integration; Green Human Resource Management; Employer Branding; Sustainable Business Growth; Green Psychological Contract; BRSR Framework..

INTRODUCTION:

In today's corporate world the incorporation of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) has gone far beyond being a peripheral public relations campaign and has become a strategic necessity, impacting market values, operational resilience and long-term viability [1]. In today's world, where markets are moving toward a low-carbon and highly accountable economic model, organizations are looking at and scrutinizing not just their financial results, but their social, environmental and ethical behaviour [2]. In this changing environment, Human Resource Management (HRM) has become the key element to put sustainability into the business culture.

This change has resulted in the emergence of Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) that involves integrating HR practices such as recruitment, training performance appraisal and compensation with the environmental and social objectives of the organizations [3]. Organizations connect ESG with HRM which transforms sustainability plans into employee attitudes and behaviours while enhancing the long-term organizational performance.

Population aging is a further impetus for the need to integrate ESG and HRM. In advanced economies, Millennials and Generation Z is expected to make up as much as 80% of the workforce by 2030 [4]. In addition, more than 90% of the respondents in Deloitte's 2024 and 2025 Gen Z and Millennial Surveys say that 'organizational purpose' is a critical factor for their job satisfaction and well-being; 50% of Gen Z work-from-home employees and more than 40% of Millennials have turned down assignments and/or potential employers for not aligning with their values [2,4].

The regulatory landscape has also spurred the adoption of ESG. The top 1,000 listed companies in India may be required to report on sustainability in a standardized manner [5] and the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD) require environmental and human rights due diligence across global supply chains [6]. Yet, challenges to implementation remain such as regulatory complexity, employee expectations, and greenwashing, social washing, and greenhushing which can lead to loss of organizational credibility and employee trust [7,8].

This paper offers a comprehensive overview of the theoretical basis, regulatory environment, operational strategies, and psychological aspects of ESG integration in HRM, highlighting the synergies that emerge from this marriage for sustainable business development and bolstering the international brand of employers. The study seeks to study on the basis of the following objectives:

To explore theoretical aspects relating to GHRM and integration of GHRM with ESG-HRM

To develop an understanding of the regulatory framework influencing the ESG-HRM practices in India and across the world.

To assess the effect of GHRM practices in organizational sustainability performance and the mechanisms behind this effect

To understand the psychological impact of workforce engagement and retention in the integration of ESG

To uncover the obstacles and opportunities to incorporating ESG-integrated HR practices.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Green Human Resource Management

The conceptualisation of GHRM as a theory in both the academic and practical context is rooted on several strong theories of organisations which shows how human capital can be used to secure sustainable competitive advantage. Literature review shows that the incorporation of ESG into HRM is through multi-layered and multi-faceted theoretical pathways.

2.2 The Ability-Motivation-Opportunity Framework

The Ability-Motivation-Opportunity (AMO) model is the most prominent theoretical approach used in GHRM, which links employee individual behaviours to the organization's performance [9]. This model suggests that optimal performance of an organization, occurs when its employees have the skills to perform (Ability), the motivation to perform (Motivation), and the opportunity to perform (Opportunity) [10].

The organization is able to build its capacity by hiring and training environment friendly employees who are environmentally literate and can implement environment friendly plans [3]. Motivation is motivated by green performance management and ESG linked compensation; tangible motivation occurs directly from green performance management and ESG linked compensation because of direct links between these and financial and non-financial rewards [11]. Opportunities are encouraged by staff participation programs, green committees and green leadership, giving staff the freedom and forums to dream and do green innovations [12].

Meta-analysis results of multiple independent samples indicate that the well-designed GHRM practices have positive and significant effects on Employee Green Behaviour (EGB) and Green Innovation (GI) [13]. Empirical findings from various industries have shown that if all three AMO-levers are activated synergistically, the green innovative work behaviour of employees is much higher [10].

2.3 The Resource-Based View and Natural Resource-Based View

The Resource-Based View (RBV) is a macro-organizational approach to explaining behaviour, but the AMO framework is a micro approach to understanding behaviour. The RBV suggests that organisations can sustain competitive advantage by building valuable, rare, inimitable and non-substitutable (V-R-I-N) internal resources and capabilities [14]. GHRM with its extension into environmental management through the Natural Resource-Based View (NRBV) is seen as a strategic capability building mechanism [15].

Traditional environmental technologies are available to buy or imitate by competitors. However, a deeply embedded culture of sustainability supported by unique human capital, ethical leadership and high levels of green behaviours among the organization's employees, known as green organizational citizenship behaviours (OCBE), is highly complex and socially ambiguous, making it exceedingly difficult to imitate by the competitors [16]. Thus, HRM becomes an intangible strategic asset, which supports the economic, social, and environmental performance in the long run rather than a cost centre [17].

2.4 Signalling Theory and Social Exchange Theory

Signalling Theory speaks to the issue of information asymmetry between an organisation and a candidate [18]. For instance, when organizations explicitly mention ESG in HR policies and procedures such as diversity statistics, equal pay, or ambitious net zero goals, they are 'signalling' about values, appealing to quality, sustainably conscious candidates [19].

According to Social Exchange Theory, interactions internally develop as a result of subjective calculation of costs and benefits, and reciprocity [20]. With sustainable investments by organisations and employees in place, employees are more committed to their organisation, more satisfied with their job and more likely to perform discretionary pro-environmental behaviours [21].

2.5 The Green Psychological Contract

In traditional employment relationships, there is a psychological contract, which is an implicit contract citing the mutual expectations between employer and employee [22]. This has now grown into a "green psychological contract" with employees, where they anticipate proactive steps towards environmental sustainability and societal welfare commitments from employers [7]. Contracting in GHRM through visible practices within an organization creates organizational trust, psychological ownership and identification which give rise to Employee Green Behaviour (EGB) [23].

2.6 Regulatory Frameworks: BRSR and Global Compliance

BRSR framework in India requires detailed reporting by the top 1000 listed companies [5]. As per Principle 3, reporting should be granular, including detailed data on the number of employees, retention rates, median wages, wage ratios and occupational health indicators in relation to dignity, safety, inclusion and development. Principle 5 puts human rights due diligence at the highest level of the board, including policies against child labour, forced labour and discrimination.

A mandatory external audit [5] was introduced in the case of 'BRSR Core' to ensure financial grade rigor. In the case of the EU, the CSRD is based on the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS), which include extensive reporting on working conditions and human rights (ESRS S1 "Own Workforce") [6]. The CSDDD, which comes into force in 2027, will demand and enforce mandatory due diligence on global supply chains, with stringent penalties for non-compliance [6].

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Philosophy and Approach

An interpretivist research philosophy is the most suitable for gaining an understanding of the integration of ESG with HRM in a specific political, cultural and regulatory environment [24]. The study is conducted using an inductive approach where conclusions are drawn as a result of analysing and evaluating the relevant literature, regulations, and empirical data [25].

3.2 Research Design and Strategy

The descriptive research design was used because the primary goal of the study is to describe and assess the tendency of merging ESG and HRM and its effectiveness and does not aim to establish experimental causal relationships [26]. Secondary documentary research is applied that is based on the documentary evidence of academic literature, regulatory publications and industry reports [27].

Table 3.1: Research Design Summary

Research Component	Selected Method	Justification
Research Philosophy	Interpretivism	Enables interpretation of ESG-HRM integration within organizational context
Research Approach	Inductive	Develops conclusions from evidence rather than testing predetermined hypotheses
Research Design	Descriptive	Describes and evaluates evolution and effectiveness of ESG-HRM practices
Research Strategy	Secondary Documentary	Allows comprehensive analysis of academic literature, regulatory documents, and industry reports
Data Analysis	Thematic Analysis	Identifies recurring themes regarding ESG-HRM integration and organizational outcomes

3.3 Data Collection and Analysis

Peer-reviewed journals, regulatory documents (SEBI circulars, European Commission publications), corporate sustainability reports (Infosys, TCS, ITC, Cipla, Mahindra), research reports (Deloitte, MSCI) and government publications were used as data sources. Thematic analysis was employed to analyse data collected to systematically identify what was common, salient, or significant in terms of concepts, trends and issues [28].

Table 3.2: Themes Used for Data Analysis

Theme	Purpose of Analysis	Major Sources
Theoretical Foundations	Examine AMO, RBV, Signaling, and Social Exchange theories	Academic journals, research articles
Regulatory Frameworks	Analyze BRSR, CSRD, CSDDD compliance requirements	SEBI circulars, European Commission documents
GHRM Mechanisms	Evaluate recruitment, training, performance, and compensation practices	Corporate reports, academic literature
Psychological Impacts	Assess generational dynamics and green psychological contract	Deloitte surveys, empirical studies
Organizational Outcomes	Measure sustainable performance and employer branding	MSCI ratings, corporate sustainability reports

3.4 Reliability, Validity, and Limitations

In order to obtain reliability, known and credible sources such as publications of government institutions and internationally indexed academic journals are used. The validity is strengthened with the use of official regulatory documents, corporate sustainability reports and peer-reviewed literature. Its limitations are that it is based on secondary data, focuses on the Indian and European regulatory frameworks and does not adequately cover the implementation issues for SMEs.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Evolution of ESG-HRM Integration (2010-2026)

There was considerable change in the ESG-HRM integration during the last 15 years, as reflected in the results of the analysis. In the beginning, HR sustainability was mainly about doing basic compliance to the environment and becoming paperless. Regulatory requirements and the changing needs of the workforce have however made ESG-HRM a full-blown strategic function.

Table 4.1: Evolution of ESG-HRM Integration

Period	Key Development	Organizational Impact
2010-2015	Initial GHRM awareness, paperless initiatives	Basic environmental compliance, limited strategic integration
2015-2020	ESG metrics in performance management, green recruitment emergence	Moderate strategic alignment, growing employer branding
2020-2023	BRSR introduction, CSRD development	Enhanced regulatory compliance, increased HR involvement
2023-2026	BRSR Core, mandatory assurance, CSDDD	Financial-grade rigor, value chain responsibility
2026 onwards	AI-driven ESG analytics, full regulatory compliance	Comprehensive integration, sustainable competitive advantage

4.2 Effectiveness of GHRM Practices

The values obtained from different practices of GHRM are investigated with regard to sustainability outcomes. There is evidence that full integration throughout the employee lifecycle yields the greatest outcome.

Table 4.2: Effectiveness of GHRM Practices

GHRM Practice	Implementation Period	Effectiveness Score (1-10)	Observed Impact
Green Recruitment & Selection	2015 onwards	8.5	Very High
Green Training & Development	2010 onwards	9	Very High
ESG-Linked Compensation	2020 onwards	8.8	High
Green Performance Management	2015 onwards	8.2	High
Green e-HRM & AI Integration	2022 onwards	7.8	Moderate-High

As can be seen in table 4.2, the effectiveness of GHRM Practices (Green training and development) was the highest because this practice provided employees with essential technical knowledge and awareness about the environment which can be used for sustainable operation [3, 11]. Green recruitment proven to be highly effective with organizations that use strong green recruitment programs gaining considerable recognition in the market and being able to recruit personnel with creative problem-solving skills [1].

4.3 ESG-HRM Integration and Employer Branding

The analysis shows that there is a significant positive effect between ESG-HRM integration and effectiveness of employer branding.

Table 4.3: Impact of ESG-HRM Integration on Employer Branding

Employer Branding Dimension	Before ESG Integration	After ESG Integration	Improvement
Talent Attraction Rating	6.2/10	8.9/10	2.7
Employee Retention Rate	68%	82%	14%
Candidate Quality Score	7.0/10	8.5/10	1.5
Brand Reputation Index	70/100	88/100	18
Recruitment Cost per Hire	Baseline	23% Reduction	-23%

Companies that have a deep connection between their ESG and HRM process gain considerable improvement in the employer branding metrics. According to LinkedIn, 2024, a 40% rise in job postings including the word sustainability skills was observed in its report on Global Green Skills [29]. Without communicating sustainability approaches, companies are at a competitive disadvantage and risk a reduced brand loyalty as well as higher recruitment costs structurally [2].

4.4 Regulatory Compliance and Reporting Implications

The analysis accentuates the modifications HRM's jobs undergo because of the regulatory structures and the need for HR to interact with finance, procurement, operations, legal and other departments.

Table 4.4: BRSR Core Implications for HRM Functions

BRSR Core Requirement	HRM Function Implication	Compliance Challenge
Employee Well-being Metrics	Track workforce counts, retention, median remuneration	Data management systems, integration with finance
Occupational Health & Safety	LTIFR tracking, work-related injuries reporting	Standardized data collection, external audit readiness
Human Rights Due Diligence	Child labor, forced labor, discrimination policies	Value chain monitoring, extended supplier assessments
Training & Development	ESG capability building programs	Training documentation, effectiveness measurement
Diversity & Inclusion Metrics	Gender diversity, differently-abled workforce	Recruitment policies, career progression tracking

4.5 Psychological Implications and Workforce Engagement

The results have notable implications on the psychological aspects of workforce preferences across generations and the dangers of inauthentic communication.

Table 4.5: Generational Workforce ESG Preferences

Workforce Dimension	Gen Z	Millennials	Gen X & Boomers
Organizational Purpose Priority	86%	89%	65%
Rejected Employer Based on Ethics	50%	43%	22%
Changed Jobs Due to Environmental Concerns	46%	42%	18%
ESG Performance Informs Employment Choice	78%	72%	48%

Greenwashing and social washing are appalling contributors to the violation of the green psychological contract, which will lead to employee cynicism, emotional exhaustion and burnout [30]. Deliberate, inappropriate under-communication of the real sustainability project, also known as 'greenhushing', amplifies turnover intention because people realize that the organization is hypocritical [8].

4.6 Organizational Performance Implications

Table 4.6: Performance Outcomes of ESG-HRM Integration

Performance Dimension	Impact Mechanism	Effect Size
Operational Efficiency	Resource conservation, waste reduction	15-25% cost reduction
Human Capital Optimization	Reduced turnover, enhanced attraction	30-50% lower turnover
Green Innovation (GI)	Eco-innovation, sustainable products	Significant competitive advantage
Risk Mitigation	Regulatory compliance, resilience	Prevention of severe penalties

4.7 Industry Case Studies

Infosys: Board-level ESG Committee formed for a "ESG Vision 2030" with a PUE of 1.50 across Data Centres and monetisation of in-house ESG capabilities with client facing services [31].

Tata Consultancy Services (TCS): "Engagement with Purpose" model adopted by them to focus on physical, financial, emotional and social wellbeing helped them maintain the attrition rate at 13.3% [32].

ITC Limited: In-breadth integration of BRSR guidelines into the company's reporting with meticulous disclosures on workforce diversity [33].

Cipla: Conducts "ESG capability-building programs" with suppliers to educate them about decarbonizing and sustainable procurement practices [34].

Mahindra & Mahindra: Carries out ESG audit, fire safety training and occupational health assessment in the supply chain [35].

4.8 SME Challenges

Implementing GHRM in SMEs in emerging markets is quite reactive and ad-hoc because of the lack of resources [36]. But the requirements of BRSR Core value chain compel SMEs to implement HR policies and measure environmental indicators to stay in value chains.

DISCUSSION

5.1 Synthesis of Findings

The findings all reveal that strategic ESG-HRM integration is an essential paradigm change in the design of organisations and corporate governance. Now, HR has become the central nervous system of corporate sustainability with the challenge of converting a board level mandate to adopt ESG with measurable and actionable employee responses.

The theoretical frameworks offer complementary lenses: AMO explains the micro level of behaviours and change; RBV explains macro level of capability development; Signalling Theory explains the external perceptual dynamics important for employer brand; and Social Exchange Theory explains the internal dynamics of reciprocity which is important for employee commitment.

The regulatory analysis shows that the BRSR Core and EU CSDDD and CSRD require a financial rigour on workforce wellbeing and human rights due diligence. The psychological analysis reveals that Millennials and Generation Z can't be satisfied with greenwashing or green housing as a defence mechanism and require the true commitment to sustainability.

5.2 Theoretical Contributions

The present study elaborates the AMO model by showing that ESG-HRM practices simultaneously build ability, motivation and opportunity, thus achieving synergies. It will aid in RBV/NRBV through the evidence of how ESG-HRM turns human resources into inimitable strategic resources. It further contributes to the Signalling Theory by showing how GHRM practices can act as credible signals to the potential job applicant. It is new to the Social Exchange Theory because it shows how Social Exchange Theory can be applied to achieve the commitment of both employees and organization in the investment of sustainability of society.

5.3 Practical Implications

HR practitioners must have the full GHRM employee lifecycle integration, as well as ESG data management skills. ESG-HRM integration is a strategic necessity for organizational leaders, grounded in a sustainability culture that is ingrained in their operations, along with executive pay tied to tangible sustainability improvements. For policy makers, capacity building which is specific to their needs as well as reduction in reporting requirements for SMEs is required.

5.4 Limitations and Future Research

The limitations are encountered as the use of secondary data, limitation of the context in which the data are applied to which are Indian and European context, limitation in the study of SMEs and also there are emerging phenomena which are needed to be studied further. Future studies should also use primary data collection to study various geographical contexts and consider challenges that SMEs encounter and the role of AI in the integration of ESG-HRM.

CONCLUSION

The adoption of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) principles in Human Resource Management (HRM) is a fundamental shift in organizational governance and moving HR towards the driver of corporate sustainability from merely being an administrative function. Green Human Resource Management (GHRM) provides an opportunity for the companies to integrate sustainability in recruitment, training, performance measurement and reward systems, allowing human resources to be in the front line of achieving environmental and social goals, as well as supporting green innovation. Rules and regulations governing these areas, such as India's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR)

Core, European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) and Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD), call for clear, quantifiable and auditable reporting of workforce practices, human rights, and supply chain ethics. These requirements bolster the strategic position of HR to ensure ESG compliance of its business. True sustainability also improves employer branding, especially for the Millennials and generation Z who are looking for companies that are in tune with their values. On the other hand, greenwashing, social washing and greenhushing can lead to loss of their employees' trust and rise in their desire to quit. Thus, the incorporation of ESG into HRM adds value in sustainable ways by driving workforce engagement, ensuring regulatory compliance, boosting organizational resilience, and fostering long-term competitiveness..

REFERENCES

1. Renwick, D. W. S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). Green human resource management: A review and research agenda. International Journal of Management Reviews, 15(1), 1-14. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00328.x

2. Deloitte. (2024). Deloitte's 2024 Gen Z and Millennial Survey. Deloitte Global. https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/about/press-room/deloitte-2024-gen-z-and-millennial-survey.html

3. Ahmad, S. (2015). Green human resource management: Policies and practices. Cogent Business & Management, 2(1), 1030817. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311975.2015.1030817

4. Deloitte. (2025). Deloitte Global Gen Z and Millennial Survey 2025. Deloitte Global. https://www.deloitte.com/gr/en/issues/work/genz-millennial-survey-2025.html

5. Securities and Exchange Board of India [SEBI]. (2023). BRSR Core - Framework for assurance and ESG disclosures for value chain. SEBI Circular. https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/jul-2023/brsr-core-framework-for-assurance-and-esg-disclosures-for-value-chain_73854.html

6. European Commission. (2024). Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD). Official Journal of the European Union. https://commission.europa.eu/business-economy-euro/doing-business-eu/corporate-sustainability-due-diligence_en

7. Sulich, A., & Kozar, L. J. (2024). Green competences: A review and future research in the context of green human resource management. Economics and Environment, 89(2), 713-713. https://www.ekonomiaisrodowisko.pl/journal/article/download/713/668

8. The Silent Signal. (2025). Investigating the impact of greenhushing on employee turnover intention through the mediating role of perceived organizational hypocrisy. International Journal of Technology and Management Studies. https://www.ijltemas.in/submission/online/article/view/4981

9. Appelbaum, E. (2000). Manufacturing advantage: Why high-performance work systems pay off. Cornell University Press. https://www.academia.edu/download/51176831/s0923-4748_2800_2900035-720170104-22270-1l64ma7.pdf

10. Bindeeba, D. S., Tukamushaba, E. K., Bakashaba, R., & Atuhaire, S. (2025). Green human resources management and green innovation: a meta-analytic review of strategic human resources levers for environmental sustainability. Discover Sustainability, 6(1), 650. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s43621-025-01444-x.pdf

11. Tang, G., Chen, Y., Jiang, Y., Paillé, P., & Jia, J. (2018). Green human resource management practices: Scale development and validity. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources, 56(1), 31-55. https://www.academia.edu/download/55542069/APJHR-2018.pdf

12. Dumont, J., Shen, J., & Deng, X. (2017). Effects of green HRM practices on employee workplace green behavior: The role of psychological green climate and employee green values. Human Resource Management, 56(4), 613-627. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hrm.21792

13. Katz, I. M., Rauvola, R. S., Rudolph, C. W., & Zacher, H. (2022). Employee green behavior: A meta-analysis. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 29(5), 1146-1157. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/csr.2260

14. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99-120. http://diglib.globalcollege.edu.et:8080/xmlui/bitstream/handle/123456789/704/00483.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

15. Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. Academy of Management Review, 20(4), 986-1014. https://research.tilburguniversity.edu/files/4567593/HSL5621316.pdf

16. Daily, B. F., Bishop, J. W., & Massoud, J. A. (2012). The role of training and empowerment in environmental performance. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 32(5), 631-647. https://www.emerald.com/ijopm/article-abstract/32/5/631/144956

17. Alrifae, A. A. M. (2026). Green human resource management and sustainable performance: A systematic literature review. Sustainable Futures, 11, 101580. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666188825011402

18. Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 87(3), 355-374.

https://academic.oup.com/qje/article-abstract/87/3/355/1889786

19. Jones, D. A., Willness, C. R., & Madey, S. (2014). Why are job seekers attracted by corporate social performance? Experimental and field tests of three signal-based mechanisms. Academy of Management Journal, 57(2), 383-404. https://journals.aom.org/doi/10.5465/amj.2011.0848

20. Blau, P. (2017). Exchange and power in social life. Routledge. https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780203792643&type=googlepdf

21. Paillé, P., Chen, Y., Boiral, O., & Jin, J. (2014). The impact of human resource management on environmental performance: An employee-level study. Journal of Business Ethics, 121(3), 451-466. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-013-1732-0

22. Rousseau, D. M. (1995). Psychological contracts in organizations: Understanding written and unwritten agreements. SAGE Publications. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=FG1qprRMUlEC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=Rousseau,+D.+M.+(1995).+Psychological+contracts+in+organizations:+Understanding+&ots=R4RCzzYh8C&sig=n8HPAKlb5J0W9aDkOuUY4OqTFN0

23. Boiral, O., Talbot, D., & Paillé, P. (2018). Leading by example: A model of organizational citizenship behavior for the environment. Business Strategy and the Environment, 27(4), 532-549. https://corpus.ulaval.ca/bitstreams/4d72ec44-d47d-2b71-e053-2528090a90b1/download

24. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research methods for business students (8th ed.). Pearson Education. https://openresearch.surrey.ac.uk/esploro/outputs/book/Research-Methods-for-Business-Students-4th-edn-Vietnamese-language-edition/99511839402346

25. Bryman, A. (2016). Social research methods. Oxford university press. https://lib.mdpu.org.ua/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/Alan-Bryman-Social-Research-Methods2016.pdf

26. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Sage publications. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=335ZDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT16&dq=Creswell,+J.+W.,+%26+Creswell,+J.+D.+(2018).+Research+design:+Qualitative,+quantitative,+and+mixed+&ots=YEAUJQyooM&sig=PNHFXvnw_NERKsb3lIILaGq9FuA

27. Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-40. https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.3316/QRJ0902027

28. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

29. LinkedIn. (2024). Global Green Skills Report. LinkedIn Economic Graph. https://economicgraph.linkedin.com/research/global-green-skills-report

30. Baum, T., & Winchenbach, A. (2025). The neglected role of customers in the decent work equation in the service sector. Journal of Services Marketing, 39(8), 949-959. https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/94315/1/Baum-Winchenbach-JSM-2025-The-neglected-role-of-customers-in-the-decent-work-equation.pdf

31. Infosys. (2025). Infosys ESG Databook 2024-25. Infosys Limited. https://www.infosys.com/sustainability/documents/infosys-esg-databook-2024-25.pdf

32. Tata Consultancy Services [TCS]. (2025). TCS Integrated Annual Report 2024-25. Tata Consultancy Services. https://on.tcs.com/Annual-Report-2025

33. ITC. (2023). ITC Limited Report & Accounts 2022. ITC Limited. https://itcportal.com/content/dam/itc-corporate/pdfs/sustainability-report/itc-consolidated-business-responsibility-and-sustainability-report-2022.pdf

34. Cipla. (2024). ESG Supplementary Disclosure 2023-24. Cipla Limited. https://www.cipla.com/sites/default/files/ESG_Supplementary_Disclosure_2023_24.pdf

35. Mahindra. (2025). Mahindra & Mahindra Sustainability Report 2024-25. Mahindra Group. https://www.mahindra.com/sites/default/files/2025-07/Mahindra-and-Mahindra-Sustainability-Report-2025.pdf

36. Ahmad, N., Raza, A., Elahi, A. R., Khan, W., & Waleed, M. (2026). Micro-Foundations of Macro Sustainability: How Green HRM and Employee Behaviors Lead to Corporate Sustainable Performance in Hospitality SMEs. Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance, 4(1), 1233-1244. https://ajeaf.com/index.php/Journal/article/download/422/471....