

“Beyond the Paycheck”: A theoretical study of how Compensation Influences Generation Z’s career decision-making and employers' retention strategies

Shardul Durge¹, Dr. Pushparaj Kulkarni², Dr. Vivek Pimplapure³

¹ Research Scholar, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies & Research, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

² Assistant Professor, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies & Research, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

³ Associate Professor, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Management Studies & Research, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

Corresponding Author:

Shardul Durge

ABSTRACT

Generation Z, the first cohort to enter the labor market entirely with a digitally networked and a post pandemic economy, is completely reshaping how we see compensation and how it functions and makes employment decisions. While earlier generational literature framed pay and compensation, primarily over retention levers, there is some evidence that suggests that Gen Z rates compensation through a much more layered lens. By combining financial security, procedural fairness, and commitment, the immediacy of reward is in play here. This study undertakes a theory-driven secondary analysis of Gen Z's compensation-linked decision-making and its implications on the organization's retention strategies and policies. In this study, we draw insights from Herzberg two factor theory, as well as psychological contract theory and generational cohort theory. The study synthesizes global industry data, notably from Deloitte's global Gen Z and millennial survey, alongside very India-specific employee and labor market evidences including Naukri and Zinnov, to examine how compensation operates simultaneously as a hygiene factor as well. The analysis finds that while Gen Z does not treat pay as a sole determinant of retention, unmet or opaque compensation expectations act as a rapid disengagement trigger, particularly where pay transparency norms are violated. In the context of the Indian market. The high signs and evidence of elevated attrition, especially in the IT/GCC sector illustrates the tension between compensation, competitiveness, and non-monetary retention levers. The study concludes that effective retention strategies require reconceptualization of compensation not as a static reward but as an ongoing signal within the psychological contract as well, with direct implications for human resource policies design in both global and Indian labor markets.

Keywords: Herzberg two factor theory, workplace preference, compensation and retention strategies.

INTRODUCTION:

A massive generational transition of unprecedented scale is currently going on in the global workforce. By 2030, Generation Z and millennials together are projected to constitute nearly 3/4 of the world's working population based on Deloitte's 2025 global Gen Z and millennial survey of over 23,000 respondents across 44 countries. Of these two generations, broadly defined as individuals born between 1995 and 2012, represent a very distinct discontinuity rather than a simple extension of their predecessors, the millennials and their workplace attitudes. As they entered the global workspace during a period of economic volatility, the COVID pandemic, a rapid AI driven disruption and the massive living cost rise, Gen Z's relationship with compensation cannot be adequately explained by the framework developed for the previous generations. The study examines via secondary research and established organizational theories, how these factors and the compensation functions as a driver for career decision-making and retention, behave among these Gen Z employees with particular attention to how this dynamic plays out in the interim market.

1.1 Background and the problem context

For the majority of the 20th century compensation research treated pay as a relatively stable, transactional variable: Employees exchange labor for wages, and retention was assumed to follow proportionally for competitive pay. Herzberg's two-factor theory complicated this assumption by classifying salary as a hygiene factor, a condition whose absence produces dissatisfaction, but whose presence does not, by itself, generate sustained motivation or loyalty. This distinction has taken a completely new perspective and a renewed significance as Gen Z enters the work workforce with marketing different expectations of what compensation is supposed to do for them psychologically and just not financially.

Survey evidence supports this reframing. Deloitte's 14th annual global survey finds that when Gen Z and millennials were questioned about the factors shaping their career decisions, their responses clustered into three interconnected categories: money, meaning, and well-being, suggesting that compensation is never evaluated by

itself or in isolation from broader life and identity concerns. Yet financial pre-chaire remains widespread: Nearly half of Gen Z respondents report not feeling financially secure, and roughly half of the Gen Z workers expect to switch employers within the next two years, often explicitly looking for higher compensation. This appearance is a huge contradiction. Compensation is just one piece of the puzzle alongside evidence that pay satisfaction remains a leading Driver for nutrition, which is precisely the theoretical puzzle this Study seeks to unpack

A second, related shift concerns how Gen Z evaluates compensation, not merely how much of it they seek. Having grown up in an environment of radical digital openness, Gen Z treats pay transparency as a baseline and bare minimum expectations rather than a workplace taboo. Nearly half of Gen Z college graduates report they would withdraw from a job application if a salary range were not disclosed and up to their mark during the hiring processes, and Gen Z discuss pay openly with peers at roughly double the rate of generation X. This behavior signals that compensation functions not only as a hygiene factor in Harrisburg’s sense but also as a fairness signal proxy for how transparently and equitably an organization treats employees more proudly. This reframes compensation as an input, what Rousseau terms the psychological contract: The unwritten, reciprocal set of beliefs employees hold about what they owe an organization and what the organization owes them. Where compensation practices are perceived as opaque and inequitable, the psychological contract is much more easily perceived as breached, triggering the disengagement and turnover intention documented extensively in psychological contract violation literature.

1.2 The Indian context

The labor market in India offers a particularly instructive setting in which to examine these dynamics, given its scale, its heavy reliance on IT and global capability center employment, and its historical high attrition rates. India’s IT sector recorded an average attrition rate of approximately 25% in recent years, with organizations increasingly focusing on curbing infant attrition, and the tendency of newly hired employees, disproportionately Gen Z, to exit within their first year of employment, as purpose-driven and financial incentives are recalibrated. Similarly, Indian survey data suggests a more complex picture than “Compensation above all”: One industry report found that the majority of Indian respondents would accept a lower starting salary for work they found genuinely interesting and meaningful for their career, even as median salary expectations for first jobs remain a significant point of negotiation. These seemingly contradictory signals, high attrition tied to compensation dissatisfaction, alongside stated willingness to trade pay for purpose to make the Indian market a valuable case for testing whether western-centric generational theory travels well, or requires a local calibration.

1.3 Rationale, objectives, and structures

Despite an expanding body of industry survey research on Gen Z workplace preferences, comparatively little scholarly work integrates this data with established

organizational behavior theory to explain the mechanism by which compensation shapes Gen Z decision-making, rather than simply describing the trend. This paper addresses that gap by applying three complementary theoretical lenses.

This study is Arganese as follows: the literature review situates existing theoretical and empirical work on compensation, generational values, and retention. The methodology section outlines the secondary research design and source selection criteria. The results section synthesizes key empirical patterns from global and Indian data sources and studies. The discussion interprets these findings through three theoretical frameworks, culminating in implications for human resource policy design in both universal and Indian contexts. The paper aims to move beyond descriptive generational stereotyping towards a theoretically grounded account of how compensation exerts the influence on Gen Z’s career decisions and what this means for employers, their structures, and retention strategies going forward.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Compensation as a hygiene factor: Herzberg’s two-factor theory

Herzberg’s two factors theory is the most commonly used and most cited framework when it comes to understanding the relationship between compensation and job attitudes. This theory distinguishes factors that includes salary, company policy, and conditions for working from factors, such as achievements, growth, recognition, Etc. Herzberg himself acknowledged the duality of salary’s character, observing that pay was mentioned about equally often as a source of satisfaction and dissatisfaction, suggesting that compensation takes up a very ambiguous position rather than a purely Hygienic one. Some other applications of the theory to healthcare, hospitality, and retail have established or reinforced this ambiguity. The organizations that offer fair pay alongside rigid working policies and little too limited recognition. Still end up experiencing a higher amount of attrition, indicating that such hiring factors alone cannot be substituted for the motivational factor. For a generation entering the workforce, I faced financial ambiguity. This dual character of compensation as both baseline necessity and a motivator when tied to recognition and growth is massively significant and extremely underrated and underexplored.

2.2 The psychological contract and perceived fairness

Rousseau’s Psychological contract theory extends into the discussion of compensation beyond the static pay levels into the domain of Fairness which is perceived and its reciprocity. What’s up? The psychological contract is determined as an employee’s belief in a reciprocal exchange agreement between their employer and themselves, encompassing both implicit and explicit promises regarding pay, recognition, and career progression. Rousseau’s Later Topology determines transactional contracts as short-term, narrowly economic exchanges from relational and balance contracts, which tend to combine economic exchange with a longer-term mutual investment. Through unmet pay expectations or

perceived inequality. The violation arises from this contract, generating a well-documented psychological response from the employee, of anger and Betrayal. Which intern predicts a turnover intention and disengagement? Recent scholarship applying this framework to hybrid management, gig work and generational shift tends to point out that the technological disruption and generational change have altered what employees expect from their employers. Fundamentally, making the psychological contract A very increasingly practical instead of purely theoretical lens for research for retention. Compensation in this framework functions as a fixed number and more as a continuous signal of whether the employer is true and ordering to its side of an unwritten agreement, a signal to which Gen Z appears particularly attentive given their preference for a very open discussion of pay and market benchmarking.

2.3 Generational cohort theory and work values

The generational cohort theory, originating in Mannheim's concept of generational unit, it portrays that individual who have similar formative socio-historical experiences during their early adulthood or adolescence develop very durable, shared values that tend to persist across and into their working lives. Applied to Generation Z, this literature tends to suggest that attitudes toward compensation are not just individual preferences but a better generation-level or cohort-level orientation shaped by the formative exposure to the current world's economic recession, the normalization of the economy, and a very persuasive transparency of the digital landscape. These major things distinguish Gen Z from those millennials in degree, if not in kind, and help explain otherwise the very puzzling empirical patterns such as simultaneous reports of financial insecurity and lack of loyalty to a single employer as a rational cohort response to an unstable economic environment rather than just a generational entitlement.

2.4 Empirical evidence on compensation and retention

Industry research complements these theoretical stands. Deloitte Global Gen Z and Millennial Survey. Fine compensation is huddled up with meaning and well-being. As an independent driver of career decision-making, while also simultaneously identifying pay, along with workplace burnout and environment, are leading reasons for voluntary attraction. The parallel research on the transparency of compensation indicated that Gen Z tends to treat compensation disclosure as indicator or proxy for that particular organization's fairness, with a large share of job seekers reporting that they would withdraw from the application if the organization does not disclose the salary offered. In the context of the Indian demographic, NASCO delights in compensation benchmarking data that persistently elevated the IT sector attrition. Alongside the employers' efforts to counter infant attraction or very early attrition through differentiated Non-financial benefits evidence that most of the Indian employers already implicitly apply Herzberg Consistent strategies.

Putting all together, this literature indicates a need for an integrated theoretical account. Combining factors like cohort-specific formative experiences, hygiene factor logic, psychological contract, and its dynamics which are

capable of explaining Gen Z's compensation-linked decision-making, which the present study undertakes in the section that follows.

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research design: This research paper adopts a very qualitative approach, a theory-driven secondary research design, culminating existing industry survey data, Market reports, and peer-reviewed organizational behavior literature to examine and study how compensation shapes Gen Z career decision-making and their employer retention outcomes. Given this research is an objective of explaining the method and mechanism by which compensation influences Gen Z decision-making rather than generating new primary data. A secondary approach an analytical one was deemed appropriate. The secondary research approach permits a triangulation across various data sources, such as global survey panels, national industry reports, benchmarking reports and academic literature. Thereby increasing the reliability of patterns that can be identified compared to any single primary data, which also remains feasible within the scope of the desk based academic paper.

3.2 Source selection criteria

The sources for this research were selected according to three major criteria which were currency, credibility, and relevance to the topic. Given the very fast evolving nature of today's workplace environment & attitudes, priority was given to data collected from the year 2024 to 2026. Most notable data contribution came from Deloitte global Gen Z and millennial survey in the 14th and 15th editions. This data draws on more than 23,000 respondents across 44 countries and is the largest recurring data set on the cohort and Generation Z globally. The second factor was credibility, which was assisted by prioritizing sources from established research organizations such as Deloitte, Nasscon, Zinnov, Aon & Pew Research, Recognition research, and academic journals and foundational theoretical texts. While excluding low liability aggregators and content without any distinguishable primary sources. The third major factor was the relevance, which was determined by each source's direct bearing on the topic of compensation, retention, or generational work values. Sources that were addressing generic workplace trends unrelated to pay or retention were excluded from this analysis. To address the study's dual global and in India based scope, the sources that were selected were deliberately drawn from two particular tears. The first global generational survey data, which was primarily taken from the Deloitte study, was to establish a pattern across India in Generation Z compensation and attitude. Second, India-specific Job market source was identified, including the Deloitte technology sector compensation benchmarking report, Zinnov's GCC talent trend analysis, salary forecast, and India's Employment aggregator services specifically to the Gen Z workforce, to contextualize these global patterns specifically within India's IT and GCC-dominated employment landscape.

3.3 Analytical framework

The data, which was extracted from these particular sources was thematically organized around three

particular theoretical lenses that were previously established in the literature review. Each of the empirical findings that were drawn from the secondary sources was specifically mapped against these three lenses, so an identification of conversion or tension between these productions and observed data, for instance, testing whether reported Gen Z nutrition patterns align with Herzberg’s Prediction that hygiene factor Satisfaction Drives Disengagement Independent Of Motivator factor presence.

3.4 Limitations

The design in this research carries an acknowledged limitation. As the study relies on secondary, largely survey-based industry data means findings Reflect self-reported attitudes and intentions instead of observed behavior, introducing potential social desirability and recall biases. The global and cross-national comparability is also somewhat imperfect, as survey methodologies, Gen Z birth year definition and sample composition vary across sources. For example, Deloitte tends to define Gen Z as those who are born between the years 1995 and 2006 while other sources claim the extent of the generation to 2012. Also, an industry reports while very transparent in most of the cases, methodologically are lacking of peer review and may also carry sponsor-related framing. The study tackles the limitation addressed through triangulation across multiple independent sources and flagging explicitly of single source claims in result and discussion sections.

RESULTS

The energy of the secondary sources has revealed a very consistent cross-national pattern. Generation Z does not greet compensation. As only determining factor for their career decisions, yet in adequate or Opaque Compensation Functions Act as a very powerful disengagement trigger. Deloitte global survey data shows that when they are asked about the factors shaping their career decisions, Generation Z and millennials respond in specific clusters of three very interconnected categories: Money, meaning, and finally, well-being. These respondents explicitly framed these factors as a trifecta rather than a hierarchy. So neither of the factors is above the other or preferred over the other. Yet somehow, financial pre-charity remains a very widespread phenomenon. Nearly half of the Gen Z respondents report that they do not feel financially secure, and their compensation, along with their workplace environment and burnout is identified as a leading driver for their voluntary attrition decisions. Almost 1/3 of the same Gen Z respondent indicate their intentions to switch employers within the time period of two years, frequently citing compensation as a motivating factor.

A second and very Concerning finding, which concerns the transparency policy as a compensation decision variable. Across various independent sources, a huge share of Gen Z job seeker reported that they would withdraw from application processes or would actively not pursue that particular recruiter if the job-related salary information and compensation breakdown were not disclosed, with the industry report placing this figure as

high as 44% of graduates. Generation Z also openly discusses compensation with their peers at a market higher rates than older generations. Using this information to benchmark whether their own pay is aligning with what the market’s fair compensation is. This is a suggestion that compensation function in a practice as a composite signal encompasses both absolute pay level and the fairness which is perceived of the process by which it is determined and communicated.

In the context of the Indian subcontinent, the results show a much more layered picture. Nasscon - Deloitte Compensation benchmarking data has indicated that the IT sector attrition rates would be around 25% with the organizations increasingly focused on eliminating infant attrition, Early exits. Aon’s Salary forecast data has shown multi-year decline in early voluntary attrition from 17% in 2022 To an estimated of 11.8% in 2025. This is attributed partly to the economic risk aversion and partly to the strengthening of non-monetary retention initiatives such as upscaling, Hybrid work engagements, and work-from-home policies. India’s job aggregator websites survey data also adds a Further layer of nuance that a majority of India Gen Z respondents, specifically 57% then to define career growth primarily as skill acquisition rather than salary hikes or promotions. And roughly one into ranked their work-life balance much higher than compensation when they evaluate job opportunities, though this way of decision-making or preferences tend to weaken somewhat as their tenure and experience increase. Another industry source also reports that a majority of Indian generations had respondent are likely to accept a lower starting salary for a meaningful, genuine work, even a median salary expectation for a first job or initial job remain a high substantial point of negotiation.

Putting all this together, these results indicate two convergent findings applicable across the global and Indian context. First and foremost compensation operates as a highly necessary but not sufficient condition for retention of the Gen Z. Consistent with hygiene factor patterns second the manner in which the compensation information is disclosed or communicated, transparently or opaquely, shapes Generation Z decisions and a pattern less thoroughly terrorist in prior generational research, and taken up directly into the discussion that follows.

DISCUSSIONS

5.1 Reconciling the money meaning and well-being paradox through Herzberg.

The main pivotal empirical puzzle that was identified in these results is that Gen Z tends to rank compensation alongside meaning and well-being as an independent factor. Yet the simultaneous site pay dissatisfaction as a leading driver of their Voluntary attraction is resolved coherently once viewed through Herzberg’s two-factor theory. Herzberg’s Framer did not predict that employee will rank their salary as not important rather it tends to predict that salary functions as a threshold variable. If it is below an acceptable threshold, its absence generates a highly active dissatisfaction and disengagement, regardless of how strong the other motivators are, such as purpose, growth & recognition. About that threshold, however, Further increases in pay yield diminishing

motivational returns, and retention becomes increasingly dependent on genuine motivators. This explains why almost 50% of Gen Z respondents report their financial insecurity and determine why paying one of the three co-equal decision inputs. Compensation has not cleared the hygiene threshold for a majority share of this generation making it a life source for dissatisfaction rather than just being a settled baseline from which meaning and well-being can be pursued. Herzberg’s Own observation that salary carries a particular dual character, either sometimes hygiene or sometimes motivator also helps in explaining performance-linked compensation Practices increasingly implemented and used in Indian technology firms, where a differentiated payout for top performers (Reported at 1.5 to 1.8 times average performer pay) Functions simultaneously as a hygiene factor and a motivator. A hybrid version of Herzberg’s Original binary did not fully anticipate but which later applications of the theory have acknowledged.

5.2 Compensation as a fairness signal: The psychological contract extended.

Herzberg’s Theory tends to explain the threshold effect but it does not explain quite adequately the second major finding. The process of compensation communication, versus the transparency Versus the opaque independently shaped generation Z decision-making, sometimes is irrespective of the compensation level offered. This will be better explained through Rousseau’s Psychological Contract Theory. If employees hold an internal belief about a reciprocal exchange with their employer, then if an employer refuses to disclose salary information is not merely an administrative choice; it is interpreted by the employee as a signal that the employer might not intend to respect or honor its side of that exchange fairly. This reading is consistent with the finding that a huge share of Gen Z graduates is willing to disengage from the hiring process, specifically over such non-disclosure, and that Gen Z discusses compensation and pay quite openly with their peers at nearly double the rate of Generation X. Under Rousseau’s Typology, this suggest generation Z highly defaults towards what might be termed a transparency-conditioned relational contract. Willing to invest relationally with an employer through loyalty, tenure, transparency, and discretionary effort, but only once baseline transactional fairness principle, visible and benchmarked pay has been proven and established by the employer. Employers who withhold this information may therefore inadvertently Signal and unwillingness to enter into the transaction for the psychological contract which would lead to closing the relational tie before it has even a chance to form. These reframes pay transparency not as a human resource policy question, but also as a foundational input into whether a psychological contract can be established at all with the Gen Z talent.

5.3 Why this generation, and why now

The generational cohort theory gives a very explanatory layer connecting these two mechanisms to Gen Z specifically, rather than treating them just as standard and universal employee behaviors. Twenge et al.’s Empirical demonstration that extrinsic work values have skyrocketed steadily across successive generations,

alongside declining organizational loyalty, indicates that Gen Z’s heightened sensitivity to pay thresholds and fairness and transparency signals is not an isolated preference but a continuation and is also likely acceleration for a multi-decade generational trend which is consistent with Mannheim’s Premise that a shared formative experience tends to produce durable generation-level values. Gen Z formative years, starting from the 2008 financial crisis aftermath, the gig economy and its normalization, and the COVID-19 disruption of their early careers and ultimately the universal exposure to social media culture, Plausibly explains why this cohort Psychological contracts scrutiny to compensation with highly unusual speeds and intensity compared to their prior generation. This generation lens also cautions against treating this generation’s compensation behavior as irrational entitlement or straightforward pragmatism. It’s better understood as a rational adaptation to a very formative economic moment, which was characterized by Obvious instability and information abundance.

5.4 Implication for the Indian market

The evidence based on the Indian subcontinent complicates a purely Western-derived reading of these theories inn instructive ways. The Indian Gen Z survey data shows a majority defining career growth as skill acquisition rather than salary progression, and the majority of them are willing to accept substandard or lower pay in return for meaningful work which might appear to contradict the hygiene factor threshold, which is observed on a global scale. However, this is much more Plausibly explained as evidence that a major share of urban, formally employed Indian Gen Z respondents in the IT and GCC roles have already cleared the compensation hygiene threshold. Once past this threshold, Herzberg’s Theory would predict precisely the shift towards motivator seeking observed in this data. The suggestion is that Indian employers may face a bifurcated retention challenge, once for the compensation, Constrained Early career hires, Hygiene factor logic, and psychological contract remain paramount, which explains persistent infant attrition, for more established or highly skilled Gen Z employees. Retention will increase depending on the motivator factor investment such as upscaling career mobility and flexible work model.

5.5 Two words: an integrated retention framework

Collectively, these theories suggest a lens or a perspective that an effective retention strategy cannot actually rely on a single compensation lever. Organizations must first ensure that compensation clears a credible hiding threshold it meets local market benchmarks. They should ensure the process of compensation is highly transparent and communicated well to satisfy the psychological contract expectations of fairness. And third they should recognize Gen Z’s heightened sensitivity to both the factors which reflects A very durable generation rather than a transient preference likely to fade with this generation which will age into different life stages. For Indian employers specifically this implies a differentiated retention design based on which employee segment sits relatively close to their compensation threshold, rather than just a uniform strategy for all Gen Z hires. Their

study also suggests a revision on how Human resource practitioners should interpret survey findings showing Gen Z ranking as purpose overpay. Such findings are best interpreted not as evidence that compensation no longer

matters, but as evidence that compensation was once adequate and fair. Receipts from the foreground of decision-making....

REFERENCES

1. Deloitte. (2025). Delighted global 2025 Gen Z and millennial survey
2. <https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/issues/work/Gen-Z-millennial-survey.html>
3. Deloitte. (2026). Delight global 2026 Gen Z and millennial survey
4. <https://www.deloitte.com/global/en/issues/work/Gen-Z-millennial-survey.html>
5. Dheya Career Mentors. (2026, June 4). Gen Z career value in India: why purpose is beating salary in 2026. Dheya Insights. <https://www.dheya.com/insights/gen-z-career-values-india>
6. Fore, p. (2025, April 21). Nearly half of Gen Z graduates admit they have hosted employers who failed to mention this one thing in the interview. Fortune <https://www.fortune.com/article/gen-z-ghosting-employers-job-search-salary-transparency-non-negotiable>
7. Fortune. (2025, November 11). Curious Gen Z wants to know what their peers are earning, so they are sharing their salaries at work and won't apply to jobs that don't list wages. <https://fortune.com/2025/11/11/gen-z-share-salaries-compensation-at-work-wage-gap-transparency-wealth/>
8. Herzberg, F. (1966). Work and the nature of men. World Publishing.
9. Mannheim. K. (1952). The problem of generation. In p. Kecskemeti (Ed.), Essay on the sociology of knowledge (pp. 276-322). Routledge & Kegan Paul.
10. Naukri.com (2025). What India's Gen Z want from work and why employers must rethink. <https://www.naukri.com/blog/what-indias-gen-z-wants-from-work-and-why-employers-must-rethink/amp>
11. Nasscom. (2025). India Technology Industry Compensation Benchmarking Survey Findings: 2025. <https://community.nasscom.in/index.php/communities/nasscom-insights/india-technology-industry-compensation-benchmarking-survey-findings-2025>
12. Nasscom. (2025). SIAH - India GCC trends for 2025. <https://community.nasscom.in/communities/gcc/siah-india-gcc-trends-2025>
13. Rousseau, D.M. (1989). Psychological contract in organization: understanding written and unwritten agreements. Sage Publications.
14. Shortlisters. (2025, May 19). Pay transparency in 2025. <https://www.myshortlister.com/insights/pay-transparency-2025>
15. Taggd. (2026). India salary forecast 2026. <https://taggd.in/whitepapers-and-ebooks/india-salary-forecast-2026/>
16. The Interview Guys. (2026, May 5). How Gen Z’s salary transparency activism is helping everyone get paid more. <https://blog.theinterviewguys.com/gen-z-salary-transparency/>
17. Twenge, J. M., Campbell, S. M., Hoffman, B. J., & Lance, C. E. (2010). Generational differences in work values: Leisure and extrinsic values increasing, social and intrinsic values decreasing. *Journal of Management*, 36(5), 1117–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309352246>
18. University of Georgia Career Center. (2023). Career services trends: Pay transparency with Gen Z. https://career.uga.edu/news/career_services_trends_pay_transparency_with_gen_z
19. Wisemonk. (2026, May 26). Attrition rate in India 2026: Trends & industry data. <https://www.wisemonk.io/blogs/managing-attrition-in-india>
20. Zinnov. (2025, May 2). Salary increase, attrition, and hiring trends in GCCs 2025 – An India GCC view. [https://zinnov.com/global-talent/salary-increase-attrition-and-hiring-trends-an-india-gcc-view-2025-report/..](https://zinnov.com/global-talent/salary-increase-attrition-and-hiring-trends-an-india-gcc-view-2025-report/)