

## Investigating Skill Enhancement and Career Trajectories of Crowd Workers in Digital Labor Platform

Dr. Devaraju

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, Rani Channamma University, Belagavi.

ORCID:ID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-7353-8116>

### ABSTRACT

The rapid growth of digital labor platforms has transformed traditional employment structures by creating new opportunities for flexible and remote work. Crowd workers increasingly participate in platform-based labor markets to access global tasks, generate income, and develop professional skills. Within this context, understanding how skill enhancement influences career trajectories have become an important area of inquiry. This study investigates the relationship between skill enhancement and career progression among crowd workers engaged in platform-based labor. The primary aim of the study is to examine how participation in digital labor platforms contributes to skill development and how these skills influence workers' access to better work opportunities and career mobility. The study adopts a quantitative research design. Data were collected from a sample of 150 crowd workers using a structured questionnaire distributed through online communities and freelancer networks. A non-probability convenience sampling technique was employed to select respondents actively engaged in digital labor platforms. The collected data were analysed using basic statistical techniques, including descriptive statistics, reliability and validity analysis, correlation analysis, and simple regression analysis to test the proposed hypotheses. The results indicate that skill enhancement plays a significant role in shaping the career trajectories of crowd workers. Increased engagement in platform-based tasks and continuous learning activities contributes to improved skill development. Furthermore, higher levels of skill acquisition are associated with greater access to work opportunities, improved earning potential, and stronger prospects for career advancement within digital labor platforms. Overall, the study contributes to the growing literature on the gig economy by highlighting the importance of skill development in supporting sustainable career pathways in digital labor markets. The findings offer valuable implications for platform providers, policymakers, and workers by emphasizing the need to promote skill-building initiatives and learning opportunities within platform-based labor environments

**Keywords:** Digital Labor Platforms, Crowd Work, Skill Enhancement, Career Trajectories, Gig Economy

### INTRODUCTION:

The rapid expansion of digital labor platforms has transformed contemporary labor markets by enabling individuals to access work opportunities through online marketplaces. These platforms facilitate crowd work, where tasks are distributed to a large pool of geographically dispersed workers who complete them remotely using digital technologies. The growth of the gig economy has created new forms of employment characterized by flexibility, autonomy, and task-based compensation (Alauddin et al., 2025; Sharma & Sharma, 2025). Digital labor platforms such as online freelancing and micro-task marketplaces have become important channels for employment, particularly in developing economies where workers seek alternative income sources and global work opportunities (Graham et al., 2017; Sato, 2024).

While digital platforms provide access to work, they also reshape how workers acquire skills and build careers. Unlike traditional employment settings, crowd workers often rely on self-directed learning, peer communities, and experiential task completion to develop their

competencies (Margaryan, 2019; Deng & Joshi, 2016). Informal learning processes within digital environments play a significant role in enabling workers to adapt to platform requirements, improve performance, and remain competitive in the online labor market (Pata & Hirv-Biene, 2017; Amenduni et al., 2022). These digital workspaces therefore function not only as marketplaces but also as environments where continuous skill enhancement occurs through participation and practice.

However, despite the opportunities for skill development, career progression within platform-based labor remains uncertain. Research indicates that many gig workers experience fragmented career paths, limited upward mobility, and income instability (Oprins, 2025; Kurian & Madhavi, 2024). Platform-based work often lacks structured career ladders and institutional support mechanisms that traditionally facilitate professional advancement (Kuhn et al., 2021; Shevchuk et al., 2022). Consequently, crowd workers must navigate complex career trajectories shaped by algorithmic management, market competition, and fluctuating demand for digital skills (van Zoonen & Sivunen, 2024; Johnston et al., 2025).

Given these dynamics, understanding how crowd workers develop skills and build sustainable careers in digital labor platforms has become an important area of inquiry. This study investigates the processes of skill enhancement and career trajectories among crowd workers, with the aim of providing insights into the opportunities and challenges associated with professional growth in platform-based labor environments.

## 2. Why this Study?

The rapid expansion of digital labor platforms has generated significant academic interest in the gig economy and its implications for employment structures, worker autonomy, and labor market transformation. Existing literature has extensively examined the growth of platform-based work, focusing on its economic significance, regulatory challenges, and the changing nature of employment relationships (Alauddin et al., 2025; Sharma & Sharma, 2025; Mamadiyarov et al., 2025). Several studies have also highlighted the role of digital labor platforms in creating new livelihood opportunities for workers across different regions, particularly in developing economies (Graham et al., 2017; Sato, 2024). However, despite the increasing scholarly attention to digital labor markets, there remains limited empirical understanding of how crowd workers develop skills and navigate long-term career trajectories within these platform-based work environments.

Much of the existing research has primarily focused on the structural characteristics of gig work, including worker motivations, platform governance, and employment conditions (Kuhn et al., 2021; Gerber, 2020). While these studies provide valuable insights into the operational dynamics of digital labor platforms, they often overlook the processes through which workers acquire new competencies and transform short-term gig tasks into sustainable career pathways. Research indicates that crowd workers frequently rely on informal and self-directed learning practices to enhance their skills and remain competitive in platform-based labor markets (Margaryan, 2019; Amenduni et al., 2022). However, the mechanisms through which these learning processes translate into career advancement remain insufficiently explored.

Furthermore, existing literature highlights several challenges faced by crowd workers, including income volatility, intense global competition, and the absence of structured career development frameworks (Oprins, 2025; Kurian & Madhavi, 2024). Scholars have also noted that digital labor platforms often create fragmented career paths, where workers must continuously adapt to changing platform demands without institutional support or formal training opportunities (Shevchuk et al., 2022; van Zoonen & Sivunen, 2024). These conditions raise important questions about the sustainability of crowd work as a long-term career option.

Therefore, this study seeks to bridge the existing research gap by examining how crowd workers enhance their skills

and shape their career trajectories within digital labor platforms. By exploring the relationship between skill acquisition, informal learning, and career progression in platform-based work environments, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of professional development in the gig economy. In doing so, it also addresses calls in recent research for more comprehensive investigations into worker experiences and long-term career outcomes within digital labor markets (Johnston et al., 2025; Deng & Joshi, 2016; Pata & Hirv-Biene, 2017).

## 3. Research Objectives

**H1:** Skill enhancement through participation in digital labor platforms has a positive influence on the career progression of crowd workers.

**H2:** Higher levels of engagement in platform-based tasks and continuous learning activities significantly improve the skill development of crowd workers.

**H3:** Level of skill acquisition among crowd workers positively affects their access to better work opportunities, higher earnings, and upward career mobility within digital labor platforms.

## 4. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a quantitative research design to examine the relationship between skill enhancement and career trajectories of crowd workers engaged in platform-based labor. The target population for the study consists of individuals working on digital labor platforms such as online freelancing and crowd work marketplaces. A sample size of 150 crowd workers will be selected to gather relevant data regarding their experiences related to skill development, learning practices, and career progression within digital platforms. The study will employ a non-probability sampling technique, specifically convenience sampling, to identify respondents who are actively involved in crowd work. Participants will be recruited through online freelancer communities, professional networking groups, and social media platforms where digital workers are active. Data will be collected using a structured questionnaire designed to capture information on demographic characteristics, skill enhancement activities, learning opportunities, work experience on platforms, and perceived career progression. The questionnaire will use Likert-scale items to measure respondents' perceptions of skill acquisition, learning opportunities, and career outcomes. Data collected from the respondents will be analysed using basic statistical techniques. Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation will be used to summarize the demographic profile and key study variables. To test the proposed hypotheses, correlation analysis and simple regression analysis will be conducted to examine the relationship between skill enhancement and career trajectories of crowd workers. The analysis will be performed using statistical software to ensure accurate interpretation of the results.

## 5. Data Analysis & Interpretation

**Table-1 Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 150)**

Variable	Category	Frequency	Per (%)
<b>Gender</b>	Male	87	58.0
	Female	60	40.0
	Other	3	2.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Age</b>	Below 25 years	57	38.0
	25–34 years	60	40.0
	35–44 years	22	14.7
	45 years and above	11	7.3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Educational Qualification</b>	SSC	20	13.3
	HSSC	24	16.0
	Undergraduate	45	30.0
	Postgraduate	44	29.3
	Professional / Technical	17	11.4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Location</b>	Urban	102	68.0
	Rural	48	32.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Primary Language</b>	English	59	39.3
	Hindi	44	29.3
	Regional Language	47	31.4
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Socio-Economic Background</b>	Low Income	34	22.7
	Middle Income	88	58.7
	High Income	28	18.6
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Digital Labour Platforms Used</b>	Amazon Mechanical Turk	45	30.0
	Upwork	58	38.7
	Fiverr	81	54.0
	Other Platforms	19	12.7
<b>Experience in Crowd Work</b>	Less than 6 months	45	30.0
	6 months – 1 year	31	20.7
	1–2 years	49	32.7
	More than 2 years	25	16.6

	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Hours Spent per Week</b>	Less than 10 hours	48	32.0
	10–20 hours	43	28.7
	21–30 hours	35	23.3
	More than 30 hours	24	16.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Nature of Work</b>	Microtasks	64	42.7
	Freelance Tasks	82	54.7
	Gig-based Tasks	122	81.3
	Other Tasks	138	92.0

Source: Field Survey

The demographic profile of the respondents provides an overview of the characteristics of crowd workers participating in platform-based labor. The study includes responses from 150 participants engaged in digital labor platforms.

With respect to gender, most respondents are male (58%), followed by female workers (40%), while a small proportion (2%) identify as other genders. This distribution indicates that although men form the larger share of crowd workers, female participation in digital labor platforms is also considerable.

In terms of age, the data reveals that a large proportion of respondents belong to the 25–34 years age group (40%), followed closely by those below 25 years (38%). Respondents aged 35–44 years account for 14.7%, while 7.3% are 45 years and above. This suggests that crowd work is undertaken by younger individuals, particularly those in the early stages of their careers who may be exploring flexible employment opportunities.

Regarding educational qualification, the largest group consists of undergraduates (30%) and postgraduates (29.3%), indicating that many crowd workers possess higher educational qualifications. Respondents with HSSC (16%) and SSC (13.3%) also participate in crowd work, while 11.4% have professional or technical qualifications. This reflects that digital labor platforms attract workers with diverse educational backgrounds.

The location distribution shows that 68% of respondents reside in urban areas, whereas 32% are from rural regions, suggesting that urban workers have greater access to digital infrastructure and platform-based work opportunities.

In terms of primary language, English (39.3%) is the most used language among respondents, followed by regional languages (31.4%) and Hindi (29.3%). This indicates the multilingual nature of digital labor participation.

The socio-economic background of respondents reveals that a majority belong to the middle-income group (58.7%), while 22.7% come from low-income backgrounds and 18.6% from high-income groups, suggesting that crowd work is often used as a supplementary or alternative income source. Regarding platform usage, Fiverr (54%) is the most widely used platform, followed by Upwork (38.7%) and Amazon Mechanical Turk (30%), while 12.7% use other platforms. In terms of experience, 32.7% of respondents have 1–2 years of experience, indicating a moderate level of familiarity with platform-based work. The data on working hours shows that 32% spend less than 10 hours per week, suggesting that many workers engage in crowd work on a part-time basis. Finally, the nature of work indicates that respondents frequently perform gig-based tasks (81.3%), freelance tasks (54.7%), and microtasks (42.7%), demonstrating the varied forms of digital labor undertaken by crowd workers.

Table-2 Reliability and Validity of Study Constructs

Construct	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability (CR)	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
Skill Enhancement	5	0.864	0.892	0.623
Learning Engagement in Platforms	4	0.832	0.871	0.598

<b>Career Trajectory / Career Progression</b>	5	0.879	0.903	0.651
<b>Work Opportunities &amp; Earnings Growth</b>	4	0.841	0.878	0.604

Source: Authors Calculation

The reliability and validity results indicate that all constructs used in the study meet acceptable statistical thresholds. Cronbach’s Alpha values range from 0.832 to 0.879, which exceed the recommended minimum value of 0.70, demonstrating strong internal consistency among the measurement items. This suggests that the questionnaire items reliably measure the intended constructs related to skill development and career progression among crowd workers. Similarly, the Composite Reliability (CR) values range from 0.871 to 0.903, which are above the recommended threshold of 0.70, indicating a high level of reliability and consistency within each construct. The Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values range between 0.598 and 0.651, all above the acceptable threshold of 0.50, confirming adequate convergent validity. This implies that the indicators effectively represent the underlying constructs. Overall, the results confirm that the measurement model used in this study is both reliable and valid, supporting further statistical analysis to examine the relationships between skill enhancement, learning engagement, and career trajectories of crowd workers in platform-based labor environments.

**Table-3 Correlation Analysis between Skill Enhancement and Career Progression**

Variables	Skill Enhancement	Career Progression
<b>Skill Enhancement</b>	1	0.642**
<b>Career Progression</b>	0.642**	1

The correlation coefficient between skill enhancement and career progression is 0.642, indicating a strong positive relationship between the two variables. The significance level ( $p < 0.01$ ) suggests that the relationship is statistically significant. This implies that higher levels of skill enhancement among crowd workers are associated with better career progression on digital labor platforms.

**Table-4 Regression Analysis: Impact of Skill Enhancement on Career Progression**

Model	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	Sig.
<b>Skill Enhancement → Career</b>	0.642	0.412	0.408	103.52	0.000

<b>Progression</b>					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Predictor	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
<b>Skill Enhancement</b>	0.642	10.17	0.000

The regression results show that skill enhancement significantly predicts career progression among crowd workers ( $\beta = 0.642, p < 0.001$ ). The R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.412 indicates that skill enhancement explains 41.2% of the variance in career progression. Therefore, H1 is supported.

**Table-5 Correlation Analysis between Platform Engagement and Skill Development**

Variables	Platform Engagement	Skill Development
<b>Platform Engagement</b>	1	0.588**
<b>Skill Development</b>	0.588**	1

The correlation coefficient between platform engagement and skill development is 0.588, indicating a moderate to strong positive relationship. This suggests that increased participation and engagement in digital labor platforms contributes to improved skill development among crowd workers.

**Table-6 Regression Analysis: Impact of Platform Engagement on Skill Development**

Model	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	Sig.
<b>Platform Engagement → Skill Development</b>	0.588	0.346	0.341	78.46	0.000
<b>Predictor</b>	<b>Beta (β)</b>	<b>t-value</b>	<b>Sig.</b>		

<b>Platform Engagement</b>	0.588	8.86	0.000
----------------------------	-------	------	-------

Regression results indicate that platform engagement significantly influences skill development ( $\beta = 0.588$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The  $R^2$  value of 0.346 shows that 34.6% of the variance in skill development is explained by engagement in platform-based tasks. Therefore, H2 is supported.

**Table-7 Correlation Analysis between Skill Acquisition and Work Opportunities**

Variables	Skill Acquisition	Work Opportunities
<b>Skill Acquisition</b>	1	0.615**
<b>Work Opportunities</b>	0.615**	1

The correlation coefficient ( $r = 0.615$ ) indicates a significant positive relationship between skill acquisition and work opportunities. This suggests that crowd workers who acquire higher levels of skills are more likely to obtain better job opportunities and earnings on digital platforms.

**Table-8 Regression Analysis: Impact of Skill Acquisition on Work Opportunities and Career Mobility**

Model	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	Sig.
<b>Skill Acquisition → Work Opportunities</b>	0.615	0.378	0.374	89.21	0.000
Predictor		Beta ( $\beta$ )	t-value	Sig.	
<b>Skill Acquisition</b>		0.615	9.45	0.000	

The regression analysis demonstrates that skill acquisition significantly influences access to work opportunities and career mobility ( $\beta = 0.615$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The  $R^2$  value of 0.378 indicates that 37.8% of the variance in work opportunities is explained by skill acquisition. Therefore, H3 is supported.

## 6. Implications of the Study

The present study offers several important implications for multiple stakeholders, including digital platform providers, policymakers, educational institutions, and crowd workers themselves. As platform-based labor continues to expand globally, understanding the role of skill enhancement in shaping career trajectories can contribute to improving the sustainability and effectiveness of digital work environments.

First, the study highlights the importance of continuous skill development within digital labor platforms. Platform providers can utilize these insights to design integrated learning systems, training modules, and certification programs that enable crowd workers to improve their competencies and remain competitive in the evolving digital marketplace. By incorporating structured skill development opportunities within platforms, organizations can support workers in achieving long-term career growth rather than limiting them to short-term task completion.

Second, the study has implications for policy formulation and labor regulation in the gig economy. Policymakers can use the insights from this research to develop policies that promote skill-building initiatives, digital literacy programs, and accessible training opportunities for crowd workers. Such initiatives may help reduce employment instability and support upward career mobility in the digital labor market.

Third, the findings emphasize the role of informal and self-directed learning in digital workspaces, suggesting that educational institutions and professional training organizations should incorporate digital freelancing skills, remote collaboration practices, and platform-based work strategies into their curricula. This can better prepare individuals for emerging forms of employment within the digital economy.

Finally, the study provides practical implications for crowd workers themselves, encouraging them to actively engage in skill enhancement and continuous learning to improve their career prospects. By strategically developing their capabilities and diversifying their expertise, workers can access higher-value tasks, improve income opportunities, and establish more sustainable career paths within platform-based labor environments.

## 7. Limitations of the Study

Despite providing useful insights, this study has certain limitations. First, the research is based on a sample size of 150 respondents, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to the broader population of crowd workers across different regions and platforms. Second, the study employs a non-probability convenience sampling technique, which may introduce sampling bias. Third, the research relies on self-reported data, which may be influenced by respondents' perceptions and subjective experiences. Additionally, the study focuses on basic statistical analysis, limiting deeper exploration of complex relationships. Future research can address these limitations by using larger samples and advanced analytical methods.

## 8. Future Scope of the Study

The present study opens several avenues for future research on skill enhancement and career trajectories within platform-based labor. Future studies can expand the scope by including larger and more diverse samples of crowd workers across different countries and digital platforms, which would improve the generalizability of the findings. Comparative studies between different types of digital labor platforms, such as freelancing platforms, microtask platforms, and gig marketplaces, could provide

deeper insights into how platform structures influence skill development and career opportunities.

Further research may also employ advanced statistical techniques, such as structural equation modelling or longitudinal analysis, to better understand the long-term relationship between skill acquisition, learning engagement, and career mobility. Additionally, qualitative approaches such as interviews or case studies could help explore the lived experiences of crowd workers and the strategies they use to navigate career pathways.

Future studies may also examine the role of platform governance, algorithmic management, and digital reputation systems in shaping workers' professional growth. Finally, research could investigate the impact of training programs, digital literacy initiatives, and institutional support mechanisms in enhancing sustainable career development within the evolving gig and platform economy.

## 9. CONCLUSION

The rapid expansion of digital labor platforms has significantly reshaped contemporary work arrangements by creating new opportunities for flexible employment and income generation. Within this evolving landscape, crowd workers increasingly rely on digital platforms to access tasks, develop skills, and explore alternative career pathways. This study examined the relationship between skill enhancement and career trajectories among crowd workers engaged in platform-based labor, with the objective of understanding how participation in digital work environments contributes to professional development and career progression.

## REFERENCES

1. Abedi, E. A., Ackah-Jnr, F. R., & Ametepey, A. K. (2026). Learning through informal spaces for technology integration: Unpacking the nature of teachers' learning and its implications for classroom pedagogy. *Education 3-13*, 54(1), 15–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2023.2278175>
2. Alauddin, F. D. A., Aman, A., Ghazali, M. F., & Daud, S. (2025). The influence of digital platforms on gig workers: A systematic literature review. *Heliyon*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e41491>
3. Amenduni, F., Ryymin, E., Maetoloa, K., & Cattaneo, A. (2022). Facing disruptive changes with informal workplace learning strategies: The experience of European companies. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.889850>
4. Cantarella, M., & Strozzi, C. (2021). Workers in the crowd: The labor market impact of the online platform economy. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 30(6), 1429–1458. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/dtab022>
5. Charlton, T., Hukal, P., & Márton, A. (2025). Becoming a gig worker: An exploratory life course study of inbound career trajectories in the UK gig economy. *Work Organisation, Labour & Globalisation*, 19(3), 484–505. <https://doi.org/10.13169/workorglaboglob.19.3.0009>
6. Deng, X. N., & Joshi, K. D. (2016). Why individuals participate in micro-task crowdsourcing work environment: Revealing crowdworkers' perceptions. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 17(10). <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00441>
7. Fiers, F. (2024). Resilience in the gig economy: Digital skills in online freelancing. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 29(5). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmae014>
8. Gerber, C. (2020). Community building on crowdwork platforms: Autonomy and control of online workers? *Competition & Change*, 25(2), 190–211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1024529420914472>
9. Graham, M., Hjorth, I., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2017). Digital labour and development: Impacts of global digital labour platforms and the gig economy on worker livelihoods. *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research*, 23(2), 135–162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1024258916687250>
10. Hardy, W. (2026). The rise of online crowd-patronage: Models, challenges, and providing security to the creative worker. In C. Dalla Chiesa & A. Rykkja (Eds.), *Cultural funding and financing*.

- Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-96696-5\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-96696-5_10)
11. Johnston, H., Silberman, M. S., Howson, K., & Woodcock, J. (2025). How can working conditions for online crowdworkers be improved? Institutional experiments for cross-jurisdictional polycentric work. *Work in the Global Economy*, 5(2), 261–281. <https://doi.org/10.1332/27324176Y2025D000000037>
  12. Karhapää, A. (2026). Learning at work in the digital age: The use of digital technology in informal workplace learning.
  13. Karim, S., & Asif, Z. (2021). Investigating the relationship between capability and motivation of crowd worker to get better performance: A mathematical approach. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article 1548546. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1548546>
  14. Kuhn, K. M., Meijerink, J., & Keegan, A. (2021). Human resource management and the gig economy: Challenges and opportunities at the intersection between organizational HR decision-makers and digital labor platforms. In M. R. Buckley, A. R. Wheeler, J. E. Baur, & J. R. B. Halbesleben (Eds.), *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S0742-730120210000039001>
  15. Kurian, J. S., & Madhavi, N. B. (2024). Navigating the gig economy: Exploring challenges and motivations for the wellbeing of Gen Y and Gen Z gig workers. *Cogent Psychology*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2024.2357458>
  16. Lane, J. N., Leonardi, P. M., Contractor, N. S., & DeChurch, L. A. (2023). Teams in the digital workplace: Technology's role for communication, collaboration, and performance. *Small Group Research*, 55(1), 139–183. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10464964231200015>
  17. Mamadiyarov, Z., Saifnazarov, I., Khamdamov, S. J., Yarashova, V., Rashidov, S., Sabirova, L., & Izzatillayev, A. (2025). The rise of the gig economy and digital labor platforms. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Future Networks & Distributed Systems (ICFNDS '24)* (pp. 515–521). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3726122.3726196>
  18. Margaryan, A. (2019). Workplace learning in crowdwork: Comparing microworkers' and online freelancers' practices. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 31(4), 250–273. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JWL-10-2018-0126>
  19. Mathapati, C. M. (2025). The future of work: Understanding gig employment trends in North Karnataka. *International Journal of Development Research*, 15(2), 67743–67748.
  20. Mhaske, P., Bhattacharjee, B., Haldar, N., Upadhyay, P., & Mandal, A. (2025). Bridging digital skill gaps in the global workforce: A synthesis and conceptual framework building. *Research in Globalization*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2025.100311>
  21. Nagre, A., Dixit, A. S., & Mude, G. (2025). Changing workplace demographics: A gig economy and the role of employer branding. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 47(6), 862–888. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-09-2024-0545>
  22. Oprins, J. (2025). Uncovering the uneven livelihood outcomes of online freelance labour: A literature review and agenda for future research. *Work in the Global Economy*, 5(1), 45–71. <https://doi.org/10.1332/27324176Y2024D000000020>
  23. Pata, K., & Hirv-Biene, J. (2017). Digital workers' preferences towards the informal learning ecosystem for distributed workplaces. In *Advances in Web-Based Learning* (pp. 185–197). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62743-4\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62743-4_16)
  24. Qayyum, S., Imtiaz, S., Hayat Khan, H., Almadhor, A., & Karovic, V. (2024). Working with agile and crowd: Human factors identified from the industry. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2024.1400750>
  25. Rahman, M. A., & Rahman, M. M. (2025). Determinants of online freelancer income in C2B e-commerce: In the perspective of Upwork. *Open Access Library Journal*, 12, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1113848>
  26. Rivera, V., & Lee, D. (2021). I want to, but first I need to: Understanding crowdworkers' career goals, challenges, and tensions. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449224>
  27. Samanta, R., Ghosh, S. K., & Das, S. K. (2025). Enhancing crowdsourcing through skill and willingness-aligned task assignment with workforce composition balance. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2025.102012>
  28. Sato, S. (2024). The rise of digital labor platforms in India: Gig economy, labor rights, and economic inequality. <https://doi.org/10.22541/au.172979358.85441650/v1>
  29. Schwartz, D. (2018). Embedded in the crowd: Creative freelancers, crowdsourced work, and occupational community. *Work and Occupations*, 45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0730888418762263>
  30. Sharma, A. K., & Sharma, R. (2025). The gig economy and the evolving nature of work in India: Employment, policy, and platform realities in the age of convenience. *Journal of Digital Economy*, 4, 156–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdec.2025.07.005>
  31. Shevchuk, A., Strebkov, D., & Bögenhold, D. (2022). Work values and hybrid careers in the gig economy: The evidence from an online labor market. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 45(1), 138–163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0143831X221140153>

32. Singh, R., & Bhushan, V. (2023). The global workforce revolution: Exploring digital labour platforms and the gig economy in the era of globalization. *International Journal of Civil Law and Legal Research*, 3(2), 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.22271/civillaw.2023.v3.i2a.52>
33. van Zoonen, W., & Sivunen, A. E. (2024). Understanding the viability conundrum in online crowdwork: The costs of unprecedented autonomy. *Journal of Business Research*, 185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114912>
34. Wang, J., Gao, Q., & Zhang, R. (2025). Gig economy and its impact on individual employment: An empirical analysis. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12, 1703. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05970-x>
35. Zhang, Z., & Qian, K. (2025). Understanding the shift of gig work among young people in the era of emerging occupations. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*, 230, 212–220. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/2025.LD28862>
36. Zheng, X., Du, J., Xiang, Y., Long, L., & Xie, H. (2026). Short-term fit, long-term trap: The career development lock of low-skilled gig workers. *Human Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00187267261415779>
37. Zwettler, C., Straub, C., & Spurk, D. (2024). Kicking off a gig work career: Unfolding a career learning cycle of gig workers. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 32(4), 650–677. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10690727231212188>