

Personalization in Digital Advertising and Its Influence on Consumer Conversion Behavior

Ajay Upadhyay ¹, Dr. Unnati Jadaun ²

¹Ph.D scholar Institute of Business Management and Commerce. Mangalayatan UniversityBeswan, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

Email ID : ajay.upadhyay91@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor Institute of Business Management and Commerce. Mangalayatan UniversityBeswan, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

Email ID : unnati.jadaun87@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Digital advertising is using computer systems more and more to make ads they are just for one person. People think that making ads personal makes them more interesting and relevant to the person seeing them.. People are getting worried about their personal information being used and that might make personal ads not work as well. This study looks at how making digital ads personal affects whether people actually buy something from the ads. It also looks at how trust and worries about privacy affect this. The study asked people who shop online some questions and used math to analyze the answers. The study found that when people think an ad is made for them they are more likely to buy something because they trust the ad more and think it is more relevant, to them. Digital advertising and personalization are important here. The study shows that digital advertising personalization can be effective. However, privacy concern weakens this relationship, suggesting the presence of a personalization–privacy trade-off. The study contributes to marketing scholarship by integrating personalization and privacy calculus perspectives in an emerging-market context and offers managerial insights for designing ethically responsible advertising strategies.

Keywords: personalization, digital advertising, conversion behavior, privacy concern, trust, online marketing

1. INTRODUCTION

Digital technologies have changed the way companies do advertising. They can now. Look at a lot of information about what people like and do online. This happens away. Companies use computer systems and tools to make ads that are just right for each person. These ads show up when people use search engines, social media or shop online. The goal of making these ads personal is to show people things they actually like or want. This is supposed to make advertising work. It is based on what people like what they have bought before or what they have looked at online.

- * Search engines
- * Social media sites
- * Online shopping sites

Are some of the places where people see these ads. Digital technologies and advertising are connected to the work of people like Arora and Davenport. They wrote about this topic in 2008 and 2020. Digital technologies are important, for advertising because they help companies make ads that're just right for each person.

Personalization is supposed to make things more relevant and interesting to us. It is supposed to show us things that we actually want to see. Personalization has also gotten a lot of attention from regulators and people who care about ethics.

A lot of people feel weird when they see ads that know a lot about what they do in private. This is because

personalization uses our information to make these ads. People start to think that someone is watching them. They wonder if they said it was okay for people to use their information in this way.

Now we have rules about privacy. These rules are, in place to protect our information and to make sure that personalization is used in a good way. Personalization and privacy are important things that we need to think about. Browsers are making it harder to track people, which makes it even tougher for companies to make personalized marketing work. Personalization and these rules do not really go together. Personalization is still a thing but it is getting harder to do because of these rules.

Personalization in research has results. Some people think it is good because it makes people click on things and buy stuff. For example some studies found that people click on things more and buy things when they see ads (Bleier & Eisenbeiss 2015; Lambrecht & Tucker 2013).. Other people found that when personalization is too obvious it can have the opposite effect. This is what happened in some studies (Aguirre et al., 2015; Tucker, 2014). So personalization does not always work. It seems that how people feel about personalization like if they trust it or think it is an invasion of their privacy is very important for personalization to be effective. Personalization is not a thing that always works because people have different feelings about it like trust and privacy concern, which are big factors, in personalization.

Despite the growing importance of emerging digital markets, much of the existing evidence originates from Western economies. Consumer responses to data-driven

advertising may differ in countries where digital adoption is rapid but institutional trust and regulatory awareness vary. This study therefore investigates how personalization affects conversion behavior in such a context, focusing on two central mechanisms: consumer trust and privacy concern.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Digital Advertising Personalization

Personalization is when companies change what they say to people based on what they know about them. They figure out what people like. Use that to make messages that really work. Some people did experiments. Found out that when you make messages just for one person they work better than messages that are for everyone. This is because people pay attention and remember them better.

As time went on companies started using computers to help them pick what messages to send to people. They used to make groups of people and send them all the same thing.. Now they use special algorithms that look at what people do online to guess what they want to see. Personalization is still, about making messages that're just right for each person. Personalization is getting better because of all the data that companies have about people.

Bleier and Eisenbeiss (2015) distinguished between content-based personalization and design-based personalization, concluding that relevance of message content is particularly influential for consumer responses. Grewal et al. (2017) further argued that personalization signals customer orientation, thereby strengthening brand relationships in digital channels

2.2 Personalization and Advertising Effectiveness

Many studies show that personalization is connected to how ads do, like how many people click on them and if they plan to buy something. For example Lambrecht and Tucker found out in 2013 that ads that follow people around work better when people are just browsing the internet not looking for something specific. Then in 2014 Tucker discovered that personalization helps get people more interested. Only if people do not realize they are being tracked by personalization all the time. Personalization is important for ads to be effective and personalization can help people engage more with ads long as personalization is not too obvious, to people.

Aguirre et al. (2015) conceptualized this tension as the personalization–privacy paradox, whereby consumers appreciate relevance yet react negatively when personalization appears intrusive. De Keyser et al. (2019) suggested that personalization enhances customer experience across multiple touchpoints, indirectly increasing conversion behavior.

2.3 Conversion Behavior in Online Contexts

When we talk about conversion behavior we are talking about things like clicking on advertisements signing up for newsletters or actually buying something. A person named Pavlou found out in 2003 that people think about the risks and if they can trust something before they make a decision to buy something. Then some other people, Hoffman and Novak said in 2012 that how control people feel they have and how much they enjoy something also

plays a big role, in getting people to engage with digital things, like conversion behavior and digital engagement. Conversion behavior is really important because it shows how people interact with things.

Bleier, Harmeling, and Palmatier (2019) integrated these perspectives by proposing that personalization affects conversion through reduced cognitive effort and enhanced perceived value.

2.4 Trust in Digital Advertising

Trust is really important when it comes to relationship marketing. People have known this for a time. When we are online trust means we have confidence in the people who are advertising the platforms we are using and the way our data is being handled. Some people did a study about this. They found out that when companies are open about how they use our data it makes their marketing seem more legitimate. This helps people trust them more. They are not as bothered by ads that are targeted just at them. Trust in environments is still about having confidence, in advertisers and platforms and how they handle our data.

Van Ooijen and Vrabec (2019) noted that regulatory frameworks influence trust perceptions by shaping consumer expectations regarding corporate responsibility.

2.5 Privacy Concern and Privacy Calculus

People get worried about privacy when they think about how their personal information's collected and used. This is a concern for a lot of individuals. The privacy calculus theory says that people think about what they can gain from something against the risks to their privacy when they decide if they want to look at content. This means people weigh the things they get from personalized content against the bad things that could happen to their personal information.

According to some research that Smith, Dinev and Xu did in 2011 and also some research that Culnan and Armstrong did in 1999 privacy concern is an issue. The privacy calculus theory that Culnan and Armstrong talked about in 1999 is important to understand how people make decisions, about content and privacy risks.

Awad and Krishnan (2006) demonstrated that perceived control over information sharing increases willingness to transact online. Xu et al. (2012) further showed that benefits such as convenience can offset privacy concerns under certain conditions.

2.6 Intrusiveness and Ad Avoidance

Perceived intrusiveness reduces advertising effectiveness by triggering irritation and skepticism (Li, Edwards, & Lee, 2002). Mothersbaugh et al. (2012) suggested that highly personalized messages may violate social boundaries, generating discomfort and avoidance behaviors. Goldfarb and Tucker (2011) linked privacy-related perceptions to the adoption of ad-blocking technologies.

2.7 AI and Ethical Considerations

Artificial intelligence enables hyper-personalization at scale but raises concerns about algorithmic opacity and discrimination (Pasquale, 2015). Floridi et al. (2018) emphasized the need for transparency, accountability, and

fairness in data-driven systems, principles increasingly relevant to marketing practice.

2.8 Research Gap

Although prior studies confirm that personalization influences advertising effectiveness, relatively few integrate personalization, trust, and privacy concern within a single explanatory framework, particularly in emerging digital markets. This study addresses this gap by testing a moderated-mediation model linking personalization to conversion behavior through trust while accounting for privacy concern.

3. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES

The proposed framework posits that:

- Personalization → Conversion Behavior
- Trust mediates this relationship
- Privacy concern weakens the effect

H1: Advertisement personalization positively affects conversion behavior.

H2: Trust mediates the relationship between personalization and conversion behavior.

H3: Privacy concern negatively moderates the personalization–conversion link.

H4: Behavior-based personalization produces stronger conversion responses than demographic-based personalization.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design

A cross-sectional quantitative survey design was employed.

4.2 Sample and Data Collection

We got information from 312 people who shop on the internet. These people were, between 18 and 45 years old. We found these people to ask them questions by asking friends of friends and people who were easy to reach.

4.3 Measures

We looked at all the things that were made. We used a special kind of rating system to measure them. This system had five points. It was based on things that other people had done before. We got this system from studies that were done earlier. The system is called a Likert scale. It has five points. We used this five-point Likert scale to measure all the constructs.

- Personalization – Bleier & Eisenbeiss (2015)
- Trust – McKnight et al. (2002)
- Privacy concern – Smith et al. (2011)
- Conversion intention – Pavlou (2003)

REFERENCES

1. Acquisti, A., Brandimarte, L., & Loewenstein, G. (2015). Privacy and human behavior in the age of

4.4 Analysis

We wanted to see if our results were reliable and valid. So we used something called Cronbachs alpha and confirmatory factor analysis to check this. We also used structural equation modelling to test our ideas. This helped us understand if our ideas, about reliability and validity of the results were correct.

5. DISCUSSION

The findings show that personalization helps people buy things because it makes them trust the company. Personalization does this by making people feel like the company knows what they want. However people get worried about their privacy. That stops personalization from working as well. This is what the privacy calculus theory says will happen. These results tell us more about what we knew which is that personalization does not work the same for every person and every situation. Personalization is not the same, for all consumers. It does not work the same in all contexts.

6. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

Marketers need to be honest about how they use peoples information. They should not try to target people much. Marketers should also make sure people have control over what happens to their information. When marketers want to tailor things to people based on what they do it works well if they also do things to make people trust them. People are more likely to trust marketers when they see that they are being transparent about data practices and respecting consumer control mechanisms like giving people a say, in how their information's used.

7. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

The study was based on what people said they would do not what they actually did. We need to do some research on this topic. We should try ways of studying it. For example we could look at people over time. Compare different cultures.

Future research on this topic should really look at the transactions people make. This way we can get an understanding of the study of peoples intentions and actions. We need to compare peoples intentions and actions across places. We also need to study peoples behavior over time. The study of peoples intentions and actions is important. We need to understand how peoples behavior changes over time and how it is different, in places.

8. CONCLUSION

Personalization enhances digital advertising effectiveness but only when consumers perceive data practices as trustworthy and non-intrusive. Balancing relevance with privacy is therefore essential for sustainable marketing success..

information. *Science*, 347(6221), 509–514.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1465>

2. Aguirre, E., Roggeveen, A. L., Grewal, D., & Wetzels, M. (2015). The personalization–privacy paradox: Implications for new media. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 32(2), 98–110. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-06-2014-1023>
3. Arora, N., Dreze, X., Ghose, A., Hess, J. D., Iyengar, R., Jing, B., ... Shankar, V. (2008). Putting one-to-one marketing to work: Personalization, customization, and choice. *Marketing Letters*, 19(3–4), 305–321.
4. Awad, N. F., & Krishnan, M. S. (2006). The personalization privacy paradox: An empirical evaluation of information transparency and the willingness to be profiled online for personalization. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(1), 13–28.
5. Bleier, A., & Eisenbeiss, M. (2015). Personalized online advertising effectiveness: The interplay of what, when, and where. *Journal of Marketing*, 79(1), 60–76. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.14.0219>
6. Bleier, A., Harmeling, C. M., & Palmatier, R. W. (2019). Creating effective online customer experiences. *Journal of Marketing*, 83(2), 98–119.
7. Boerman, S. C., Kruikemeier, S., & Zuiderveen Borgesius, F. J. (2017). Online behavioral advertising: A literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Advertising*, 46(3), 363–376.
8. Culnan, M. J., & Armstrong, P. K. (1999). Information privacy concerns, procedural fairness, and impersonal trust: An empirical investigation. *Organization Science*, 10(1), 104–115.
9. The people who wrote this article are Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D. And Bressgott T. They published it in the year 2020. The article is about how artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. It was published in the *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. The issue was 48 volume 1 and the pagesre from 24 to 42. The article is really, about intelligence and how it affects marketing. Artificial intelligence is a part of the article and marketing is the main subject.
10. De Keyser, A., Köcher, S., Alkire, L., Verbeeck, C., & Kandampully, J. (2019). Frontline service technology infusion: Conceptual archetypes and future research directions. *Journal of Service Research*, 22(3), 299–316.
11. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707.
12. Gefen, D., Karahanna, E., & Straub, D. W. (2003). Trust and TAM in online shopping: An integrated model. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1), 51–90.
13. Goldfarb, A., & Tucker, C. (2011). Privacy regulation and online advertising. *Management Science*, 57(1), 57–71.
14. Grewal, D., Roggeveen, A. L., & Nordfält, J. (2017). The future of retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 93(1), 1–6.
15. Hoffman, D. L., & Novak, T. P. (2012). Toward a deeper understanding of social media. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 26(2), 69–70.
16. Lambrecht, A., & Tucker, C. (2013). When does retargeting work? Information specificity in online advertising. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 50(5), 561–576.
17. Li, H., Edwards, S. M., & Lee, J. H. (2002). Measuring the intrusiveness of advertisements: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Advertising*, 31(2), 37–47.
18. Martin, K. D., & Murphy, P. E. (2017). The role of data privacy in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(2), 135–155.
19. McKnight, D. H., Choudhury, V., & Kacmar, C. (2002). Developing and validating trust measures for e-commerce. *Information Systems Research*, 13(3), 334–359.
20. Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment–trust theory of relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 20–38.
21. Mothersbaugh, D. L., Foxx, W. K., Beatty, S. E., & Wang, S. (2012). Disclosure antecedents in an online service context. *Journal of Service Research*, 15(1), 41–58.
22. Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Consumer acceptance of electronic commerce: Integrating trust and risk with the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 7(3), 101–134.
23. Pasquale, F wrote a book in 2015. The book is called *The Black Box Society*. It is, about the algorithms that control money and information. This book was published by Harvard University Press.
24. Smith, H. J., Dinev, T., & Xu, H. (2011). Information privacy research: An interdisciplinary review. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(4), 989–1015.
25. Tucker, C. (2014). Social networks, personalized advertising, and privacy controls. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51(5), 546–562.
26. Xu, H., Teo, H. H., Tan, B. C. Y., & Agarwal, R. (2012). Effects of individual self-protection, industry self-regulation, and government regulation on privacy concerns. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(4), 127–148.