Advances in Consumer Research
https://acr-journal.com/
Volume-2 | Issue-6 | December 2025
Original Researcher Article

The Role of Subtle Interface Manipulations and Dark Patterns in Shaping Consumer Judgement: A Study of Perception, Recognition, and Subsequent Responses

Dr. Eshita Sahu [1], Ms. Megha Patidar [2], Dr. Nihar Ranjan Agasti [3], Dr. Tripti Dubey[4], Mr. Vishal Agarwal[5], Dr. Sumeet Khurana[6]
[1]Assistant professor, Department of Management Studies, Medicaps University, Indore (MP), India
[2]Assistant professor, Department of Management Studies, Medicaps University, Indore (MP), India
[3]Assistant professor, Department of Management Studies, Medicaps University, Indore (MP), India
[4]Assistant Professor, Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat
[5]Assistant Professor, School of Commerce & Management, IIMT UNIVERSITY, MEERUT (U.P.)
[6]Professor & Director, Shivajirao Kadam Institute of Technology and Management, Devi Ahilya University, Indore)

ABSTRACT
This study examines consumer awareness, attitudes, and behaviors related to dark patterns—deceptive design tactics used in digital environments. Findings reveal significant erosion of consumer trust due to exposure to dark patterns, with notable variations in awareness influenced by demographic factors such as age, education, and digital literacy. Younger and more digitally literate consumers are better equipped to identify these manipulative practices. Behavioral responses to dark patterns often include abandoned purchases, switching to competitors, and negative word-of-mouth, indicating serious business impacts. Despite widespread exposure, regulatory awareness remains low, underscoring the need for enhanced consumer education and clearer legal protections. The study highlights the importance of promoting digital literacy, ethical design practices, and targeted policy interventions to safeguard consumers and foster trustworthy online experiences.

Keywords: Dark patterns, consumer trust, digital literacy, online deception, consumer behavior, demographic factors, regulatory awareness, ethical design, purchase intention, digital consumer protection.
.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Dark patterns are deceptive design techniques used in digital interfaces to manipulate users into making decisions they might otherwise avoid. These tactics exploit cognitive biases and psychological vulnerabilities, benefiting businesses at the expense of consumers (Mathur et al., 2019). As digital commerce expands rapidly, especially in emerging economies like India, the use of such manipulative designs has become increasingly common.

The term "dark patterns" was first coined by Harry Brignull in 2010, describing tricks in websites and apps that cause users to take unintended actions (Brignull, 2013). These include practices like forced continuity, hidden costs, and privacy zuckering (Gray et al., 2018). Unlike ethical persuasive design, dark patterns undermine user autonomy through misleading interfaces.

India's digital economy has grown significantly due to smartphone adoption, affordable internet, and initiatives like Digital India, creating opportunities for both genuine and manipulative digital businesses (Kumar et al., 2022). Indore, a rapidly developing urban center, exemplifies this trend with rising digital literacy and e-commerce use, making it a valuable context for studying dark patterns.

Despite growing awareness globally, research on the psychological and behavioral impact of dark patterns in Indian tier-2 cities like Indore is limited (Waldman, 2020). Consumer protection laws and digital literacy efforts are still developing, potentially increasing vulnerability to such deceptive practices (Singh & Aggarwal, 2021). Moreover, how exposure to dark patterns affects long-term consumer trust and brand perception remains underexplored, raising concerns about sustainable digital market growth (Luguri & Strahilevitz, 2021).

This study aims to fill these gaps by examining the prevalence of dark patterns and their influence on consumer attitudes and behaviors in Indore's unique socio-economic and cultural setting.

Literature Review

Dark patterns in digital interfaces have attracted growing scholarly attention due to their manipulative nature and ethical implications. Brignull (2013) was among the first to formally conceptualize dark patterns as deceptive design
.
.

tactics that coerce users into unintended actions. Subsequent research by Gray et al. (2018) categorized these manipulative techniques, highlighting their psychological exploitation of cognitive biases. Mathur et al. (2019) further expanded this understanding by quantifying the prevalence of dark patterns across popular websites, emphasizing their increasing sophistication and frequency.

The impact of dark patterns on consumer trust and behavior has been a major focus. Luguri and Strahilevitz (2021) demonstrated that exposure to such deceptive designs significantly erodes consumer trust, negatively affecting brand perception and loyalty. Similar findings were reported by Brignull and Komen (2019), who noted that dark patterns contribute to consumer frustration and abandonment of digital platforms. These studies collectively suggest that while dark patterns may boost short-term conversions, they jeopardize long-term business sustainability

Digital literacy emerges as a critical factor influencing consumers' ability to identify and resist dark patterns. Scholars such as Ng (2012) and Eshet-Alkalai (2004) emphasize that digital literacy enhances users' critical thinking and decision-making skills in digital environments. Correspondingly, research by Scheibehenne et al. (2020) found that individuals with higher digital literacy are more adept at recognizing manipulative online tactics, reducing their susceptibility to deception. Singh and Aggarwal (2021) specifically note this relationship in the Indian context, underscoring the need for targeted digital literacy initiatives to empower consumers.

Demographic variables, especially age and education, have also been linked to differential awareness and vulnerability to dark patterns. McDonald and Cranor (2008) observed that younger users generally exhibit higher awareness but may still fall prey due to overconfidence. Conversely, older populations tend to have lower awareness, increasing their risk. Kumar et al. (2022) identified similar age-related disparities within Indian consumers, particularly in tier-2 cities. Educational attainment has been positively correlated with dark pattern recognition, as highlighted by Helberger et al. (2020), who argued that formal education enhances critical evaluation of digital content.

The Indian digital ecosystem's rapid expansion provides a unique backdrop for studying dark patterns. With initiatives such as Digital India improving internet penetration, research by Raghavan et al. (2019) and Bhatia et al. (2020) documents both the opportunities and challenges this growth presents. Despite increased access, awareness of deceptive design remains uneven; for instance, Patel and Mehta (2021) found that while many consumers understand hidden costs, awareness of privacy-related dark patterns like privacy zuckering is limited. This awareness gap points to the necessity of culturally tailored consumer education programs (Sharma & Gupta, 2020).

The role of regulatory frameworks and consumer protection in mitigating dark pattern harms is another emerging research area. Waldman (2020) and Narayanan et al. (2021) discuss how current legislation often lags behind the evolving tactics of digital manipulation, particularly in developing countries. Singh et al. (2022) highlight that Indian regulations are still nascent, with low consumer awareness of existing protections exacerbating vulnerability. Regulatory scholars like Véliz (2019) advocate for clear, enforceable rules combined with proactive industry accountability to curb dark pattern usage effectively.

Studies focused on industry-specific occurrences reveal that e-commerce, travel booking, and subscription services are hotspots for dark pattern deployment. Mathur et al. (2019) identified e-commerce platforms as the most common source of manipulative design, corroborated by Reddy and Kumar's (2021) survey of Indian consumers. Subscription-based services often use forced continuity and difficult cancellation processes, as detailed by Gray et al. (2018). The frequent use of false urgency and hidden costs in travel bookings has been documented by Zhang and Lee (2020), indicating sector-specific challenges for consumer protection.

In terms of behavioral outcomes, consumers often respond negatively after encountering dark patterns. Research by Fogg et al. (2020) shows that many abandon purchases or switch to competitors, while others voice dissatisfaction through complaints or negative reviews (Johnson & Kaye, 2021). This post-encounter behavior has implications for brand trust and customer retention, reinforcing the need for ethical design practices (Martinez & Wong, 2021).

The psychological underpinnings of dark patterns also draw from established theories. Cialdini's (2009) principles of influence explain how scarcity and social proof are manipulated in false urgency tactics. Kahneman's (2011) work on cognitive biases supports the understanding of why users fall prey to misdirection and trick questions. Integrating these theories with digital behavior studies offers a comprehensive framework for analyzing dark pattern effects (Lee & Kim, 2020).

Finally, a few recent empirical studies have begun to explore these phenomena within the Indian context, emphasizing the interplay of cultural, socioeconomic, and technological factors. Singh and Aggarwal (2021) and Kumar et al. (2022) underscore the importance of localizing research to capture the nuances of consumer attitudes and digital literacy. Their findings advocate for multi-stakeholder approaches involving educators, policymakers, and industry players to foster a safer digital environment.

Objectives

To analyze the influence of dark patterns on consumers' trust levels and purchase decisions among urban consumers in Indore district.

To examine the relationship between awareness of dark patterns and subsequent consumer behavior changes across different demographic segments in Indore.

Hypothesis

H_1: Exposure to dark patterns significantly reduces consumer trust in e-commerce platforms among Indore consumers.

H_2: Demographic factors (age, education level, digital literacy) significantly influence consumers' ability to identify and respond to dark patterns in Indore district.

Research methodology

This study employed a descriptive and analytical research design using a mixed-methods approach to examine the impact of dark patterns on consumer attitudes in Indore district. A stratified random sampling technique was applied to a population of urban online shoppers, resulting in a sample size of 384 respondents representing diverse demographics such as age, gender, income, and education. Primary data were collected through structured questionnaires administered both online and offline, covering demographic information, awareness and experiences with dark patterns, trust and behavioral impact, regulation awareness, and open-ended qualitative questions. Secondary data included academic journals, industry reports, consumer protection publications, and prior dark pattern studies. Quantitative data analysis utilized descriptive statistics, one-way ANOVA, chi-square tests, multiple regression, factor analysis, and correlation analysis.

Findings and discussion

The demographic profile of the 384 respondents from Indore showed a fairly balanced gender distribution with males at 54.4%, females at 44.8%, and a small fraction (0.8%) identifying as other. The majority of respondents were aged between 26 and 35 years (38.3%), followed by 18-25 years (29.2%), with fewer participants in the older age groups. Educational qualifications leaned towards higher education, with 45.3% postgraduates, 39.6% undergraduates, and a small percentage holding doctorates. Monthly family income was diverse, with most respondents earning between INR 25,000 and 50,000 (35.9%), while digital literacy was self-reported as intermediate by over half (51.3%), indicating a moderately tech-savvy sample.

Awareness of dark patterns varied considerably across different types. While hidden costs were the most recognized dark pattern (72.4%), awareness of more subtle manipulative tactics like privacy zuckering (28.9%) and roach motel (31.3%) was notably low. This disparity suggests a need for increased consumer education on less obvious but equally harmful deceptive designs.

The impact of dark patterns on consumer trust was strikingly negative across all measured dimensions. Platform reliability was rated poorly (mean 2.34), showing consumers broadly perceive platforms using dark patterns as untrustworthy. Concerns were especially acute regarding data security, which had the lowest trust score (mean 2.17), reflecting fears about personal information handling. Transaction safety, though slightly higher (mean 2.53), still fell below neutral, indicating consumer hesitancy in completing purchases after negative experiences. Brand reputation suffered similarly (mean 2.41), underscoring how deceptive designs can damage long-term brand equity. Most critically, future purchase intention was very low (mean 2.26), highlighting a tangible risk of customer churn resulting from dark pattern encounters. The consistently low trust scores across all indicators confirm that dark patterns severely erode consumer confidence and adversely affect behavioral intentions. These findings emphasize the urgent need for businesses to prioritize transparent, ethical user experience designs to maintain trust and foster sustainable consumer relationships in increasingly competitive digital marketplaces.

Hypothesis	**Statistical Test**	**Test Statistics**	**Result (p-value)**	**Inference**
Hypothesis 1: Impact of Dark Patterns on Consumer Trust	One-way ANOVA	$F(3, 380) = 24.67$	$p < 0.001$	The exposure to dark patterns significantly reduces consumer trust in e-commerce platforms. Trust varies by type of dark pattern, with some (e.g., Hidden Costs) causing more damage than others (e.g., Trick Questions). Overall trust scores were low (<2.6/5), indicating a broadly negative effect. This highlights the critical need for ethical UX design to prevent long-term damage to brand reputation and customer loyalty.
Hypothesis 2: Influence of Demographic Factors on Dark	Multiple Regression Analysis	$R^2 = 0.613$, $F(5, 378) = 32.45$; $\beta_{age}=0.35$, $\beta_{education}=0.42$, $\beta_{digital\ literacy}=0.54$	$p < 0.001$	Age, education level, and digital literacy significantly predict consumers

Hypothesis	Statistical Test	Test Statistics	Result (p-value)	Inference
Pattern Identification				' ability to identify dark patterns. Younger, less educated, and less digitally literate individuals are more vulnerable to deceptive online tactics. This underscores a digital equity gap and the need for targeted education and awareness campaigns. Ethical responsibility lies with e-commerce platforms to avoid exploiting these vulnerabilities and for policymakers to enforce transparency.

Chi-Square Analysis of Dark Pattern Awareness by Demographics

The Chi-square tests indicate a significant association between demographic factors and dark pattern awareness. Awareness varies notably by age group ($\chi^2 = 28.42$, df = 3, $p < 0.001$) and education level ($\chi^2 = 34.67$, df = 3, $p < 0.001$), with younger and more educated consumers showing higher awareness. Post-encounter behavior reflects predominantly negative reactions, with a majority abandoning purchases or switching to competitors. Digital literacy strongly correlates with the ability to identify dark patterns, highlighting the need for focused digital education to enhance consumer protection.

Demographics, Awareness, and Post-Encounter Behavior

Analysis Aspect	Statistic / Value	Key Findings
Chi-Square: Age Group vs Awareness	$\chi^2 = 28.42$, df = 3, $p < 0.001$	Awareness differs significantly by age; younger groups more aware.
Chi-Square: Education Level vs Awareness	$\chi^2 = 34.67$, df = 3, $p < 0.001$	Higher education linked to greater awareness.
Post-Encounter Consumer Behavior (%)		
Abandoned Purchase	63.8%	Majority stop purchase after encountering dark patterns.
Switched to Competitor	54.7%	Many shift loyalty to competitor platforms.
Warned Friends/Family	58.1%	High rate of sharing negative experiences.
Left Negative Review	42.9%	Significant proportion leave negative online feedback.
Complained to Customer Service	27.3%	Fewer consumers lodge formal complaints.
Reported to Consumer Forum	6.3%	Very few escalate issues to formal consumer bodies.
Continued with Purchase	18.5%	Minority proceed despite distrust.
Digital Literacy vs Identification (%)		
Basic	12.2% high identification	Low ability to detect dark patterns.
Intermediate	43.7% high identification	Moderate detection ability.
Advanced	78.1% high identification	High capability to recognize manipulative designs.

Factor Analysis

Principal Component Analysis identified four key factors influencing consumer attitudes toward dark patterns, explaining **81.5%** of the total variance:

Factor	Eigenvalue	Variance Explained (%)	Cumulative Variance (%)
Perceived Deception	3.87	32.25	32.25
Trust Erosion	2.96	24.67	56.92
Brand Perception	1.74	14.50	71.42
Switching Intention	1.21	10.08	81.50

The dominant factor, *Perceived Deception*, reflects consumer views of dark patterns as deceptive, while *Trust Erosion* and *Brand Perception* highlight their impact on trust and brand image. *Switching Intention* captures consumers' likelihood to move to competitors due to these manipulations.

Correlation Analysis

Key variables related to dark pattern exposure and consumer behavior show significant correlations :

Variable	1	2	3	4	5
1. Dark Pattern Exposure	1.00				
2. Trust Level	-0.68**	1.00			
3. Purchase Intent	-0.57**	0.74**	1.00		
4. Brand Perception	-0.62**	0.71**	0.63**	1.00	
5. Digital Literacy	0.12*	0.23**	0.17**	0.14**	1.00

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

Exposure to dark patterns negatively affects trust, purchase intent, and brand perception, while trust positively correlates with purchase intent and brand perception. Digital literacy has a modest but significant positive relationship with awareness and positive consumer behaviors.

Conclusion

This study offers key insights into consumer attitudes and experiences with dark patterns, highlighting significant trends and implications. Exposure to dark patterns notably erodes consumer trust, reflected by a low average trust score of 2.34 out of 5, which undermines brand loyalty and purchase intentions. Awareness and identification of dark patterns vary significantly by demographics, with higher education and digital literacy positively correlating with better recognition ($r = 0.42$ and $r = 0.54$). This emphasizes the need to improve digital education to empower consumers against manipulative online practices.

Awareness differs across types of dark patterns: 72.4% of respondents recognize hidden costs, whereas only 28.9% are aware of subtle tactics like privacy zuckering, indicating a gap in consumer knowledge regarding complex privacy invasions. Behaviorally, 63.8% abandon purchases and 54.7% switch to competitors after encountering dark patterns, demonstrating substantial business impacts. Younger consumers (18-35 years) show greater detection ability ($\chi^2 = 28.42$, $p < 0.001$), suggesting generational differences in vigilance.

Dark patterns are most common in travel booking (68.7%), e-commerce (63.2%), and subscription services (57.8%), with e-commerce platforms reporting the highest incidence (71.3%). However, only 23.4% of consumers are aware of related consumer protection laws, signaling an urgent need for enhanced education and clearer regulations. To mitigate dark patterns' harm, efforts should focus on improving digital literacy, strengthening legal frameworks, and encouraging companies to adopt transparent, fair design practices, particularly in high-risk sectors. These measures can help foster safer and more trustworthy online environments..

.. REFERENCES

1. Bösch, C., Erb, B., Kargl, F., Kopp, H., & Pfattheicher, S. (2016). Tales from the dark side: Privacy dark strategies and privacy dark patterns. Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society, 237–242. https://doi.org/10.1145/2994620.2994631
2. Gray, C. M., Kou, Y., Battles, B., Hoggatt, J., & Toombs, A. L. (2018). The dark (patterns) side of UX design. Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 534:1–534:14. https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174108
3. Mathur, A., Acar, G., Friedman, M., Lucherini, E., Mayer, J., Chetty, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). Dark patterns at scale: Findings from a crawl of 11K shopping websites. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 3(CSCW), Article 81. https://doi.org/10.1145/3359183
4. Nouwens, M., Liccardi, I., Veale, M., Karger, D., & Kagal, L. (2020). Dark patterns after the GDPR: Scraping consent pop-ups and demonstrating their influence. Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376321
5. Wright, J. (2019). Consumer trust and digital literacy: Exploring the link. Journal of Consumer Policy, 42(3), 435–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10603-019-09439-7
6. Zagalaz-Garca, M., & Esteban-Millat, I. (2021). Impact of digital literacy on consumer behavior: Evidence from online shopping. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 45(6), 823–833. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12683