Advances in Consumer Research
ISSN (Print): 0098-9258
ISSN(Online): 3079-1766

Vol. 2, Issue 5 (2025) https://acr-journal.com/

A Systematic Literature Review on the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Employment Dynamics

Ritika[1], Dr Manju Dahiya*[1]

[1]School of Liberal Education, Galgotias University, Greater Noida, India
Email ID : manju.dahiya@galgotiasuniversity.edu.in

Cite this paper as: Ritika, Dr Manju Dahiya , (2025) A Systematic Literature Review on the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Employment Dynamics.. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2 (5), 2031-2037

KEYWORDS
Artificial Intelligence, Employment, Job Displacement, Workforce Automation, Reskilling, Labor Market Transformation, Future of Work

.

.

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has brought both optimism and anxiety about its impact on the labor market. While AI promises enhanced productivity and new job creation, it simultaneously threatens displacement of routine and low-skill jobs. This systematic literature review (SLR) synthesizes findings from 2010 to 2024, examining 30 peer-reviewed articles across sectors and geographies. The review explores five key themes: job displacement, job creation, workforce skill transformation, sectoral variations, and policy implications. Findings reveal a paradoxical trend: AI is both a destroyer and creator of jobs, with the net impact highly dependent on industry readiness, education systems, and national AI policies. The paper highlights the urgent need for reskilling strategies, ethical governance, and inclusive policymaking to shape a human-centric AI future.

..

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is not merely a technological revolution; it is a socio-economic disruptor. From virtual assistants and autonomous systems to AI-driven analytics in HR and manufacturing, AI technologies are redefining the nature of work. The labor market, as a cornerstone of economic stability, is facing complex transformations—prompting scholars, policymakers, and industries to re-evaluate how employment is defined and sustained in the AI era (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014; Chui et al., 2016).

While earlier technologies mostly augmented human labor, AI introduces a new paradigm—machines with cognitive abilities traditionally reserved for humans. As automation increasingly permeates industries, debates intensify: Will AI lead to massive unemployment or a reimagined workforce? This SLRA aims to explore these tensions systematically.

2. OBJECTIVE

To investigate the multifaceted effects of AI on employment using a thematic analysis of existing literature from 2010 to 2024.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative and integrative approach using a **Systematic Literature Review (SLR)** to explore and synthesize existing scholarship on the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on employment dynamics. The aim is to critically evaluate trends, contradictions, and research gaps in the literature across multiple disciplines including economics, management, public policy, and technology studies.

.

3.2 Systematic Literature Review (SLR) Procedure

A structured SLR methodology was employed to ensure the rigorous and unbiased selection of scholarly sources. The following steps were undertaken:

Databases Used: Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and JSTOR.

Keywords Applied: "Artificial Intelligence AND Employment", "AI AND Job Displacement", "AI AND Skill Development", "AI AND Future of Work", "Automation AND Labor Market".

Time Frame: Publications from 2014 to 2023 were included to ensure recency and relevance.

Inclusion Criteria: Peer-reviewed journal articles, high-impact policy reports, and books focusing on the socio-economic implications of AI on labor.

Exclusion Criteria: Articles not written in English, non-peer-reviewed blogs or editorials, and papers focused solely on AI technical architecture without employment relevance.

A total of 30 relevant and high-quality sources were identified and analyzed. Each article was examined for key findings, methodological approach, and categorized based on employment impact: *Job Displacement, Job Creation, Skill Transformation, Human-AI Collaboration,* and *Policy & Governance*.

3.3 Tabular Summary of Reviewed Literature

A detailed summary of the 30 reviewed articles is presented in Table 1 below, providing key metadata including author(s), year, title, methodology, focus area, and employment implication.

Table 1: Summary of Key Literature on AI and Employment (2014–2023)

S. No.	Author(s)	Year	Title / Source	Focus Area	Key Findings	Methodology	Impact on Employment
1	Acemoglu & Restrepo	2020	Journal of Political Economy	Robotics & automation	Industrial robots reduce employment in affected sectors	Quantitative panel data	Displacement
2	Frey & Osborne	2017	Technol. Forecasting & Soc. Change	Job susceptibility	47% of US jobs are at risk	Machine learning + expert classification	High risk of automation
3	Arntz et al.	2016	OECD Working Paper	OECD labor market	Only 9% of jobs automatable	Task-based analysis	Moderate displacement
4	Autor	2015	J. Econ Perspectives	Polarization	High-skill jobs grow, middle ones shrink	Empirical labor trends	Mixed – displacement + creation
5	Brynjolfsson & McAfee	2014	The Second Machine Age	Future of work	Tech increases productivity but also inequality	Conceptual	Augmentation focus
6	Bessen	2019	NBER Working Paper	AI & job creation	AI can increase demand for skilled jobs	Data-driven model	Job creation
7	Manyika et al.	2017	McKinsey Report	Workforce transitions	375 million may need reskilling	Scenario modeling	Skill transformation

8	World Economic Forum	2020	Future of Jobs Report	Global job trends	85 million jobs lost, 97 million created	Survey of firms	Net-positive change
9	Eubanks	2018	Automating Inequality	AI in social sectors	AI systems reinforce existing inequality	Case study	Negative social impacts
10	Luckin et al.	2016	Pearson Report	AI in education	Augments teaching, not replaces it	Qualitative	Augmentation
11	Topol	2019	Deep Medicine	Healthcare AI	Empowers clinicians, doesn't replace	Review	Human-AI collaboration
12	Chui et al.	2016	McKinsey	Job automation	45% of tasks can be automated	Process analysis	Task-level change
13	Daugherty & Wilson	2018	Human + Machine	AI and augmentation	Collaborative intelligence is key	Case examples	Augmentation > replacement
14	Brougham & Haar	2018	Journal of Business Research	STARA impact	Job insecurity due to tech	Survey-based	Negative sentiment
15	Ford	2015	Rise of the Robots	Tech & unemployment	Mass unemployment likely	Narrative review	High displacement
16	Arora & Rahman	2020	J. Enterprise Info Mgmt	AI in HR	Improves recruitment, reduces bias	Case analysis	Efficiency gain
17	West	2018	Brookings	Tech & future jobs	Need for policy action	Policy paper	Policy recommendation
18	Susskind & Susskind	2015	Future of Professions	AI & experts	Professional roles will change	Theoretical	Transformation
19	Kaplan	2015	Humans Need Not Apply	Tech & inequality	Unskilled workers most affected	Narrative	Displacement risk
20	Tambe et al.	2019	Acad. Mgmt Perspectives	AI in HRM	AI aids hiring, performance evaluation	Mixed method	Function augmentation
21	Hirt & Willmott	2014	McKinsey Quarterly	Competing with AI	Firms need digital strategies	Strategic analysis	Organizational adaptation
22	Noble	2018	Algorithms of Oppression	AI & discrimination	Search engines reinforce bias	Critical analysis	Social bias
23	Prassl	2018	Humans as a Service	Gig economy & AI	Precarity and job flexibility	Legal/policy analysis	Job fragmentation

24	Lee	2018	AI Superpowers	China vs. US in AI	China is overtaking the US	Geo-political analysis	Global employment shifts
25	Susskind	2020	A World Without Work	Future without jobs	UBI and new policies needed	Predictive model	High disruption
26	Zhang et al.	2021	Oxford Governance AI	AI governance	Governance needed to protect labor	Policy proposal	Mitigation strategy
27	Tapscott & Tapscott	2017	Blockchain Revolution	Blockchain & work	New decentralized job roles	Trend analysis	Decentralized jobs
28	Arntz & Zierahn	2022	Journal of Economic Surveys	Update on automation risk	Task bundling reduces job loss	Longitudinal study	Reduced fear
29	Brynjolfsson et al.	2021	AEJ: Macro	Productivity J-curve	AI improves output over time	Empirical study	Positive long-term gains
30	Tambe et al.	2022	AI & HR Tech	HRM evolution	AI reshapes employee management	Systematic review	Structural change

3.4 Thematic Categorization of Literature

The reviewed studies were also grouped thematically based on their primary focus areas, which are summarized in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Thematic Synthesis of Employment Impacts from AI Literature

Theme	Key Insights	Representative Studies	Employment Impact
Job Displacement	AI displaces repetitive, low-skill jobs	Frey & Osborne (2017), Acemoglu & Restrepo (2020), Ford (2015)	Negative short-term impact
Job Creation	Emergence of new roles in AI, data, ethics	Bessen (2019), WEF (2020), Tapscott (2017)	Positive long-term impact
Skill Transformation	High demand for digital & soft skills	Manyika (2017), Autor (2015), Topol (2019)	Mixed impact; dependent on reskilling
Sectoral Variation	Varies by industry – healthcare, finance, education, HR	Luckin (2016), Tambe (2019), Chui (2016)	Highly context-specific
Policy & Ethics	Equity, governance, social justice required	Eubanks (2018), Zhang (2021), Noble (2018)	Requires proactive intervention
Human-AI Collaboration	Augmentation rather than substitution	Brynjolfsson & McAfee (2014), Daugherty & Wilson (2018)	Positive if balanced

3.5 Rationale for SLR Integration

Integrating an SLR into this research provides a foundational knowledge base and reveals divergent patterns across sectors and geographies. It helps identify where automation threatens traditional roles and where it opens up new, human-centric

opportunities. This synthesis directly informs the research hypotheses and the development of conceptual frameworks used in subsequent chapters.

4. THEMATIC REVIEW

4.1 AI and Job Displacement

Many studies forecast large-scale automation of routine and repetitive tasks (Frey & Osborne, 2017). Jobs in manufacturing, transportation, and clerical sectors are particularly vulnerable. According to Acemoglu and Restrepo (2020), the displacement effect has already reduced employment in certain U.S. regions with high industrial robot penetration.

4.2 AI and Job Creation

Contrary to fears, AI also generates new job categories—data analysts, AI trainers, ethicists, and cybersecurity experts (Bessen, 2019). Arntz et al. (2016) argue that only 9% of jobs are at risk of complete automation, while many more will evolve in nature. The gig economy and digital platforms have further diversified income sources.

4.3 Skill Shifts and Workforce Adaptation

AI changes not just *what* jobs are done but *how*. There is growing demand for digital literacy, emotional intelligence, and interdisciplinary skills (World Economic Forum, 2020). Reskilling initiatives and lifelong learning are increasingly critical (Manyika et al., 2017). Educational systems must be restructured to foster adaptability.

4.4 Sectoral Differences

AI impacts vary significantly across sectors:

Healthcare: AI aids diagnostics but cannot replace human empathy (Topol, 2019).

Finance: Automated trading and fraud detection are growing, but regulatory roles remain human-driven.

Education: AI tutors assist learning but can't fully replace teachers' contextual understanding (Luckin et al., 2016).

4.5 Policy Responses and Social Impacts

Governments are attempting to balance innovation with inclusivity. Some, like Singapore and Germany, have adopted proactive labor market policies, while others lag (ILO, 2020). Concerns around inequality, bias in AI systems, and digital divides also persist (Eubanks, 2018; Noble, 2018).

5. DISCUSSION

The findings of the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) suggest that the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on employment is multifaceted and context-dependent. While early discourse was dominated by dystopian narratives around mass unemployment (e.g., Frey & Osborne, 2017), the more recent literature has shifted toward a balanced view that acknowledges both disruptive risks and transformative opportunities brought about by AI (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2020; Bessen, 2019).

5.1 Dual Narrative: Displacement vs. Augmentation

A key tension in the reviewed literature is between job displacement due to automation and job augmentation via human-AI collaboration. Routine and manual jobs—especially in manufacturing, transportation, and low-end services—remain highly vulnerable to automation (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). However, the emergence of collaborative AI systems is creating new forms of work that enhance human productivity rather than replace it, particularly in domains like healthcare (Topol, 2019), education, and human resources (Daugherty & Wilson, 2018).

5.2 Sectoral and Skill-Based Variations

The review also indicates that AI's impact is sector-specific. For instance, while manufacturing and logistics are more susceptible to full automation, fields such as creative design, strategic management, and care work still require uniquely human skills like empathy, intuition, and critical thinking (Autor, 2015). This is driving a shift in the demand for skills, with increasing emphasis on digital literacy, emotional intelligence, and complex problem-solving (Manyika et al., 2017).

5.3 Regional Disparities and Policy Readiness

Geographic variation also plays a significant role. Developed economies are better positioned to harness AI for positive employment outcomes due to stronger digital infrastructure and social safety nets (World Economic Forum, 2020). In contrast, developing countries risk widening inequalities unless proactive measures are taken to ensure inclusive digital transformation (Zhang et al., 2021). The review reveals a lack of coordinated policy frameworks, especially around reskilling, lifelong learning, and labor protection for gig workers in AI-driven economies (ILO, 2019; OECD, 2021).

5.4 Emerging Ethical and Governance Concerns

Another major theme emerging from the literature is the need for ethical AI deployment and transparent governance structures. Studies like Noble (2018) and Eubanks (2018) highlight how algorithmic biases can entrench systemic

discrimination, particularly in hiring and law enforcement. Therefore, future employment ecosystems must not only be efficient but also equitable and accountable.

6. CONCLUSION

This study presents a comprehensive synthesis of existing literature on the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on employment using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach. The findings suggest that AI is neither a purely destructive nor purely constructive force—it is a transformational technology that reconfigures the nature, quality, and distribution of work.

While there is credible evidence of job displacement, especially among low-skilled roles, there is also significant optimism regarding job creation and work augmentation in AI-aligned sectors. The future of work will largely depend on how societies invest in education, digital infrastructure, and inclusive AI policies.

To move forward responsibly, stakeholders—including policymakers, educators, employers, and technologists—must collaborate to:

Promote reskilling and upskilling programs tailored to future labor demands.

Ensure ethical AI design and deployment that prevents discrimination.

Develop robust social protection policies to support workers in transition.

In conclusion, the impact of AI on employment is not predetermined. With appropriate policy interventions and a human-centric approach, AI has the potential to become a catalyst for inclusive and sustainable economic growth

REFERENCES

[1] Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and jobs: Evidence from US labor markets. Journal of Political Economy, 128(6), 2188-2244.

[2] Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The risk of automation for jobs in OECD countries. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers, No. 189.

[3] Autor, D. (2015). Why are there still so many jobs? Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29(3), 3–30.

[4] Bessen, J. (2019). AI and jobs: The role of demand. NBER Working Paper No. 24235.

[5] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age. W.W. Norton & Company.

[6] Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2016). Where machines could replace humans—and where they can't (yet). McKinsey Quarterly.

[7] Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor. St. Martin's Press.

[8] Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254–280.

[9] International Labour Organization (ILO). (2020). Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work.

[10] Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education. Pearson Education.

[11] Manyika, J., et al. (2017). Jobs lost, jobs gained: Workforce transitions in a time of automation. McKinsey Global Institute.

[12] Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism. NYU Press.

[13] Topol, E. (2019). Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again. Basic Books.

[14] World Economic Forum. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020.

[15] Daugherty, P., & Wilson, H. J. (2018). Human + Machine: Reimagining Work in the Age of AI. Harvard Business Press.

[16] Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2018). Smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms (STARA). Journal of Business Research, 104, 366–374.

[17] Ford, M. (2015). The Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of Mass Unemployment. Basic Books.

[18] Susskind, D., & Susskind, R. (2015). The Future of the Professions. Oxford University Press.

[19] Kaplan, J. (2015). Humans Need Not Apply: A Guide to Wealth and Work in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. Yale University Press.

[20] Arora, A., & Rahman, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence and its impact on HR practices. Journal of Enterprise

Information Management, 33(5), 965-984.

[21] Hirt, M., & Willmott, P. (2014). Strategic principles for competing in the digital age. McKinsey Quarterly.

[22] West, D. M. (2018). The future of work: Robots, AI, and automation. Brookings Institution Press.

[23] Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in human resources management. Academy of Management Perspectives, 33(2), 1-20.

[24] Arntz, M., & Zierahn, U. (2022). Revisiting the risk of automation. Journal of Economic Surveys, 36(2), 345-368.

[25] Brynjolfsson, E., Rock, D., & Syverson, C. (2021). The productivity J-curve. American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 13(1), 333-372.

[26] Prassl, J. (2018). Humans as a Service: The Promise and Perils of Work in the Gig Economy. Oxford University Press.

[27] Susskind, D. (2020). A World Without Work. Metropolitan Books.

[28] Zhang, B., Dafoe, A., & Dafoe, R. (2021). AI governance: A research agenda. Governance of AI Program, Oxford University.

[29] Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2017). Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World. Penguin.

[30] Lee, K. F. (2018). AI Superpowers: China, Silicon Valley, and the New World Order. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.