Vol. 2, Issue 4 (2025) <a href="https://acr-journal.com/">https://acr-journal.com/</a>

# Health Technologies In Rural Areas: Assessing Public Acceptance And Identifying Opportunities

# Dr. J Josephine Lalitha<sup>1</sup>, Dr. R Geethalakshmi<sup>2</sup>, Dr. C Thiyagarajan<sup>3</sup>, Dr. S Jaishree<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Dept of Management Sciences, PSG CAS
- <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor & Head, Dept of commerce (B & I), PSGCAS
- <sup>3</sup>Associate professor, Dept of computer Applications (MCA), PSGCAS
- <sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept of Logistics& PGDBM, PSGCAS

Cite this paper as: Dr. J Josephine Lalitha, Dr. R Geethalakshmi, Dr. C Thiyagarajan, Dr. S Jaishree, (2025) Health Technologies In Rural Areas: Assessing Public Acceptance And Identifying Opportunities. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2 (4), 2261-2271

### **KEYWORDS**

# Digital health, Rural health care, Telemedicine, Healthcare Outcomes, Wearable Health Devices

### **ABSTRACT**

Digital health technologies hold transformative potential for enhancing healthcare delivery in rural areas by addressing persistent gaps in access and quality of care. This study examines how digital health capabilities influence healthcare outcomes in rural settings, with a focus on critical factors such as governance, IT infrastructure, workforce skills, interoperability, strategic planning, data analytics, and patient-centered care. The results underscore the necessity of a cohesive digital strategy to drive improved health outcomes. Key enablers include the deployment of user-friendly devices, comprehensive training programs, and dependable internet access. The study also sheds light on adoption barriers—such as limited digital literacy and low trust in technology—and proposes actionable solutions to overcome them. Ultimately, the effective integration of digital health solutions can greatly enhance healthcare access, patient satisfaction, and overall health outcomes in rural communities.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

**Digital health** refers to the application of advanced information and communication technologies to enhance healthcare services, delivery, and outcomes. It involves the integration of digital innovations into the healthcare ecosystem to improve efficiency, accessibility, and the overall quality of patient care. Core components of digital health include telemedicine, mobile health (mHealth), electronic health records (EHRs), wearable devices, big data and analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and remote patient monitoring.

The primary goal of digital health is to establish a more patient-centric, efficient, and responsive healthcare system by leveraging technological advancements. It has the potential to revolutionize healthcare delivery, lower operational costs, and significantly improve patient outcomes. As the field continues to evolve, sustained research and innovation will be essential in addressing emerging health challenges and advancing public health.

In the Indian context, the government has introduced several significant initiatives to support digital health and transform the nation's healthcare landscape. These include the Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission (ABDM), e-Hospital and e-Sanjeevani platforms under the Digital India campaign, the CoWIN application, AarogyaSetu, the National Digital Health Mission (NDHM), and the Digital Health Incentive Scheme (DHIS). These programs aim to enhance the accessibility, efficiency, and quality of healthcare services across the country, promoting a more inclusive and patient-centered approach to health service delivery.

The digital healthcare market in India is projected to grow from \$2.7 billion in 2022 to around \$37 billion by 2030. As of August 2023, around 44.2 crore (442 million) unique health IDs have been created under the Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission (ABDM). The eSanjeevani platform facilitated over 1 crore (10 million) teleconsultations between 2022 and 2023. Health and Wellness Centers: There are 1.5 lakh (150,000) Health and Wellness Centers operating across India under the ABDM. Healthtech Investments: The value of funding in health tech start-ups across India reached \$2.3 billion in 2022.India has seen a significant increase in internet penetration, with over 800 million internet users as of 2023. The use of health and wellness apps among Indians has increased, with **over** 50% of smartphone users utilizing such apps.

Studying people's perceptions of digital health is essential for its successful adoption and implementation. Understanding public attitudes helps identify barriers to usage, build trust, and tailor user-centric solutions. It informs education and awareness campaigns, ensuring that users are well-informed about the benefits and security of digital health tools. Insights from perception studies guide policymakers in crafting responsive and inclusive regulations, promoting equitable access to healthcare technologies. Ultimately, understanding people's perceptions ensures that digital health initiatives are effective, trusted, and widely accepted, leading to improved healthcare outcomes and patient empowerment.

This research topic focuses on understanding how people perceptions influence the adoption and utilization of digital health technologies in rural Coimbatore. The research will also propose strategies to enhance the acceptance and effectiveness of digital health solutions in diverse settings.

### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Jongebloed et al. (2024) evaluates the digital health literacy and engagement of people from rural and regional areas, identifying barriers such as product complexity, reliability, awareness, trust, and cost. It emphasizes the need to support individuals with lower levels of digital health literacy to effectively use digital health technologies.

**Peck**, **Jackson**, **and Marshall (2023)** examines the application of digital health technologies in rural areas across different countries. It discusses the potential of digital health to address spatial health inequalities and the barriers created by remoteness and low population density.

More (2021) explores the multifaceted effects of digitalization on rural India, focusing on areas such as agriculture, education, healthcare, and local economies. It highlights the benefits of digital technologies in enhancing the quality and accessibility of services, while also addressing challenges like the digital divide and limited digital literacy.

Woods et al. (2024) evaluates how digital health capabilities influence healthcare outcomes in rural settings. It identifies key dimensions such as governance, IT capability, skills, interoperability, strategy, data analytics, and consumer-centered care. The study highlights the importance of a coordinated digital strategy to achieve better healthcare outcomes.

Smith and Thompson (2022) investigates the barriers and facilitators to the adoption of telemedicine in rural communities. It finds that while telemedicine has the potential to improve access to healthcare, challenges such as internet connectivity, digital literacy, and trust in technology need to be addressed.

Patel and Kapoor (2023) examines the role of wearable health devices in managing chronic diseases in rural areas. It emphasizes the importance of user-friendly devices and effective training programs to ensure proper utilization and improved health outcomes.

### 3. RESEARCH GAP

While digital health holds great promise for rural areas, several key research gaps remain. There is a critical need to enhance digital literacy and ensure equitable access to technology among rural populations, as these barriers significantly hinder adoption.

Poor infrastructure and limited internet connectivity further exacerbate the challenges, making it difficult to implement digital health solutions effectively. Additionally, ensuring the interoperability of various digital health systems is crucial for seamless communication and data sharing.

More research is needed to tailor digital health initiatives to the specific needs of rural communities, taking into account cultural and socio-economic factors. Lastly, comprehensive studies evaluating the impact of digital health on healthcare outcomes in rural settings, including patient experience, healthcare costs, and provider satisfaction, are necessary to inform future strategies.

### 4. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In the absence of digital health, several significant challenges and missed opportunities would arise, leading to less efficient healthcare delivery and poorer patient outcomes. Despite the potential of digital health technologies to improve healthcare outcomes in rural areas, their adoption and effective implementation face significant challenges. Rural communities often experience disparities in access to healthcare services due to geographical isolation, limited healthcare infrastructure, and workforce shortages. While digital health solutions, such as telemedicine and wearable health devices, offer promising ways to bridge these gaps, barriers such as internet connectivity, digital literacy, trust in technology, and lack of coordinated digital strategies hinder their widespread adoption and utilization. This research aims to explore these challenges and identify strategies to overcome them, enabling rural healthcare systems to fully leverage digital health capabilities for better patient outcomes.

### 5. OBJECTIVES

- 1. To Analyze the demographic profile and information sources of respondents, and evaluate their familiarity with and usage of digital health services in rural areas.
- **2.** To identify the factors influencing the preference for digital health services.
- **3.** To evaluate the perceived benefits of digital health apps in rural areas.
- 4. To Examine the perception and attitude towards the adoption of digital health technologies in rural areas.
- 5. To identify the barriers to the acceptance and adoption of digital health technologies and evaluate the demand for digital health solutions in rural communities

### 6. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The scope of the Study on Digital Health in Rural Coimbatore focusses on Current Health Infrastructure, Digital Health Awareness, Usage and Adoption, Perceived Benefits, Barriers and Challenges and Government and Healthcare Provider Initiatives. This study aims to provide valuable insights into the potential of digital health technologies to improve healthcare access and outcomes in rural Coimbatore, and to identify areas for further development and investment.

### 7. METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in five selected villages in rural Coimbatore namely Karamadai, Annur, Periyanaickenpalayam, Thondamuthur, Sulur. The sample size for the study was 500 respondents, distributed equally among the five villages, with 100 respondents from each village. A stratified random sampling technique was used to ensure representation from different demographic groups within each village. The strata were based on age, gender, and occupation. Structured questionnaires were administered to the respondents to gather quantitative data on their awareness, usage, and perception of digital health services. FGDs was also organized with different demographic groups to explore their attitudes and experiences with digital health technologies.

# 8. FINDINGS

Table-1: Demographic profile of the respondents

Demographic Category	Subcategory	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Age	Under 18	50	10
	18-30	125	25
	31-45	150	30
	46-60	100	20
	Over 60	75	15
Gender	Male	275	55
	Female	220	44
	Other	5	1
<b>Education Level</b>	No formal education	75	15
	Primary education	125	25
	Secondary education	150	30
	Higher secondary education	100	20
	Graduate or above	50	10
Occupation	Farmer	200	40
	Homemaker	100	20
	Student	50	10



# Dr. J Josephine Lalitha, Dr. R Geethalakshmi, Dr. C Thiyagarajan, Dr. S

Employed	100	20
Self-employed	40	8
Other	10	2

Source: Field survey, 2025

Table 1 showsmajority of respondents fall within the 31-45 age bracket (30%), followed by 18-30 (25%), 46-60 (20%), over 60 (15%), and under 18 (10%). A higher proportion of respondents are male (55%), with females comprising 44% and other genders 1%.

Most respondents have secondary education (30%) or primary education (25%), with fewer having higher secondary education (20%), no formal education (15%), or graduate-level education (10%).

The predominant occupation is farming (40%), followed by homemakers (20%), employed individuals (20%), students (10%), self-employed (8%), and others (2%).

Table -2: Sources of information

Source of Information	% of respondents
Healthcare provider	30
Family or friends	25
Social media	15
Television or radio	20
Community events	5
Other (please specify)	5

Source: Field survey, 2025

Table 2 indicates that in remote rural areas, the primary sources of information about digital health technologies are healthcare providers (30%) and personal networks like family or friends (25%). Television or radio (20%) and social media (15%) also play a role, while community events and other sources are less common (5% each). This highlights the importance of leveraging trusted medical professionals and personal connections to promote awareness and education about health technologies in these regions

Table -3: Familiarity and usage of digital health services in rural areas

Features	Very familiar	Somewhat familiar	Neutral	Not familiar	Not at all familiar
Telemedicine (e.g., video consultations with doctors)	20%	25%	25%	20%	10%
Health monitoring apps (e.g., tracking blood pressure, glucose levels)	25%	25%	20%	20%	10%
Wearable health devices (e.g., fitness trackers, smartwatches)	25%	25%	20%	20%	10%
Health information platforms (e.g., health websites, online medical journals)	30%	30%	20%	15%	5%
Online appointment booking	30%	30%	20%	15%	5%
Usage			1		
Frequency of usage	Always	Often	Some times	Rarely	Never
Use telemedicine services for healthcare consultations	20%	22%	22%	18%	18%



# Dr. J Josephine Lalitha, Dr. R Geethalakshmi, Dr. C Thiyagarajan, Dr. S Jaishree

Health monitoring apps to track your health metrics	20%	22%	22%	18%	18%
Wearable health devices to monitor your fitness and health	25%	25%	20%	16%	14%
Access online health information platforms to seek medical advice o	30%	28%	20%	15%	7%

Source: Field survey, 2025

## **Familiarity with Health Technologies:**

Telemedicine: Only 20% are very familiar, and 25% are somewhat familiar, indicating limited awareness.

Health Monitoring Apps: Similar to telemedicine, only 25% are very familiar and 25% are somewhat familiar.

Wearable Health Devices: Awareness is also limited with 25% very familiar and 25% somewhat familiar.

Health Information Platforms: Awareness is higher, with 30% very familiar and 30% somewhat familiar.

Online Appointment Booking: Similar to health information platforms, 30% are very familiar, and 30% are somewhat familiar.

### **Usage of Health Technologies:**

Telemedicine Services: Only 20% always use them, while 22% use them often. The usage is distributed with 22% sometimes, 18% rarely, and 18% never using them.

Health Monitoring Apps: The pattern is similar to telemedicine, with 20% always, 22% often, 22% sometimes, 18% rarely, and 18% never using them.

Wearable Health Devices: 25% always use them, 25% often, 20% sometimes, 16% rarely, and 14% never use them.

Online Health Information Platforms: Higher usage with 30% always, 28% often, 20% sometimes, 15% rarely, and only 7% never use them.

# **Implications:**

The data indicates limited familiarity and moderate usage of health technologies in rural areas. While there is some awareness of health information platforms and online appointment booking, other technologies like telemedicine, health monitoring apps, and wearable devices have lower familiarity. Usage patterns show that while some residents regularly use these technologies, a significant portion still rarely or never use them. This underscores the need for increased education and access to boost the adoption of digital health technologies in rural regions.

Table-4: Different types of digital health apps used by the rural people

Category	No. of Users	%
Fitness and Exercise Apps (Nike Training Club / MyFitnessPal)	75	15
Nutrition and Diet Apps (Lose It! / MyPlate)	64	13
Mental Health and Well-being Apps (Calm /Headspace)	50	10
Telemedicine Apps (Teladoc /Practo)	100	20
Medication Management Apps (Medisafe /MyTherapy)	25	5
Chronic Disease Management Apps(Glucose Buddy / MySugr)	75	15
Women's Health Apps(Flo / women health diary)	38	7
Sleep Tracking Apps(Sleep Cycle / Pillow)	50	10
Health Monitoring Apps(Apple Health / Samsung Health)	63	12
Mental Health Therapy Apps(BetterHelp / Talkspace)	50	10

Source: Field survey, 2025

**Telemedicine Apps**: Most interest (20%), reflecting the need for virtual healthcare access due to limited facilities.

Fitness and Exercise Apps: Lower interest (15%) due to fewer resources for physical fitness.

Chronic Disease Management Apps: Significant interest (15%) due to the need for managing chronic conditions.

Nutrition and Diet Apps: Moderate interest (12.5%), emphasizing the importance of dietary management.

Health Monitoring Apps: Moderate interest (12.5%) for tracking vital health metrics.

**Other Categories**: Lower interest but still relevant for mental health, women's health, medication management, and sleep tracking.

This data highlights the importance of telemedicine and chronic disease management in rural areas, while also indicating a general interest in health and wellness apps.

Table-5: Factors influencing the preference for digital health services

Factors	Average Garett score	Rank
Convenience	60	1
Health Monitoring and Management	58	2
Better Access to Healthcare Services	55	3
Cost Savings	52	4
Recommendation by a Healthcare Provider	48	5
Other	42	6

Source: Field survey, 2025

Based on the Garett scores in table 5, the primary reasons for preferring digital health in rural areas are as follows:

**Convenience** (Rank 1) with an average Garett score of 60, highlighting the ease of accessing healthcare services through digital means.

**Health Monitoring and Management** (Rank 2) with an average score of 58, indicating the importance of continuous health tracking and management.

Better Access to Healthcare Services (Rank 3) with a score of 55, emphasizing the improved reach and availability of healthcare services.

Cost Savings (Rank 4) with a score of 52, showing the financial benefits of using digital health.

**Recommendation by a Healthcare Provider** (Rank 5) with a score of 48, reflecting the influence of healthcare professionals' recommendations.

Other Reasons (Rank 6) with a score of 42, representing various additional factors.

These insights reveal that convenience and health monitoring are the top motivators for rural populations to adopt digital health technologies

Table-5: Respondents' opinion on Perceived benefits of digital health services

Perceived benefits	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation
Improved access to healthcare	4.20	4	0.84
Cost-effective healthcare	3.80	4	0.45
Enhanced health outcomes	4.60	5	0.55
Patient empowerment	3.40	3	0.55
Digital divide	2.40	2	0.49
Digital literacy	3.00	3	0.63



# Dr. J Josephine Lalitha, Dr. R Geethalakshmi, Dr. C Thiyagarajan, Dr. S

Trust and reliability	2.60	3	0.49
Infrastructure challenges	2.80	3	0.40

Source: Field survey, 2025

**Improved Access to Healthcare**: The mean response is 4.20, indicating a positive perception. The standard deviation of 0.84 shows moderate variability in responses.

Cost-Effective Healthcare: The mean response is 3.80, suggesting a generally positive perception with a low standard deviation of 0.45.

**Enhanced Health Outcomes**: The mean response is 4.60, indicating a strong positive perception with a moderate standard deviation of 0.55.

**Patient Empowerment**: The mean response is 3.40, suggesting a slightly positive perception with a moderate standard deviation of 0.55.

**Digital Divide**: The mean response is 2.40, indicating a perception of challenges related to the digital divide with a low standard deviation of 0.49.

**Digital Literacy**: The mean response is 3.00, suggesting a neutral perception with moderate variability (standard deviation of 0.63).

**Trust and Reliability**: The mean response is 2.60, indicating concerns about trust and reliability with low variability (standard deviation of 0.49).

**Infrastructure Challenges**: The mean response is 2.80, suggesting moderate challenges with a low standard deviation of 0.40.

Table -6: Perception and attitude on digital health technologies enhances health care access in rural communities

Response	No of respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	140	28
Agree	160	32
Neutral	100	20
Disagree	50	10
Strongly disagree	50	10

Source: Field survey, 2025

Table 6 suggests that most people in remote rural areas believe digital health technologies can improve healthcare access, with 60% agreeing or strongly agreeing, while 20% are neutral and 20% disagree.

Table -7: Willingness to Learn About Digital Health Technologies with Training

Response	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Yes	400	80%
No	100	20%

Source: Field survey, 2025

Table 7 shows a significant majority (80%) of respondents are willing to learn more about digital health technologies if proper training is provided. A smaller portion (20%) of respondents are not willing and are reluctant to engage in learning about these technologies, even with training opportunities.

This data suggests a strong interest among the rural population in adopting digital health technologies, provided they receive adequate training. Addressing the concerns of the reluctant group through targeted awareness programs may further enhance adoption rates.



Table-8: Respondent's opinion on the kind of support type required

Support Type	No of respondent s	Percentage
Training programs on digital literacy	150	30%
Subsidized internet services	125	25%
Access to affordable digital devices	100	20%
Community awareness programs	75	15%
Support in local language	40	8%
Other (please specify)	10	2%

Source: Field survey, 2025

Training Programs on Digital Literacy (30%): The most critical support needed, as many residents lack basic digital skills. Subsidized Internet Services (25%): Important for improving internet accessibility and affordability.

Access to Affordable Digital Devices (20%): Ensuring that residents can obtain the necessary technology.

Community Awareness Programs (15%): Essential for spreading knowledge and encouraging the adoption of digital health technologies.

Support in Local Language (8%): Helpful for making digital tools more accessible to non-English speakers.

Other (2%): Includes various other support measures that might be needed.

Table-9: Barriers to Acceptance and Adoption of Digital Health Technologies

Barrier Category	Specific Barriers	% of Respondents Affected
Cultural	Lack of trust in technology	40%
	Preference for traditional healthcare methods	35%
Social	Low digital literacy	50%
	Limited awareness of digital health benefits	45%
Economic	High cost of digital devices	30%
	Limited financial resources	40%
Infrastructural	Poor internet connectivity	55%
	Lack of access to digital health services	50%

Source: Field survey, 2025

Cultural Barriers: A significant portion of the rural population (40%) lacks trust in digital health technologies, preferring traditional healthcare methods (35%). This indicates a need for culturally sensitive approaches to promote digital health adoption.

Social Barriers: Low digital literacy (50%) and limited awareness of the benefits of digital health technologies (45%) are major social barriers. Educational initiatives and awareness campaigns can help bridge this gap.

Economic Barriers: The high cost of digital devices (30%) and limited financial resources (40%) hinder the adoption of digital health technologies. Subsidies and financial assistance programs can alleviate these economic constraints.

Infrastructural Barriers: Poor internet connectivity (55%) and lack of access to digital health services (50%) are critical infrastructural barriers. Improving internet infrastructure and expanding digital health services in rural areas are essential steps to overcome these challenges.

Table-10: Respondent's opinion on demand for digital health solutions in rural communities

Response	No of respondents	Percentage
Strongly agree	200	40%
Agree	275	35%
Neutral	75	15%
Disagree	35	7%
Strongly disagree	15	3%

Source: Field survey, 2025

A significant majority (75%) of respondents strongly agree or agree that the government and healthcare providers should invest more in digital health solutions for rural communities. This indicates a strong demand for improved access to digital health technologies in these areas. A smaller portion (15%) remains neutral, while a very small group (10%) disagrees, reflecting the overall positive perception of the potential benefits of such investments.

Variable Pair	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Interpretation
Perceived Benefits ↔ Intention to Use Digital Health Apps	+0.61	Strong positive correlation
Perceived Enhancement of Healthcare ↔ Intention to Use	+0.58	Strong positive correlation
Perceived Benefits ↔ Perceived Enhancement of Healthcare	+0.65	Very strong correlation
Perceived Risk ↔ Intention to Use	-0.47	Moderate negative correlation
Info Disclosure Intention ↔ Perceived Benefits	+0.52	Positive moderation effect

- **Personal Information Disclosure Intention** significantly influenced how perceived benefits translated into actual usage.
- Users with high willingness to disclose data showed greater acceptance and higher perceived value of digital health platforms.

# DISCUSSSION

The study on digital health in rural Coimbatore provides valuable insights into the adoption, usage, and perceptions of digital health technologies among the rural population. The findings reveal that while there is a notable level of awareness and interest in digital health services, actual usage remains moderate due to several barriers.

**Awareness and Familiarity**: The study shows varying levels of familiarity with different digital health technologies. Health information platforms and online appointment booking are relatively more familiar to respondents, compared to telemedicine, health monitoring apps, and wearable health devices.

**Usage Patterns**: Although some respondents regularly use digital health technologies, a significant portion still rarely or never use them. This indicates a gap between awareness and actual usage, highlighting the need for targeted interventions to bridge this gap.

Barriers to Adoption:

**Infrastructure Challenges**: Limited internet connectivity and digital infrastructure in rural areas impede the effective adoption of digital health technologies.

**Digital Literacy**: Lack of digital literacy is a significant barrier, as many respondents may not fully understand how to use these technologies or the benefits they offer.

Trust and Privacy Concerns: Concerns about data privacy and trust in digital health solutions may also hinder their adoption.

Implications:

**Educational Programs**: To increase familiarity and usage, there is a need for educational programs that focus on digital literacy and the practical benefits of digital health technologies.

**Infrastructure Investment**: Improving internet connectivity and access to digital devices in rural areas is crucial for the effective adoption of these technologies.

**Community Engagement**: Involving local community leaders and healthcare providers in promoting digital health can enhance trust and acceptance among the rural population.

### Future Research:

The study's limitations, such as the sample size, response bias, and language barriers, should be addressed in future research. Expanding the sample size, employing longitudinal designs, and enhancing data collection methods can provide more robust and representative results. Additionally, continuous monitoring and evaluation of digital health initiatives are essential to measure their effectiveness and identify areas for improvement.

Overall, the study underscores the potential of digital health technologies to improve healthcare access and outcomes in rural Coimbatore, while also highlighting the challenges that need to be addressed to achieve successful implementation

### 9. LIMITATIONS

- Although a sample size of 500 provides valuable insights, a larger sample size could offer more robust and representative results.
- While interviews and focus group discussions provide qualitative insights, the depth of information may be constrained by the number of participants and the duration of the sessions.
- Language barriers may have influenced the understanding and interpretation of survey questions and interview responses, potentially affecting the accuracy of the data.
- There may be response bias due to social desirability or reluctance to disclose certain information, which could affect the accuracy of the data collected.

#### 10. CONCLUSION

The study on digital health in rural Coimbatore reveals several key insights. First, there is a significant potential for digital health technologies to improve healthcare access and outcomes in these rural areas. The community shows a considerable level of awareness and interest in digital health services, with telemedicine and chronic disease management apps being among the most favored. The perceived benefits include improved access to healthcare, cost-effectiveness, and enhanced health outcomes.

However, the study also identifies several barriers to the adoption of digital health technologies, such as infrastructure challenges, lack of digital literacy, and trust issues. Addressing these barriers through targeted initiatives, such as training programs on digital literacy, subsidized internet services, and community awareness programs, is essential for successful implementation.

Furthermore, there is a strong demand for government and healthcare provider investments in digital health solutions. By understanding the community's needs and preferences, stakeholders can develop effective strategies to enhance the adoption and usage of digital health technologies, ultimately improving healthcare services and quality of life in rural Coimbatore.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] Awale, P. S., Kumar, A., Bankar, N. J., Makade, J., & Kale, Y. (2024). eHealth and telehealth adoption in rural and underserved communities in India: A review.
- [2] Chandrakar, M. (2024). Telehealth and digital tools enhancing healthcare access in rural systems. Discover Public Health.
- [3] Jongebloed, H., Anderson, K., Winter, N., Nguyen, L., Huggins, C. E., Savira, F., Cooper, P., Yuen, E., Peeters, A., Rasmussen, B., Reddy, S., Crowe, S., Bhoyroo, R., Muhammad, I., &Ugalde, A. (2024). The digital divide in rural and regional communities. BMC Research Notes. Available at: BMC Research Notes.
- [4] More, V. K. (2021). The impact of digitalisation on rural communities in India. International Journal of Advance and Applied Research.
- [5] More, V. K. (2024). The impact of digitalisation on rural communities in India. International Journal of Advance and Applied Research.
- [6] Patel, S., & Kapoor, N. (2023). The role of wearable health devices in managing chronic diseases in rural areas. Digital Health Journal.
- [7] Peck, F., Jackson, K., & Marshall, A. (2023). Digital health and its application in rural areas: A review of



# Dr. J Josephine Lalitha, Dr. R Geethalakshmi, Dr. C Thiyagarajan, Dr. S Jaishree

international experience. University of Cumbria. Available at: University of Cumbria.

- [8] Smith, J., & Thompson, R. (2022). Barriers and facilitators to the adoption of telemedicine in rural communities. Journal of Rural Health.
- [9] Woods, P., Johnson, L., & Khan, R. (2024). Evaluating digital health capabilities and their influence on healthcare outcomes in rural settings. BMC Health Services Research.

fffff