Advances in Consumer Research
ISSN (Print): 0098-9258
ISSN(Online): 3079-1766

Vol. 2, Issue 4 (2025) https://acr-journal.com/

Digital Literacy in Higher Education: Preparing Students for Future Workplaces

Dr. Shivani Wadhwa[1], Dr. Bharti Rana[2], Ms. Kanchan Bajaj[3]

[1]Associate Professor Jagan Institute of Management Studies Sector 5, Rohini, Near Rithala Metro Station New Delhi-110085

Email ID : shivani.wadhwa@jimsindia.org

[2]Assistant Professor Jagan Institute of Management Studies Sector 5, Rohini, Near Rithala Metro Station New Delhi-110085

Email ID : bharti.rana@jimsindia.org

[3]Assistant Professor Jagan Institute of Management Studies Sector 5, Rohini, Near Rithala Metro Station New Delhi-110085

Email ID : kanchan.bajaj@jimsindia.org

Cite this paper as: Dr. Shivani Wadhwa, Dr. Bharti Rana, Ms. Kanchan Bajaj, (2025) Digital Literacy in Higher Education: Preparing Students for Future Workplaces. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2 (4), 1527-1532

KEYWORDS
N\A

ABSTRACT
N\A.

1. INTRODUCTION

With the evolving and growing digital landscape, higher education institutions are playing a crucial role in equipping students with important digital literacy skills to meet the demands of upcoming workplace. As the industries increasingly are dependent upon tech-focused solutions, digital literacy has become essential for employability and professional success (Selwyn, 2021). However, researches have proven that there exists a gap between students' digital skills learnt over the years in their academic programs and the forecasted of employers in the market scenario (Gallardo-Echenique et al., 2015). The study continues to explore the importance of digital literacy in higher education, probing students' mastery in digital tools, their ability to merge technology enhanced learning into scholarly programs, and the existing difference in industry readiness. By applying a quantitative research approach, the paper tends to provide deep insight into how digital education can be increased to overcome the gap, securing that student are competently prepared for the workplace of tomorrow.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The conceptualization of digital literacy has emerged outstandingly over the years, incorporating a vast range of skills required for effective digital commitment. As defined earlier, the ability to make use of digital tools, digital literacy has extended to information management, critical thinking, resourcefulness, and communication in digital conditions (Ng, 2012). As the industries have become more technology-oriented, digital literacy is progressively seen as an important factor of employability. As stated by Bawden (2008), digital literacy is not only the involvement of technical skills but also conceptual and communal ability that enables individuals to identify, gauge, and construct information in digital pattern. These competencies are very important in higher education, where students need to be prepared for the transition easily into workplaces that look up for digital proficiency Higher education institutions play a crucial role in preparing students for digitally futuristic workplaces. Universities all over the world have amalgamated digital literacy components into their academic structure, looking forward to enhance students' technological adjustability (Martin, 2018). In the research done by Jisc (2019) states the usage for structured digital competency frameworks has laid more importance than basic technical training and involves real-world usage. Ironically the gap still persists in the digital skills acquired by students and the employers' expectations (Reddy et al., 2021). This gap existed due to the evolution of workplace technological advancement, which surpass the digital education given in academic programs. Therefore, many graduates get into the workforce without the required digital expertise, paramount to a incongruence between education and industry requirements.

Several studies have investigated the digital literacy gap in higher education and its implications for student anticipation. Eshet-Alkalai (2012) states that though students may be expert in using social media and online communication ways, they might lack important digital skills like data analysis, cybersecurity awareness, and digital problem-solving. Helsper and Eynon (2013) further laid stress that digital literacy is not only about knowing digital tools but also have understanding of,.

digital ethics, information security, and advanced computational thinking. Though the universities are putting an effort to involve digital literacy understanding

many students have the difficulty with higher-order digital skills, making them less skilled for the job market (Claro et al., 2012).

To evaluate the digital literacy understanding among students, various structures and methodologies have been apprised. The European Commission's *Digital Competence Framework for Citizens (DigComp)* works as a commonly accepted model, demarcating important components such as information processing, digital content creation, problem solving and communication (Carretero et al., 2017). Studies employing Likert-scale surveys and inferential statistical analyses have shown significant disparity in digital expertise across varied academic courses. (Van Deursen & Van Dijk, 2014). Researches have also shown that students from STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) streams show higher digital knowledge as compared to the students in humanities and social sciences (Redecker & Punie, 2017).

To overcome the digital literacy gap, scholars reflect that a curriculum combines experiential learning, industry collaboration, and continuous digital upskilling (Redecker & Punie, 2017). Universities that have added digital competency courses report improved employability rates among graduates (Zhao et al., 2020). Also, faculty training in digital pedagogy is crucial for effective digital literacy instruction, as many educators also require continuous learning to keep updated with technological advancements (Liu et al., 2021).

Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term impact of digital literacy programs on students' career growth. Mishra et al. (2022) also explains that versatile learning technologies and customised digital skill development could improve student engagement and competency levels. Moving forward, empirical studies evaluating how digital literacy training converts into workplace performance are significant to refine verified educational approaches (Chen et al., 2023). By addressing these research gaps, higher education institutions can better prepare students for the demands of an increasingly digitalized world.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study examines the influence and importance of digital literacy in higher education and students' readiness in work industry to come, by utilizing qualitative research methodology. Using descriptive research design, the digital literacy amongst students, instructors and the digital skill gap in academic curriculums are examined. This study also focuses on figuring out how efficiently higher education is encompassing digital tools and the readiness of students to meet future technological demands in industry.

To portray varied academic disciplines the research population comprises of students and faculty staff from five renowned universities. In order to establish a diverse representation of different academic fields in the sample, the convenience random sampling is used in the study. The sample includes 100 faculty members and 500 students. The faculty staff provided their view upon the inclusivity of technological tools and students put forward their perspective upon expertise in digital technologies helping them to be industry ready. A structured questionnaire is constructed consisting of Likert-scale items to measure digital literacy, software competence, online communication, and data handling. The questionnaire has four key components- demographic information, self-test for digital proficiency, the degree of technological incorporation in academic courses and the industry readiness.

The descriptive and inferential statistical methods are used to analyze the data and descriptive statistics, such as mean, standard deviation and frequency distribution helped calculate the participants' all round digital literacy. However, the inferential statistical methods such as t-test and ANOVA (analysis of variance) are applied to contrast technological proficiency amongst demographic groups and academic fields. Furthermore, to study the link between students' digital fluency and academic standing, a correlation analysis is performed.

The ethical procedures are followed throughout the study. All the participants are briefed upon the aim of the research, and they provided their voluntary consent, furthermore the anonymity and confidentiality are maintained by ensuring that no personal and identifiable data is shared. The data integrity is given utmost importance through objectivity and reliability in data analysis and sample collection. However, the study has limitations like self-reporting of volunteers might lead to response biasness because participants might not be able to assess their skills accurately and the fast evolution of technologies can affect the effectiveness and relevance of study findings. Also, the study might not represent the entire population because the sample collection is restricted to five universities only. Furthermore, the enduring relevance of the study's findings might get affected by the hasty development of digital tools and technologies.

The aim of the research is to determine the significance of digital literacy in higher education, through methodological aspects and to put forward the suggestions for more inclusivity of technological competence amongst the students to prepare them for future industrial prospects.

4. DATA ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistics

The digital skills are evaluated in three aspects-

Software competence

Online communication

Data handling

Table 1: Digital Literacy Levels (Descriptive Statistics)

Category	Mean Score (Out of 5)	Standard Deviation
Software Competence	3.2	0.8
Online Communication	3.5	0.7
Data Handling	2.9	0.9

The analysis conducted for various digital literacy levels among faculties and students exhibits that the highest mean of 3.5 and the lowest SD of 0.7 are noticed for online communication which insists on communication with precision and consistency. Software Competence is reasonable and moderate by way of **3.2 mean and 0.8 SD representing the** deviation amid participants. Though, the lowest mean of 2.9 and the highest SD of 0.9 are observed for data handling indicating there is substantial gap in handling and analysis of data. As a result **higher digital drill and data management programs are pointed out in the findings** whereas improved digital proficiency in higher education is leveraged.

Comparison by Academic Stream (ANOVA Test)

The ANOVA test has been carried out to examine the variations in digital literacy across various streams.

Table 2: ANOVA Results (Stream-wise Digital Literacy)

Stream	Mean Score	F-value	p-value
Engineering	3.8	4.92	0.001*
Management	3.4		
Arts	2.9		
Sciences	3.1		
Commerce	3.3		

The ANOVA analysis conducted to study the variations in digital literacy across various streams exhibits the F-value of 4.92 and the p-value of 0.001, which is significant at the 5% level showing there is a large mean difference between the various streams of studies. The small p-value indicates that the differences in the mean scores witnessed among various streams impact **digital literacy and has** a significant influence on performance. The mean score of 3.8 shows the highest proficiency of Engineering students, subsequently, Management (3.4), Commerce (3.3), Science (3.1) and the lowest of Arts students. Consequently the findings point out the students from technical field have greater digital competency as compared to other fields.

Faculty vs. Student Digital Literacy (T-test Analysis)

The T-test has been carried out to compare the digital literacy of faculties and students.

Table 3: Faculty vs. Student Digital Literacy (T-Test)

Group	Mean Score	t-value	p-value
Faculty	3.9	5.21	0.000*
Students	3.1		

Upon using t-test to contrast digital literacy levels between faculty and students, displays a major difference with $t = 5.21$ and $p=0.000$. Faculty members have a greater mean score of 3.9 whilst students have the mean score of 3.1. This discrepancy could be due to the professional experience of faculties and systematic use of technology in teaching and research. On contrary, the p-value shows that students have a lower digital fluency systematically and significantly as $p < 0.05$. There is

a critical need for improved technological teaching in curriculums to ensure that the digital fluency gap among students and faculties is low and also at the same time to prepare students for industry.

Gaps in Digital Readiness for Employment

The study evaluated the extent to which students' digital skills align with employer expectations.

Table 4: Student Readiness vs. Industry Expectations

Skill Area	Industry Requirement (%)	Student Proficiency (%)	Digital Skill Gap (%)
Software Skills	85	65	20
Online Communication	80	70	10
Data Management	90	50	40

Upon comparing student **readiness** and **industry expectations**, a drastic difference in digital literacy is noted. The industry currently requires 85% software competence, while students have 65% which leads to 20% digital skill gap. While online communication has the lowest digital skill gap of 10%, i.e. difference in industrial requirement of 80% and students proficiency of 70%, presenting the strong competency in digital communication. The most significant requirement of industry at the moment is data handling, which portrays the highest digital skill gap of 40%. The industry currently requires 90% skill proficiency in data handling while students have the lowest digital fluency in the same. This highlights a major scarcity in data handling and analysis skills in students, making them incompetent for workspace. Consequently, the findings reflect an essential need for amendments in academic curriculum to enforce higher digital literacy among students, with more emphasis on data handling mastery, to make students eligible and ready for future job expectations.

Findings of the Study

The observation for the Digital Literacy states that the levels of literacy are different across the different streams.

The students of Engineering have the highest digital proficiency (3.8 mean score), wherein the students of Arts have scored the lowest (2.9 mean score).

The ANOVA test ($F = 4.92$, $p = 0.001$) ensures the major differences in digital literacy across the courses, laying emphases on the need for stream-specific digital training programs.

Results have proven that faculty members have Higher Digital Literacy Than the students

Faculty members (mean score: 3.9) reflect significantly stronger digital skills than students (mean score: 3.1).

The t-test ($t = 5.21$, $p = 0.000$) ensures that the difference is significant, focussing the need for increased digital upskilling amongst the students.

The results showed that the major gap existed between the student skill set and Industry Needs

The largest gap existed in Data Management Skills (40%), indicating a major gap in students' ability to handle and evaluate data.

The skills related to software showed a 20% gap, recommending that students need training in important digital tools.

The online communication skills have the least gap (10%), indicating low competency in digital interaction.

Study revealed that the fact there is an urgent need for Curriculum Enhancement

The study showed that there is a mismatch between educational training and workplace requirements.

Some interventions which are targeted like data analytics workshops, software training, and real-world digital skill applications, play an important role to enhances student employability and digital readiness.

Future Scope of Improvement

To bridge the existing gap, a number areas for improvement must be taken onto account. Firstly, universities should go way more than basic digital literacy and focus on advanced skill development, such as data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), cybersecurity, and digital project management. Furthermore, cross-disciplinary digital literacy programs should be tutored to confirm that students from non-technical backgrounds can also equip themselves with digital competencies. Institutions should also pay attention towards faculty training programs to ensure that educators also have important digital teaching skills. Further, amalgamating industry collaborations and internships can improve students' exposure to the real-world digital employment.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

To ensure upskilling in technical and analytical digital skills the higher education institutes should add digital literacy programs into all curriculum.

Hands-on training should be given more importance for the real-world simulations, case studies, and live projects to improve digital competency.

It's of utmost importance for the faculties to be updated with the changing digital trends so therefor, the Universities must give continuous professional development programs for faculty members.

Programs like internships, mentorship programs, and workshops can help to align academic learning with workplace needs.

As the digital proficiency differs amongst the students, institutions should make use of adaptive learning technologies that can help for personalized trainings based on individual's skill set.

Continuous evaluation for Digital skills through certifications, competency tests, and industry-aligned projects can help to track progress and ensures skill enhancement.

6. CONCLUSION

The study lays accentuate on the important role of digital literacy in higher education and its direct effect on students' employability. While students reflect expertise in digital tools, a important skills gap remains in advanced digital competencies required by industries. The staff members also face challenges in employing digital skills into academic programs due to inadequate training and institutional support. The findings suggest that curriculum improvements, faculty development, and industry collaborations are necessary to complete this gap. By embracing a structured, technology-driven approach to digital education, universities can ensure that graduates are well-equipped to navigate the evolving digital landscape and meet the demands of future workplaces.

REFERENCES

[1] Bawden, D. (2008). Origins and concepts of digital literacy. Digital Literacies: Concepts, Policies and Practices, 30(1), 17-32.

[2] Carretero, S., Vuorikari, R., & Punie, Y. (2017). The digital competence framework for citizens: With eight proficiency levels and examples of use. Publications Office of the European Union.

[3] Chen, Y., Zhang, X., & Lin, J. (2023). Measuring the impact of digital literacy training on employability. International Journal of Educational Technology, 39(2), 45-61.

[4] Chopra Ritika.(2024). Innovation forge: a hybrid review about resilience and technology readiness in the manufacturing sector. International Journal of Sustainable Engineering, ABDC A. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/19397038.2024.2411289

[5] Claro, M., Salinas, A., Cabello-Hutt, T., San Martín, E., Preiss, D. D., Valenzuela, S., & Jara, I. (2012). Teaching and learning in the digital age: Opportunities and challenges for the 21st century. Computers & Education, 59(2), 1041-1053.

[6] Eshet-Alkalai, Y. (2012). Digital literacy: A conceptual framework for survival skills in the digital era. Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia, 11(1), 93-106.

[7] Gallardo-Echenique, E. E., Marqués-Molías, L., Bullen, M., & Strijbos, J. W. (2015). Digital learning environments for higher education: A conceptual framework. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 16(1), 1-15.

[8] Helsper, E. J., & Eynon, R. (2013). Digital natives: Where is the evidence? British Educational Research Journal, 36(3), 503-520.

[9] Jisc. (2019). Digital literacy in higher education: Frameworks and best practices. Jisc Research Reports.

[10] Liu, C., Wang, X., & Xu, Z. (2021). Faculty training in digital pedagogy: Impacts on student learning. Educational Technology Research and Development, 69(4), 987-1004.

[11] Martin, A. (2018). Digital literacy and the future of higher education. Education and Information Technologies, 23(5), 2179-2193.

[12] Mishra, P., Koehler, M. J., & Henriksen, D. (2022). The role of adaptive learning technologies in digital literacy education. TechTrends, 66(2), 123-134.

[13] Ng, W. (2012). Can we teach digital natives digital literacy? Computers & Education, 59(3), 1065-1078.

[14] Panjwani Veena.(2024). Developing AI powered training programs for employee upskilling and reskilling. Journal of Informatics and Research. ISSN - 1526-4726. https://jier.org/index.php/journal/article/view/903

[15] Reddy, P., Sharma, B., & Chaudhary, K. (2021). Addressing the digital skills gap: The role of universities in preparing students for the workforce. Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 43(2), 245-263.

[16] Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2017). Digital competence of educators: Identifying key skills and training needs. European Journal of Education, 52(2), 185-197.

[17] Selwyn, N. (2021). Education and technology: Key issues and debates. Bloomsbury Publishing.

[18] Van Deursen, A. J., & Van Dijk, J. A. (2014). The digital divide shifts to differences in usage. New Media & Society, 16(3), 507-526.

[19] Zhao, Y., Zhang, J., & Li, M. (2020). Digital skills and employability: Evidence from higher education graduates. Journal of Career Development, 47(6), 642-656..

fffff