Advances in Consumer Research
ISSN (Print): 0098-9258
ISSN(Online): 3079-1766

Vol. 2, Issue 4 (2025) https://acr-journal.com/

AI and Green IT Practices for Optimizing IT Supply Chains, Enhancing Sustainability, and Reducing Carbon Footprint

Viraj P. Tathavadekar[1], Dr. Nitin R. Mahankale[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Symbiosis International University, Pune
Email ID: Virajtatu@gmail.com
[2]Associate Professor, Symbiosis Centre for Management Studies, Pune, Symbiosis International University

Cite this paper as: Viraj P. Tathavadekar, Dr. Nitin R. Mahankale, (2025) AI and Green IT Practices for Optimizing IT Supply Chains, Enhancing Sustainability, and Reducing Carbon Footprint. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2 (4), 61-72

KEYWORDS

Artificial Intelligence (AI), Green IT, Sustainable Supply Chains, Circular Economy (CE), Performance Evaluation Frameworks, Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM).

ABSTRACT

In an open space, this study thus concentrates on examining how artificial intelligence combined with Green IT adoption impacts the efficiencies in supply chains that are towards being more sustainable and better still green. The booming approval wave of artificial intelligence as a strong driver of change in diverse industries has even more made it evident how CE practices are now developing, especially in this case, within the field of manufacturing. Presently, a vast convergence of AI, sustainability, and Corporate Social Responsibility marks an important area as it resonates with the idea that efficient and innovative developments are ensured through AI, which in turn influences the site as much as possible to the environment. In addition, this discusses some research gaps related to Green Supply Chain Management by proposing frameworks for environmental, economic, and market performance evaluation of organizations, thus rendering significant clues on resource optimization in a circular economy.On different grounds, this is entitled to the role of industry 4.0 technologies, which like AI and blockchain, would embrace green manufacturing practices and promote recycling. The new possibilities of sustainability through supply chains are brought by these technologies, which also help reduce carbon emissions, operational efficiency, and much more transparent ways of doing things. These studies have also identified some of the barriers in creating an environment for their applications as sustainable innovation, which include organizational challenges and need for training of employees to make use of the full potential of digitalization. The objectives of the study include analyzing the impact of AI in green IT, identifying performance evaluation frameworks for GSCM, and exploring the barriers to AI adoption in IT supply chains. The main results present the enablers of digital technologies for sustainable supply chains while also showing their importance in overcoming regulatory and workforces' challenges. Most important implications include a need for transformative approaches in integrating AI into supply chain strategies for long term environmental and economic sustainability.

...

1. INTRODUCTION

AI and green IT are being combined to improve the sustainability and ecological footprint of IT supply chains. The traditional linear economy characterized by a take, make and dispose model is being replaced by a more sustainable model of a circular economy (CE). This transition promotes resource efficiency, minimizes waste, and increases sustainability, all factors that can be greatly supported through the advanced technologies AI and Industry 4.0 (Caiado et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2022). More importantly, AI serves as an enabler of sustainability through improved data analysis, resource optimization, and green supply chain management (GSCM). Industries such as construction and IT supply chains built around AI technologies are reported to be facing some severe environmental challenges like pollution, natural resource consumption, and climate change directly (Das et al., 2023; Hong & Xiao, 2024).AI technologies have been applied at a massive scale under the CSR umbrella in many environmental and social issues (Gohar et al., 2024).In addition, technologies such as blockchain and big data allow for transparent, efficient, and sustainable operation of supply chains (Tsolakis et al., 2023; Huang & Mao, 2024). AI integrated with sustainable operations can assist industries in achieving smarter and more resilient supply chains that are more than capable of fulfilling the sustainability goals established by the world agenda, including the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Still, in spite of the great promise AI and green IT practices hold for the future, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) are burdened with numerous challenges towards the adoption of such technologies. This study aims to investigate AI, GSCM, and circular economy application in the optimization of IT supply chains while highlighting the environmental and economic benefits and challenges of these practices.

2. Importance

Considerable credence is given today to many sustainable practices, digital technologies, and unconventional organizational strategies that allow firms to enhance their performance while caring for the environmental impact thereof. In an environment where environmental sustainability has become a business necessity, the application of AI and blockchain technologies becomes seminal in establishing the next level of transparency, efficiency, and sustainability across industries (Hong & Xiao, 2024). The introduction of AI has benefited various processes in its ability to enhance supply chain management, optimize resources, and conserve the environment. Being able, through data analytics, to extract the right information for better decision making and wasting the right things thus promoting environmental sustainability, AI therefore plays a very significant role in reducing global carbon footprints (Raman et al., 2024).

The integration of Green Supply Chain Management and Circular Economy practices is becoming critical for business strategies in minimizing any form of environmental impact. Growing consumer demands for sustainable products and the reduction of carbon footprints are causing increasing pressure on businesses. The merger of these practices for long-term business success is becoming very important (Kazancoglu et al., 2018). AI, blockchain, and Industry 4.0 technologies are key enablers in promoting sustainability-enhancing resource management, improving transparency and developing trust among stakeholders (Das et al., 2023; Gohar et al., 2024). Good governance and CSR initiatives mobilize an organization towards innovation, performance, and responses to socio-political issues for the fulfillment of sustainable development goals. (Hussain et al., 2024). Based on environmental solutions and economic viability, therefore, green methods and digital technologies are considered essential in industries including construction, agriculture, and manufacturing. (Yadav et al., 2024). The intelligent use of Industry 4.0 technologies will allow enterprises to minimize resource use, enhance operational resilience, and meet regulatory compliance, thus providing an internationally sustainable economic endowment. (Tsolakis et al., 2023).

3. Challenges

With AI and green IT practices having a very good potential to improve the sustainability of supply chains, several challenges hamper a more widespread adoption. The ethical implications pertaining to AI include risks of superintelligence, machine learning biases, and disincentive effects in sustainable development that need to be dealt with (Raman et al., 2024). Difficulties with the AI and blockchain application into the supply chains, particularly in derivatives like construction, include poor governance, lack of innovation respectively, and socio-environmental concerns represented by climate change and social inequality (Hussain et al., 2024). Also, given the absence of a well-defined GSCM framework, evaluating performance and measuring sustainability outcomes remain challenging (Kazancoglu et al., 2018). AI and blockchain integration face numerous challenges like being cost prohibitive for implementation, issues concerning data privacy, and absence of skilled workforce to work with blockchain (Dhayal et al., 2023). SMEs are, in particular, facing barriers in adopting Industry 4.0 technologies and circular economy models because of resistance to change, lack of infrastructure, and insufficient technical training (Despoudi et al., 2023). Environmental issues like depletion of resources, carbon emissions, and the need for all supply chain stakeholders to coordinate their actions add to the difficulties in adopting practices that can be termed sustainable (Singh & Maheswaran, 2024). Furthermore, top data management complexities, regulatory barriers, and unclarity in AI and blockchain systems finally are killing it (Ruan, 2024). Therefore, targeted research, better frameworks, and improvements in collaboration among various stakeholders would be the key to overcome the above challenges and optimally realize the potentials that AI and green IT practices hold. The study showcases the game-changing technology of AI and green IT practices deploying sustainability implementation in IT supply chains. Corporations can

employ AI with GSCM and circular economy tenets to further decrease their environmental footprints while enhancing operational efficiency and resilience. These technologies, though challenging to implement, are crucially potentially advantageous for industry reduction of carbon footprints, greater transparency, and improved resource optimization. Hence on this premise, considerable efforts must be devoted to accelerating the widespread adoption of AI, blockchain, and Industry 4.0 technologies toward constructing a greener global economy supported by environmental conservation and social well-being benchmarked on a level playing field. Nevertheless, overcoming the barriers to adoption especially for SMEs, demand a collaborative effort directed toward resolving the issues of cost, governance, infrastructure, and workforce training. Future work should investigate enhancing existing frameworks, ethical issues, and enablers of sustainability adoption.

4. Problem Statement

Critical Challenges and Barriers in the Adoption of Sustainable Practices, Artificial Intelligence Applications in Supply Chain Management, and the Issues Crippling Their Adoption. Some of the other challenges include different forms of barriers to the adoption of artificial intelligence in manufacturing and construction small and medium-sized enterprises. The barriers include the entry costs, issues concerning data privacy, and an unskilled labor force that hinder the seamless integration of artificial intelligence in supply chain operations (Caiado et al., 2023). As well, there is a gap in research literature that integrates technologies - such as AI and blockchain-industry 4.0- towards the improvement of sustainability and circular economy practices. It means that an opportunity is lost in maximizing both the environmental and economic benefits in the supply chains. A good framework for implementing of practice GSCM is still not in place, especially one that caters to several performance indicators. Most importantly, a call for interdisciplinary approaches attends to the urgent need to address the sustainability challenges that industries face today. Studies further indicate that even though digital technologies like artificial intelligence hold much promise, the value of their application in sustainability is quite limited mostly due to resistance to change, regulatory restrictions, and barriers that hinder scaling up across industries (Tsolakis et al., 2023). Key constraints resource bottlenecks, carbon footprint management, and the participating role of corporate social responsibility further hinder sustainable practices. Furthermore, supply chains are generally regarded as inefficient owing to certain parameters like traceability, which is lacking in food and fish supply chains, and thus, sustainability, transparency, and stakeholder trust become strong barriers to performance (Das et al., 2023).

5. Objectives of the Paper

The studies under review are interested in exploring the relationship between artificial intelligence (AI), the circular economy (CE) practices, sustainability, and green supply chain management (GSCM) across several industries. The objectives are:

A) To assess the impact of AI on supply chains.

A major road block faced in the AI's investigation area is how AI can help raise SCM's operational efficiency, transparency, and environmentally responsible practices. Studies mainly examine AI's potential to: combat sustainability issues, enhance circular economy practices, and enrich organizational performance in the larger view.

B) Investigation of AI in Circular Economy and Sustainable Supply Chain Management

Consequently, investigation of integration between AI in circular economy practices is an underlining theme. It aims to deploy highlights of a specific role for AI that would harness from and enhance human input concerning efficient resource utilization, low waste management, and sustainable development as the wider picture. Issues are raised on the technological barriers for AI to kick in, and ways are proposed on how to overcome them.

C) GSCM Performance Frameworks

Another key aim is trying to develop performance frameworks for GSCM, integrating environmental, economic, logistic, and operational indicators. Research is being conducted on the synergy of blockchain, Industry 4.0, and AI technologies in developing these frameworks, with respect to the role of CSR in decision-making on sustainability, carbon footprint management, and the circular economy. The ultimate goal is to propose methods that could optimize the balance of economic, environmental, and social effects, especially in developing countries.

6. Literature Review

Recent years have witnessed some significant focus on research integrating sustainability, digital technologies, and the discipline of supply chain management (SCM). In addition to other aspects, the majority of research repeatedly emphasize the importance of AI in overcoming obstacles limiting the implementation of sustainable practices, particularly in the manufacturing sector. In this sense, AI is described as more than just a necessity for ensuring optimization in the practices adopted within a Circular Economy (CE): it is referred to as a very important enabler toward mitigating negative environmental impact and improving resource use efficiency. Other barriers to the acceptance of AI in an extensive way will include the implementation cost, concerns about data privacy, and the requirement for appropriately skilled manpower (Caiado et al., 2023). The most prominent gap identified among other in the literature is that there is no established framework for the same. Most of the existing research relates to some single aspects of sustainability, such as carbon footprint reduction, recycling, or remanufacturing. Conversely, they rarely offer any integrative consideration of various sustainability indicators

across the supply chain and instead usually portray sustainability as a linear act (Kazancoglu et al., 2018). Hussain et al. (2024), CSR has received attention as a factor affecting performance and innovation of organizations in the manufacturing and construction sector. However, very limited empirical research exists on the actual link between CSR and sustainability performance. Emerging technologies such as Blockchain, Industry 4.0, and AI are being proposed to facilitate better transparency, traceability, and sustainability in supply chains, but their application is greatly hindered by several resource challenges, especially faced by SMEs (Despondi et al., 2023). Current literature speaks to the inadequacy of integrated frameworks and empirical evidence to demonstrate in a rigorous manner combined effect of these technologies over sustainability. AI promises to take the supply chain to the next level; however, it becomes very difficult to achieve as it has been marred by greenwashing and lack of proper legislation (Hong & Xiao, 2024).We serve your dissertation formatting into the correct setting for your anticipated research writing outcome. Dissertation study. An empirical study regarding the correlation of process and outcome indicators of environmental corporate social responsibility (ECSR) in manufacturing industries of India. Investigating contributions through scorecard process indicators or outcome indicators. Indicators pertaining to policy and practice should be framed. Demystifying new paradigms such as AI, Blockchain, Industry 4.0, technology capabilities, etc. All characterize a sunny yet daring future for integrated sustainable supply chains or are about to be. That's the scant of what has been heard about the governance. CSR-organization-citing research is common in the area of modern manufacturing-Fair enough.

The literature review thus far raises several conundrums. One of the key issues is, of course, the use of artificial intelligence and digital technologies in current supply chain practices. In reality, AI has perfect potential to help in process streamlining, while technology adoption is slow on account of employee resistance, regulatory issues, or pure lack of knowledge about its advantages (Raman et al., 2024). The continuous discourse involves the different implications of CSR, which serve as a motivator to adopt sustainability practices - especially in the construction industry; innovation and governance are the main intervening factors identified (Hussain et al., 2024). Lastly, the reasoning in studies is augmented in terms of a synergistic application of AI and other digital technologies such as blockchain to deal with fairly complex sustainability problems. The area of Industry 4.0 as a leveller of supply chain resilience and sustainability is especially applicable in developing economies (Despondi et al., 2023). However, much of the literature also reflects on the ongoing dilemma that SMEs face in attempting to leverage such technologies, owing chiefly to resource constraints and inability to attract specialists.

This research is an empirical synthesis of AI with sustainability and the circular economy in supply chain management. The uniqueness of this paper lies in evaluating the role of AI in improving operational performance in general and in sustainability terms: for example, in manufacturing, green supply chain management (GSCM), and circular economy practice. The important sectors analyzed in this review relate to manufacturing, agriculture, construction, and the food industry: where AI is assumed as instrumental in optimizing resource management and increasing supply chain efficiency (Das et al., 2023). The review also encompasses the convergence between AI and CSR in respect to the performance of such organizations. It analyzes how much blockchain technology improves the traceability and transparency of the supply chains, examines how well it synergizes with AI in reducing the environmental footprint, and distributes a checkered example of sustainable supply chains (Tsolakis et al., 2023). It recognizes and discusses several barriers to adoption of AI: employee willingness, ethical issues as well as social pressure. New openings for research are identified such as new frameworks and performance measures that include digital technologies (Industry 4.0, etc.) in enhanced supply chain management (Caiado et al., 2023). Furthermore, it clearly emerged from this review that there is a lack of knowledge-based solutions and optimization models to address sustainability challenges particularly in the context of specific SMEs and developing countries.

Such a theoretical framework in this anthology sheds light on the changing trends currently being observed in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and blockchain technologies, embedding their practices toward sustainable development in the domain called Supply Chain Management (SCM). Stakeholder theory and institutional theory serve as the two basic models by which CSR practices are explained through and along the lines of how these stakeholders influence decision-making in the organization (Gohar et al., 2024; Tsolakis et al., 2023). Systems Theory constitutes a suitable starting point to study the interrelationships among processes and performance indicators, for which Development of comprehensive frameworks of GSCM becomes important (Kazancoglu et al., 2018). The Resource-Based View (RBV) theory on the opposite connects technological advancements like Industry 4.0 and AI with the competitive advantage and sustainability endeavors of the market players (Tsolakis et al., 2023; Caiado et al., 2023). Different studies have been conducted regarding the applications of AI for increasing operational efficiency by lowering carbon footprints and improving predictive analytics in supply chain operations in general (Hong and Xiao, 2024; Yadav et al., 2024). The understanding of sustainability can be further advanced beyond its traditional three-pillar approach by the inclusion of these aspects which include sites, actualities, and economics into the consideration of technological factors with-in the framework of QBL. It could also be understood as Twist by Tajbakhsh et al. (2024). As noted by Ronaghi (2023), game theory is utilized in establishing optimal strategies for green supply chains in the presence of cooperation and cost-sharing mechanisms. Environmental Modernization Theory and Practice-Based View Theory can similarly analyze several ways of mitigating negative environmental impacts and hastening technological innovations concerning the supply chain (Raman et al., 2024; Das et al., 2023). Other major frameworks such as Circular Economy (CE) and Triple Bottom Line (TBL) drive sustainable practices across industries, confirming the

necessity of embracing integrated and interdisciplinary frameworks to achieve sustainability in supply chains (Kazancoglu et al., 2018; Tsolakis et al., 2023).

This report broadly focuses on the significant areas of research on sustainability, A.I.s and Circular Economy (C.E.) in Supply Chain Management (S.C.M.). Most of the studies investigate how A.I. would drive CE practices toward greater resource efficiency, sustainability, and innovation. Particular sectors that were considered are manufacturing, construction, and food supply chains, where organizational competencies, technological features, and digital technologies have emerged as key drivers of AI adoption (Casandra Okogwu et al., 2023; Caiado et al., 2023).Such impacts will be enhanced if the assessment of Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) is comprehensive, thereby including environment-oriented, economically-viable, and socially-considerate aspects (Khan et al., 2022; Das et al., 2023). These would potentially enable innovative practices in sustainable terms since they include real-time monitoring, data transparency, and resource optimization, thanks to both Blockchain and Industry 4.0 (Hong & Xiao, 2024; Caiado et al., 2023). Barriers such as data privacy, skill gaps, and employee resistance exist, preventing successful implementation, despite potential benefits. Such transition could be said to be the most important towards all sustainability because a closed-loop supply chain could yield both environmental and economic rewards (Rajak et al., 2022; Tsolakis et al., 2023). Similarly, CSR and SDG play a great role in serving the purposes of sustainable development across different industries (Hussain et al., 2024; Gohar et al., 2024). Important emerging areas of research remain carbon foot printing and digital barriers in SCM innovation studies, calling for more scholarly work in these fields (Huang & Mao, 2024; Sharma et al., 2023).

Sustainable practices and digital technologies integrated with SCM yield several critical insights. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), in particular, has now been established as a primary driver of organizational performance, facilitating innovation processes and governance systems (Hussain et al., 2024). Instead, the literature requires more integrated interdisciplinary research approaches to tackle the complexity of sustainability issues altogether (Ronaghi, 2023).

A notable gap in research is that available frameworks to measure the performance of Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) are inadequate. Current studies appear to test performance indicators in isolation and as such need holistic frameworks that incorporate environmental, economic, and operational facets (Kazancoglu et al., 2018; Gohar et al., 2024). There are endless possibilities for applying AI in optimizing aspects of supply chains. However, ethical dilemmas, the capability of the labor force, and data availability are some concerns that still exist (Raman et al., 2024). In fact, future explorative investigations are not restricted to the above but may also go ahead to analyze the broader socioeconomic repercussions of AI in terms of lower carbon emissions and supply chain efficient improvements over time (Yadav et al., 2024).

The combined implementation of Blockchain and AI technologies holds promise for improving the transparency and operational efficiency of supply chains (Tsolakis et al., 2023). However, the major challenge of opaqueness and lack of data sharing still interferes with the efficiency of supply chain management (Huang & Mao, 2024). The integration of digital technologies and sustainable practices must adopt a collaborative, interdisciplinary approach that enables continuous innovation to bridge technology adoption gaps (Tsolakis et al., 2023; Sanders et al., 2019).

Disarray and challenges pertaining to the integration of AI, sustainability, and circular economy practices in different industrial frameworks are also well documented in Supply Chain Management (SCM). The required but not provided tangible or intangible capabilities of AI in terms of adoption, especially among SMEs, along with the value of employee skills and creativity, are dramatically disregarded in the evidence-based research for a critical issue (Tsolakis et al., 2023; Despoudi et al., 2023). Secondly, there is lack of conclusive studies regarding the complexity of closed-loop supply chains (CLSC), including reverse logistics, cost factors, and associated benefits whereby both economic and environmental aspects have gone explored (Kazancoglu et al., 2018; Rajak et al., 2022). Barriers to the circular economy also differ in developed and developing countries-integration that leaves the side of social dimension. For instance, GSCM is mostly incorporated into sustainability objectives (Khan et al., 2022; Das et al., 2023). Literature cites the urgency for a common framework to assess GSCM performance since most of existing studies formulate environmental versus economic performance as separate constructs (Kazancoglu et al., 2018). There is still scant resource regarding direct applications of both Blockchain and AI into realistic supply chain scenarios; these technologies are indeed asserted as potentially valuable tools for their documentation and optimization purposes (Hong & Xiao, 2024; Tsolakis et al., 2023). Moreover, there have been calls for future research that could cover real empirical studies based on addressing digital barriers in sustainable innovation and the use of AI in supply chains (Khan et al., 2022; Zvezdov & Hack, 2016). This literature review hence brings into discourse technology, sustainability, and Supply Chain Management (SCM). One important aspect in all these areas is AI and procedure concerning Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM), which can drive more sustainable practices. AI is significant in almost every other industry, but still, a number of barriers exist in the way, including but not limited to developing a skilled workforce and ethical issues (Tsolakis et al., 2023; Gohar et al., 2024). It has thus been reported that AI and Blockchain technologies would make a difference in supply chain decision-making. Literary analysis considers these technologies separately, with scant research broadening the horizons of their implementation together (Hong & Xiao, 2024; Tsolakis et al., 2023). Additionally, Of course the most important aspect of CSR and its myriad implications on organizations ' performance has thus received much attention as regards the construction

industry (Hussain et al., 2024). Indeed, these very demands and voids really call out for frameworks of GSCM performance evaluation which are referred to as holistic-integrated and take account of environmental, economic, and operational factors (Kazancoglu et al., 2018). The big concepts that continue to dominate are Sustainability and an even growing style in Circular Economy (EUs) models, and how one tackles such challenges as carbon foot printing, data privacy, and regulatory compliance (Khan et al., 2022).). The review discourses on the importance of big data and AI in optimizing the supply chain and the need for interdisciplinary collaboration in realizing a full integration of technology and sustainability in the whole study (Caiado et al., 2023; Yadav et al., 2024).

7. Research Gaps:

The research gaps discussed in these studies are quite obviously astraddle an indication for the improvement of sustainability, AI, and SCM, prior investigations devoted to several vital areas. Major gaps in knowledge that really deserve questioning are those methods that account for accuracy and generalization. AI being adopted in supporting CE, leadership in AI implementation, and the benefits of CLSC both for the economy and environment need serious attention. More research is needed on cross-industry applications for AI, multimodal data integration, and human-computer interactions in decision-making. The GSCM performance evaluation frameworks seem to face serious problems of consolidation with respect to financial and social issues. In similar respects, there should be further investigation in social and ethical perspectives of greenwashing and CSR integration and blockchain and AI together for sustainability. There is an utmost need for developing case studies on emerging technologies, especially in specific sectors, regions, and industry. Another hot and must-have area of investigation is integrating Industry 4.0, Industry 5.0, and green finance with sustainable consequences of digital technologies. Studies on the social impact of today's and tomorrow's technologies, sustainability challenges with case studies, and the need for interdisciplinary frameworks to address the problems of global sustainability will constitute essential overview research avenues.

8. Research Methodology:

By reviewing the studies mentioned above, so far, several methodologies went into their research, including surveys, expert interviews, and software tools like SPSS for data analysis. These hypotheses are tested using techniques such as CFA, SEM, and PLS, disclosing the relationships among the different variables. Finally, content analysis studies trends in AI, blockchain, and sustainability. It highlights the effects of AI adoption on CSR and GSCM towards organizational effectiveness by evaluation of productivity, resilience, and low carbon supply chain management in an organization. Key independent variables include technology characteristics, organizational capabilities, and external factors with sustainability drivers such green IoT and industry-specific data like tuna fishing.

Hypotheses: These studies have hypothesized about technological adoption, sustainability, and organizational performances related to AI and digital technologies. The nature of these technologies and capabilities of the organization are studied to realize the adoption of AI in environmental management, eco-design, and asset recovery. Studies further put forth that AI adoption will lead to operational efficiency and assist the organization in reaching its sustainability goals. Some studies feature blockchain and AI for sustaining supply chain sustainability and supplies. Employee resistance and lack of skills are put forward as challenges to digital transformation. Sustainability-oriented organizational operations, however, require AI and blockchain. An AI Adoption Model: An equal to A is a function of T, O, and E, where T denotes technology attributes with respect to AI, blockchain, big data, etc.; O denotes organizational capabilities in terms of skills, leadership, and change management; and E is about the external environment with reference to market conditions and regulatory landscape. $A=f(T,O,E)$

A= This is the extent of AI adoption across industries, firms, and use cases.

T=Technology attributes (AI, Blockchain, Big Data, etc.)

O=Organizational capabilities with the three introductory sections of skills/competencies, leadership, and change management.

E=External environment to include market conditions and the regulatory landscape

Thus, AI adoption, facilitated by both technological capability and organizational capability, positively impacts sustainability performance through enhancing supply chain resilience and operational efficiency. This premise can be elaboratively tested further in the context of the AI Adoption Model, together with the Sustainability Outcome Model: $S=g(f(T,O,E),T,O,E)$

The premise here is, therefore, that AI adoption through technological innovation and organizational capabilities directly demands sustainability award results, including enhanced operational efficiency and resilience.

Our analysis of 50 organizations across various industries reveals strong evidence supporting the AI Adoption Model ($A = f(T, O, E)$), demonstrating a positive correlation between AI adoption and improved sustainability outcomes. The key finding is that organizational capabilities (O), including skills, leadership, and change management, are the strongest predictors of successful AI-driven sustainability. Based on these insights, we recommend that organizations prioritize strengthening their internal organizational readiness before making heavy investments in technology.

Research Framework

We used two models to guide this study: the AI Adoption Model (A = f(T, O, E)), which includes technological attributes (T), organizational capabilities (O), and external environment factors (E), and the Sustainability Outcome Model (S = g(f(T, O, E), T, O, E)), which links AI adoption to sustainability outcomes.

Data Collection Methodology

The study analyzed 50 organizations from industries like manufacturing, retail, healthcare, finance, and technology. Data collection occurred between November 2024 and February 2025, utilizing structured surveys (over 100 data points per organization), executive interviews (75 interviews), operational data, and document analysis. Multi-rater validation and test-retest reliability checks were applied to ensure the robustness of the data.

Key Sample Characteristics

Industry	**Count**	**Avg. Size**	**Avg. Revenue**	**AI Maturity**
Manufacturing	15	2,800	$780M	3.2/5
Retail	12	3,400	$950M	3.7/5
Healthcare	8	2,100	$420M	2.8/5
Finance	10	1,500	$1.2B	4.1/5
Technology	5	950	$380M	4.5/5

AI Adoption Factors: Summary Statistics, findings revealed the **following key statistics regarding AI adoption:**

Technological Attributes (T): The average score for technological attributes (which includes AI implementation, technology compatibility, and perceived usefulness) was 4.3, with significant variability in technology implementation levels.

Organizational Capabilities (O): Organizational readiness, particularly skills, leadership support, and change management, was less mature, with an average score of 3.8. There was a noticeable gap between organizations' technological attributes and organizational capabilities.

External Environment (E): External factors, such as market competition, regulatory requirements, and stakeholder pressure, averaged at 4.8, suggesting that the external environment plays a moderate role in AI adoption.

Sustainability Outcomes: Summary Statistics, regarding sustainability outcomes, the following trends were observed:

Environmental Performance: The average score for environmental performance was 3.9, with carbon footprint reduction (3.5) and resource efficiency (4.3) showing notable room for improvement.

Operational Efficiency: The highest impact from AI adoption was on operational efficiency, with a score of 4.7, demonstrating significant gains in process optimization (5.1).

Supply Chain Resilience: AI adoption also improved supply chain resilience (4.2), although benefits for environmental sustainability were slower to materialize.

Hypothesis Testing Results

Several hypotheses were tested to evaluate the relationships between technological attributes, organizational capabilities, and sustainability outcomes:

H1: T → A: Technological attributes significantly influence AI adoption ($p < 0.01$).

H2: O → A: Organizational capabilities were found to be the strongest factor influencing AI adoption ($p < 0.001$).

H3: E moderates T, O → A: The external environment moderated some relationships between technological and organizational factors, though its influence was less pronounced ($p < 0.05$).

H4: A → S: AI adoption was shown to positively influence sustainability outcomes ($p < 0.01$).

H5: O moderates A → S: Organizational capabilities were found to enhance the relationship between AI adoption and sustainability outcomes ($p < 0.001$).

Model Validation: AI Adoption and Sustainability Outcomes

Using multiple regression analysis, we found that 73% of the variation in AI adoption could be explained by technological attributes, organizational capabilities, and external environmental factors. The coefficients for organizational capabilities (0.48) had the highest impact, followed by technological attributes (0.31) and external factors (0.22). For the sustainability outcomes model, we used structural equation modeling (SEM) and found that AI adoption mediates the relationship between technological, organizational, and external environment factors and sustainability outcomes. AI adoption directly influenced sustainability outcomes with a coefficient of 0.57 ($p < 0.001$), and the indirect effects through technological, organizational, and external factors accounted for 39% of the total effect ($p < 0.01$).

Key Findings: The Organizational Capability Gap: A major finding is the gap in organizational capabilities, particularly technical skills and change management, which impacted AI adoption success. Organizations with high organizational capabilities achieved 2.3 times better sustainability outcomes than those with low organizational capabilities, despite having similar technological attributes.

AI adoption was indeed found to be associated with positive impacts on sustainability by analyzing 50 organizations across various sectors supporting the AI Adoption Model (A = f(T, O, E)). The most salient finding is that the organizational capabilities (O)most of which include skills, leadership and change management were found to be the strongest predictors of succeeding in AI-based sustainability. In light of these findings, we recommend that organizations enhance their internal preparedness before putting a lot of money into technology.

AI Adoption-Summary Statistics The research generated vital statistics concerning AI adoption. Technical Attributes (T): The mean score for the technical attributes (including AI adoption, technology compatibility, and perceived usefulness) was 4.3, but there was considerable variance with technology implementation. Organizational Capabilities (O): Organizational readiness characterized by skills, leadership support, and change management, scored 3.8 on average and is relatively immature. Giant gap between organizations when it comes to the technological facets and organizational capacities. External Environment Scenarios(E) -the average score on external environment factors such as market competition, regulatory requirements in which business operates, and pressure from relevant stakeholders is 4.82-which indicates the importance these factors place in the consideration of AI adoption.

Sustainability Outcomes: Summary Statistics

Outcome	**Mean (1-7)**	**SD**	**Min**	**Max**
Environmental Performance	3.9	1	1.8	6.5
- Carbon Footprint Reduction	3.5	1.3	1	6.2
- Resource Efficiency	4.3	0.9	2.4	6.7
Operational Efficiency	4.7	0.8	2.2	6.8
- Process Optimization	5.1	0.7	3	7
- Cost Reduction	4.4	1	2.5	6.5
Supply Chain Resilience	4.2	0.9	2	6.3
- Disruption Reduction	3.9	1.1	1.5	6
- Transparency	4.5	0.8	2.8	6.5
Overall Sustainability (S)	4.3	0.9	2.1	6.5

9. Results and Discussion

The analysis involving 50 organizations indicates that the most important factors leading to successful AI-enabled sustainability initiatives are organizational capabilities-in particular, skills, leadership, and change management. The study discovered three pathways of AI adoption: Technology First, Organization First, and Integrated Approach, and found that the Integrated Approach had the highest sustainability impact. The adaptation of AI in various processes has improved operational efficiency, ensured resilience in supply chains, and most importantly, added to the environment; albeit, the very effects took longer to capture. Factors like skill gaps, resistance to change, and data quality problems may hinder AI for Sustainability. Among the success factors pointed out by the best performers were dual expertise in technology as well as sustainability, cross-functional teams, and strong data governance frameworks. The findings indicate that organizations should wait for readiness before investing much capital in AI technologies for taking maximum sustainability benefits.

10. Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights into AI adoption and its impact on sustainability outcomes, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the sample of 50 organizations, though diverse across industries, may not fully represent smaller firms or those in less digitally mature regions, potentially limiting the generalizability of the findings (Caiado et al., 2023). Additionally, the study relies on self-reported data from surveys and interviews, which may be subject to biases such as social desirability or overestimation of AI adoption levels (Casandra Okogwu et al., 2023). The cross-sectional nature of the data collection limits the ability to infer causality over time (Das et al., 2023). Furthermore, the study focuses on large to medium-sized organizations, and the unique challenges faced by smaller businesses or those in developing economies may not have been captured (Despoudi et al., 2023). Finally, external factors like industry-specific regulations and regional economic conditions, though considered in the analysis, may not have been explored in sufficient depth (Dhayal et al., 2023).

11. Interpretation of Findings

Thus, it underlines the pertinent role AI, CSR, and digital technologies play in operational sustainability and efficiency in supply chain management. AI involvement in fostering production efficiency while reducing environmental impact entails technology attributes, organizational capability, and external factors as deterrents. AI creates a decision-making environment for green supply chains to yield sustainability within the supply chain. CSR is a significant driver of innovation, governance, and organizational performance, particularly in supply chain management. With respect to their usage, blockchains and artificial intelligence add to the discussion for supply chain transparency, traceability, and resource allocation. That notwithstanding, the major hindrances to wider adoption, especially in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and developing economies, included data dependency, integration problems, and high costs, as well as gaps in related skills. The AI Adoption Model also links technical and organizational capabilities to greater sustainability performance in terms of operational efficiency and resilience. This study has emphasized interdisciplinary studies that will bridge the barriers of workforce skill shortages, regulatory challenges, and infrastructural limitations and aims at optimizing AI and blockchain for global sustainability interventions.

12. Implications

Many recent researches have instituted transformations through AI, CSR, and sustainable practices for operational efficiency, sustainability, and competitiveness. AI enables better resource allocation, defect detection, and advanced data analysis to improve customer service, production processes, supply chain management, and so on. Notably, AI makes real-time sharing of information possible, thus further aiding decision-making and reduction of carbon emissions (Das et al., 2023). However, the use of AI can pose diverse challenges, such as requiring intensive training of employees, establishing unbroken data management systems, and addressing ethical issues attached to privacy and transparency in decision-making (Hong & Xiao, 2024). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives have been core to sustainable development and better public relations for organizations. They enhance community engagement and improve environmental performance in high-impact sectors such as construction and supply chain management, where CSR promotes innovation and better governance structures (Gohar et al., 2024). Furthermore, integration of Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) practice reduces waste significantly, increases resource utilization, and improves corporate image significantly in an organization (Caiado et al., 2023). The studies further talk about the use of digital technologies, such as blockchain, AI, and Industry 4.0, in optimizing supply chains and meeting sustainability goals. These technologies are very important concerning the transparency, data sharing for democratized access to information, and management of resources (Khan et al., 2022). Although there exist some challenges such as very high costs to implement and social barriers for digitization, reality complements their importance for sustainable growth. Such scenarios call for innovation from the policymakers and business enterprises, development of good regulatory frameworks, and incorporation of sustainable practices in achieving long-term environmental as well as economic benefits (Raman et al., 2024). Much needs to be researched further to maximize AI potential for overcoming such barriers to achieve sustainability.

13. Future Research

Presenting future areas of promise for research in sustainability, AI and supply chain management (SCM) is the evaluation of the impact of AI technologies, particularly machine learning, robotics, and expert systems, across diverse industries, with case studies and longitudinal data being especially useful for drawing causal inferences (Tsolakis et al., 2023). Application of fuzzy systems and gray models into an AI adoption assessment may improve the sustainability evaluation accuracy (Ruan, 2024). Cross-cultural research is vital for generalizability of findings toward better adaptability of these results to other regions (Khan et al., 2022). The emergence of GSCM also calls for the formulation of an overarching performance assessment framework that covers not only the environmental and economic dimension but also the social dimension. This includes analyzing interrelationships of GSCM processes and qualifying validity within larger sustainability targets (Kazancoglu et al., 2018). Such research proposition points to examples of the type of emerging research areas that include combining new technologies like blockchain, AI, and Industry 4.0 principles with circular economy practices (Pal, 2023). Future studies also deserve investigation under the perspective of AI concerning its contribution towards improving supply chain resilience and efficiency while meeting the challenges presented by data privacy and cybersecurity (Huang & Mao,

2024). Social and ethical implications of AI adoption particularly in the developing regions, together with the challenges of digital transformation, need to be taken care as well. Critical factors to successful AI implementation are change resistance and improvement of employee readiness (Singh & Maheswaran, 2024). Interdisciplinary collaboration, real-life pilot studies, and longitudinal data analysis are needed to advance these fields.

14. Contribution

An enormous volume of research appraisal pertaining to AI, sustainability, and supply chain management (SCM) has been documented. AI has made its primary contribution through automating the workflow, designing products, and saving energy in manufacturing and, at the same time, assisting in creating a knowledge base and solving other complex problems. It remarkably supports circular economy practices, especially to realize the actual relevance of remanufacturing and recycling on supply chains in safeguarding the environment. A few studies also put forth AI integration with digital technologies for better decision-making in support of resilience and sustainability in the supply chain. Environmental, economic, operational, and marketing indicators that govern sustainability have been used to define various frameworks that assess GSCM performance. The importance of HCI in logistics innovation and trust in automatic decision-making systems was demonstrated. The studies indicate that CSR practices yield of innovation by helping governance structures become more sustainable through AI and blockchain in recycling, remanufacturing, and supply chain efficiency. In addition, it is said that digital technologies such as blockchain promote advancement in transparency, resource optimization, and reduction of carbon footprints in the name of sustainability. Studies, however, emphasize the need for further empirical research in order to validate the frameworks and test their application in the real supply chains.

15. Application

AI, blockchain, and GSCM are used across industries for sustainable operations, operational effectiveness, and decision-making. AI applications include robotics, expert systems, virtual assistants, and inventory management, which lead to the maximization of resources allocation, environmental forecasting, and risk management (Huang & Mao, 2024). Mostly, Blockchain governs the very basic requirements for supply chain management: transparency, traceability, and security. These further permit circular economic activities, such as recycling and remanufacturing the materials, which cater to sustainable procurement practices. Blockchain also enables the essential activities for sustainability and operational effectiveness: traceability for products, smart contracts used for forecasting, and monetization of carbon offsets (Tsolakis et al., 2023). In the GSCM, resource utilization practices enhance environmental application through remanufacturing, recycling, and sustainable procurement of materials. Such practices boost supply chain performance, resilience, and good governance, especially in industries such as IT (Caiado et al. 2023). The enhancement of operational control, sustainability, and advantage, particularly for small and medium enterprises (SMEs), is achieved through Industry 4.0 that includes IoT, cloud computing, and big data (Dhayal et al., 2023).

16. Recommendations

These studies present several recommendations. Their findings include suggestions for enhancing sustainability, efficiency, and innovation in organizations and their supply chains. AI and digital technologies are vital for optimizing production, resource management, and circular economy practices. More specifically, machine learning and neural networks should be promoted to improve efficiency and support sustainable practice (Raman et al., 2024). IT firms should promote CSR-oriented strategies concerned with stakeholder satisfaction and innovation to improve performance (Hussain et al., 2024). Sustainability approaches such as remanufacturing, reverse logistics, and circular supply chains are imperative for reducing environmental impacts while boosting profits (Khan et al., 2022). There is a suggestion that the policymakers must support technological innovations and sustainable practices through collaboration of small and medium enterprises towards better economic performance (Dhayal et al., 2023). Also, the incorporation of advanced technologies such as AI, blockchain, and Industry 4.0 can lead to better resource allocation, transparency, and decision-making, all of which are pertinent for achieving long-term sustainability goals (Pal, 2023). Training of employees in AI technology application, knowledge-sharing, and collaboration among stakeholders provide the opportunity for mutual engagement in overcoming barriers for organizations towards the successful integration of sustainable technologies (Singh et al., 2024). This study advocated strategies that would alleviate carbon management and fast-track the digitalization of the logistical process in facilitating environmental and operational outcomes. Finally, inclusive governance and alignment of corporate strategy with environmental norms are essential to achieving sustainable supply chain performance (Tsolakis et al., 2023).

17. Conclusion

AI, technology and digitalization remain beneficial toward attaining sustainability across different industries, with the positive effects of AI on organizational practices relating to circular economy, resource management, and environmental impact- based management being amplified by urging managerial support for the embedding of AI within the strategic agenda toward sustainable development. CSR practices improve organizational performance by nurturing corporate innovation, governance and accountability, and the interaction with Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) frameworks inspires the sustainability cause within supply chains. Industries 4.0 technologies, among them blockchain and AI, have a special purpose within the enhancement of supply chain performance and environmental sustainability, more so with the circular economy

practices. Further, these technologies optimize processes towards carbon footprint efficiency and resilience to operational deliverability. Digital technologies also enhance transparency, traceability, and supply chain decision-making, where blockchain technology complements sustainability more accurately. The studies show the need for further studies in social aspects of sustainability and ethical deployment of AI and into how to overcome barriers to technology adoption, and these barriers are contemplated to be more salient in developing countries. Bringing sustainable technologies and practices to the forefront of different sectors of industries can help make a huge headway towards realizing the agenda of global sustainability, in particular from the standpoint of transparency, data-directed decision-making, and collaboration

REFERENCES

[1] Caiado, R. G. G., Scavarda, L. F., Vidal, G., Nascimento, D. L. de M., & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2023). A taxonomy of critical factors towards sustainable operations and supply chain management 4.0 in developing countries. Operations Management Research. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-023-00430-8

[2] Casandra Okogwu, M. O. Agho, M. A. Adeyinka, B. A. Odulaja, N. L. Eyo-Udo, C. Daraojimba, & A. A. Banso. (2023). Exploring the integration of sustainable materials in supply chain management for environmental impact. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 4(3), 49-65. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v4i3.546

[3] Das, S., Barve, A., Sahu, N. C., & Muduli, K. (2023). Enabling artificial intelligence for sustainable food grain supply chains: An Agri 5.0 and circular economy perspective. Operations Management Research, 16(4), 2104-2124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-023-00390-z

[4] Despoudi, S., Sivarajah, U., Spanaki, K., Charles, V., & Durai, V. K. (2023). Industry 4.0 and circular economy for emerging markets: Evidence from small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in the Indian food sector. Annals of Operations Research. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05404-4

[5] Dhayal, K. S., Giri, A. K., Kumar, A., Samadhiya, A., Agrawal, S., & Agrawal, R. (2023). Can green finance facilitate Industry 5.0 transition to achieve sustainability? A systematic review with future research directions. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(46), 102158-102180. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-29539-w

[6] Golinska-Dawson, P., Mrugalska, B., Lai, K. K., & Weber, G. W. (2023). Editorial: Smart and sustainable supply chain and logistics - trends, challenges, methods and best practices. Annals of Operations Research, 324(1-2), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05304-7

[7] Gohar, M., Xu, L., Amin, W., & Sarwar, S. (2024). Do the greenwashing and corporate social responsibility are significant to mitigate the firm-level emissions: Moderating role of environmental, social and governance indicators. Environment, Development and Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-04528-2

[8] Hong, Z., & Xiao, K. (2024). Digital economy structuring for sustainable development: The role of blockchain and artificial intelligence in improving supply chain and reducing negative environmental impacts. Scientific Reports, 14(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-53760-3

[9] Huang, R., & Mao, S. (2024). Carbon footprint management in global supply chains: A data-driven approach utilizing artificial intelligence algorithms. IEEE Access, 12, 89957-89967. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3407839

[10] Hussain, M., Xuetong, W., Hao, L., & Malik, M. (2024). The effects of corporate social responsibility on organizational performance in the construction industry: The mediating role of organizational innovation and organizational governance. Environment, Development and Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-05775-z

[11] Kazancoglu, Y., Kazancoglu, I., & Sagnak, M. (2018). A new holistic conceptual framework for green supply chain management performance assessment based on circular economy. Journal of Cleaner Production, 195, 1282-1299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.015

[12] Khan, S. A. R., Piprani, A. Z., & Yu, Z. (2022). Digital technology and circular economy practices: Future of supply chains. Operations Management Research, 15(3-4), 676-688. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-021-00247-3

[13] Li, D., Cruz, J. M., & Ke, K. (2024). Closed-loop supply chain network model with recycling, re-manufacturing, refurbishing, and corporate social responsibility. Annals of Operations Research, 324(2), 295-314. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-024-06420-8

[14] Nureen, N., Sun, H., Irfan, M., Nuta, A. C., & Malik, M. (2023). Digital transformation: Fresh insights to implement green supply chain management, eco-technological innovation, and collaborative capability in

manufacturing sector of an emerging economy. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(32), 78168-78181. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-27796-3

[15] Pal, S. (2023). Integrating AI in sustainable supply chain management: A new paradigm for enhanced transparency and sustainability. International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology, 11(6), 2979-2984. https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2023.54139

[16] Raman, R., Pattnaik, D., Lathabai, H. H., Kumar, C., Govindan, K., & Nedungadi, P. (2024). Green and sustainable AI research: An integrated thematic and topic modeling analysis. Journal of Big Data, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-024-00920-x

[17] Rajak, S., Vimal, K. E. K., Arumugam, S., Parthiban, J., Sivaraman, S. K., Kandasamy, J., & Duque, A. A. (2022). Multi-objective mixed-integer linear optimization model for sustainable closed-loop supply chain network: A case study on remanufacturing steering column. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 24(5), 6481-6507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01713-5

[18] Ronaghi, M. H. (2023). The influence of artificial intelligence adoption on circular economy practices in manufacturing industries. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 25(12), 14355-14380. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02670-3

[19] Ruan, M. (2024). The application of multimodal AI large model in the green supply chain of energy industry. Energy Informatics, 7(1), Article 402. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42162-024-00402-7

[20] Sanders, N. R., Boone, T., Ganeshan, R., & Wood, J. D. (2019). Sustainable supply chains in the age of AI and digitization: Research challenges and opportunities. Journal of Business Logistics, 40(3), 229-240. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12224

[21] Sharma, M., Kaushal, D., & Joshi, S. (2023). Strategic measures for enhancing resiliency in knowledge base supply chains: An emerging economy perspective. Operations Management Research, 16(3), 1185-1205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-023-00376-x

[22] Sing, R., Khan, S., Dsilva, J., Akram, U., & Haleem, A. (2024). Modelling the organisational factors for implementation of corporate social responsibility: A modified TISM approach. Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, 25(2), 283-301. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-024-00388-x

[23] Singh, P. K., & Maheswaran, R. (2024). Analysis of social barriers to sustainable innovation and digitisation in supply chain. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 26(2), 5223-5248. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-02931-9

[24] Tsolakis, N., Schumacher, R., Dora, M., & Kumar, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence and blockchain implementation in supply chains: A pathway to sustainability and data monetisation? Annals of Operations Research, 327(1), 157-210. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-022-04785-2

[25] Tajbakhsh, A., Nematollahi, M., & Shamsi Zamenjani, A. (2024). Migration to the quadruple bottom line framework for achieving sustainable development goals: The 4Ps of sustainability. Annals of Operations Research. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-024-06042-0

[26] Tripathi, S., Bachmann, N., Brunner, M., Rizk, Z., & Jodlbauer, H. (2024). Assessing the current landscape of AI and sustainability literature: Identifying key trends, addressing gaps and challenges. Journal of Big Data, 11(1), Article 912. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-024-00912-x

[27] Winkelmann, S., Guennoun, R., Möller, F., Schoormann, T., & van der Valk, H. (2024). Back to a resilient future: Digital technologies for a sustainable supply chain. Information Systems and e-Business Management, 22(2), 451-470. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-024-00677-z

[28] Yadav, A., Garg, R. K., & Sachdeva, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence applications for information management in sustainable supply chain management: A systematic review and future research agenda. International Journal of Information Management Data Insights, 4(2), Article 100292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2024.100292

[29] Zvezdov, D., & Hack, S. (2016). Carbon footprinting of large product portfolios: Extending the use of Enterprise Resource Planning systems to carbon information management. Journal of Cleaner Production, 135, 1267-1275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.06.070..

❖❖❖❖❖